



The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company

2025 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2025

Word version

Important: this export excludes unanswered questions

This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.

[Read full terms of disclosure](#)

Contents

C1. Introduction.....	9
(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?	9
(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.	9
(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.	9
(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years....	10
(1.4.1) What is your organization’s annual revenue for the reporting period?	10
(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.	11
(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?	11
(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.	13
(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?	14
(1.8.1) Please provide all available geolocation data for your facilities.	15
(1.22) Provide details on the commodities that you produce and/or source.	40
(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?	47
(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?	48
(1.24.2) Which commodities has your organization mapped in your upstream value chain (i.e., supply chain)?	49
C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities	51
(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?	51
(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?	53
(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?	53
(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization’s process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.	53
(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?	67
(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?	67
(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?	68
(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?	71

(2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.	71
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities 74

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?.....	74
(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.	76
(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks.	96
(3.2) Within each river basin, how many facilities are exposed to substantive effects of water-related risks, and what percentage of your total number of facilities does this represent?.....	98
(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?	109
(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?	109
(3.5.1) Select the carbon pricing regulation(s) which impact your operations.....	109
(3.5.2) Provide details of each Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) your organization is regulated by.	110
(3.5.3) Complete the following table for each of the tax systems you are regulated by.	113
(3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by?.....	116
(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?	116
(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.	118
(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.	136

C4. Governance 137

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?	137
(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?	138
(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board’s oversight of environmental issues.....	139
(4.2) Does your organization’s board have competency on environmental issues?	143
(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?.....	144
(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).	145
(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?	150

(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).	152
(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?	155
(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.	155
(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?	164
(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?	165
(4.11.1) On what policies, laws, or regulations that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment has your organization been engaging directly with policy makers in the reporting year?	167
(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.	171
(4.12) Have you published information about your organization’s response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?	179
(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization’s response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.	179
C5. Business strategy.....	183
(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?	183
(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization’s scenario analysis.	184
(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization’s scenario analysis.	194
(5.2) Does your organization’s strategy include a climate transition plan?	196
(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?.....	198
(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.....	199
(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.	202
(5.4) In your organization’s financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition?	203
(5.9) What is the trend in your organization’s water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?.....	204
(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?	204
(5.10.1) Provide details of your organization’s internal price on carbon.	205
(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?	207
(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?	209
(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?	213
(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization’s purchasing process?	215

(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization’s purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.	217
(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization’s supplier engagement on environmental issues.	235
(5.11.8) Provide details of any environmental smallholder engagement activity.....	240
(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.	241

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach 245

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.....	245
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change..... 247

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?.....	247
(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?.....	247
(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?	247
(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.	248
(7.3) Describe your organization’s approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.	248
(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?.....	249
(7.4.1) Provide details of the sources of Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure.	249
(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.	250
(7.6) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?	261
(7.7) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?	263
(7.8) Account for your organization’s gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.	265
(7.8.1) Disclose or restate your Scope 3 emissions data for previous years.	278
(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.	283
(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.	283
(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.	284
(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.	287
(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?	288

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.	288
(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?	295
(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?	295
(7.12.1) Provide the emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization in metric tons CO2.	295
(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?	295
(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP).	295
(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.	298
(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.	319
(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.	319
(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.	320
(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.	320
(7.20.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business activity.	321
(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.	321
(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?.....	322
(7.23.1) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by subsidiary.	322
(7.26) Allocate your emissions to your customers listed below according to the goods or services you have sold them in this reporting period.	Error! Bookmark not defined.
(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?.....	328
(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?	328
(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?	329
(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.	329
(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.	329
(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.	332
(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.	332
(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.	340
(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.	374

(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.	408
(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?	410
(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.	410
(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?	427
(7.54.1) Provide details of your targets to increase or maintain low-carbon energy consumption or production.	427
(7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets.....	430
(7.54.3) Provide details of your net-zero target(s).....	432
(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.	435
(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.	435
(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.	436
(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?	440
(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization’s goods or services?.....	442
(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?	442
(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?.....	442

C8. Environmental performance - Forests 443

(8.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of forests-related data?.....	443
(8.2) Provide a breakdown of your disclosure volume per commodity.	443
(8.5) Provide details on the origins of your sourced volumes.	443
(8.7) Did your organization have a no-deforestation or no-conversion target, or any other targets for sustainable production/ sourcing of your disclosed commodities, active in the reporting year?	452
(8.7.2) Provide details of other targets related to your commodities, including any which contribute to your no-deforestation or no-conversion target, and progress made against them.	453
(8.8) Indicate if your organization has a traceability system to determine the origins of your sourced volumes and provide details of the methods and tools used.....	456
(8.8.1) Provide details of the point to which your organization can trace its sourced volumes.	457
(8.9) Provide details of your organization's assessment of the deforestation-free (DF) or deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF) status of its disclosed commodities. .	458
(8.10) Indicate whether you have monitored or estimated the deforestation and conversion of other natural ecosystems footprint for your disclosed commodities.	458
(8.10.1) Provide details on the monitoring or estimating of your deforestation and conversion footprint.	459

(8.11) For volumes not assessed and determined as deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF), indicate if you have taken actions in the reporting year to increase production or sourcing of DCF volumes.	460
(8.11.1) Provide details of actions taken in the reporting year to assess and increase production/sourcing of deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF) volumes.	460
(8.12) Indicate if certification details are available for the commodity volumes sold to requesting CDP Supply Chain members.	464
(8.13) Does your organization calculate the GHG emission reductions and/or removals from land use management and land use change that have occurred in your direct operations and/or upstream value chain?	464
(8.14) Indicate if you assess your own compliance and/or the compliance of your suppliers with forest regulations and/or mandatory standards, and provide details.	465
(8.15) Do you engage in landscape (including jurisdictional) initiatives to progress shared sustainable land use goals?	466
(8.16) Do you participate in any other external activities to support the implementation of policies and commitments related to deforestation, ecosystem conversion, or human rights issues in commodity value chains?	467
(8.16.1) Provide details of the external activities to support the implementation of your policies and commitments related to deforestation, ecosystem conversion, or human rights issues in commodity value chains.	467
(8.17) Is your organization supporting or implementing project(s) focused on ecosystem restoration and long-term protection?	468

C9. Environmental performance - Water security..... 469

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?	469
(9.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions.	469
(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?	470
(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?	478
(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.	481
(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.	483
(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.	486
(9.2.9) Within your direct operations, indicate the highest level(s) to which you treat your discharge.	489
(9.2.10) Provide details of your organization’s emissions of nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and other priority substances to water in the reporting year.	492
(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?	493
(9.3.1) For each facility referenced in 9.3, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year.	495
(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified?	532
(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?	537

(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization’s total water withdrawal efficiency. 537

(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority? 538

(9.13.1) What percentage of your company’s revenue is associated with products containing substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority? 538

(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact? 539

(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets? 540

(9.15.1) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories. 540

(9.15.2) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made. 542

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity 545

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments? 545

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities? 545

(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year? 545

C13. Further information & sign off 551

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party? 551

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response. 551

(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website..... 552

C1. Introduction

(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:

English

(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:

USD

(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

(1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

Publicly traded organization

(1.3.3) Description of organization

The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company (the "Company") is an Ohio corporation organized in 1898. Its principal offices are located at 200 Innovation Way, Akron, Ohio, 44316-0001. Its telephone number is (330) 796-2121. Goodyear is one of the world's leading manufacturers of tires, engaging in operations in most regions of the world. In 2024, its net sales were \$18,878 million, and its net income was \$70 million. The Company develops, manufactures, distributes and sells tires for most applications. It also currently manufactures and sells rubber-related chemicals for various applications. Goodyear is one of the world's largest operators of commercial truck service and tire retreading centers. It operates approximately 800 retail outlets, where it offers its products for sale to consumer and commercial customers and provides repair and other services. The Company manufactures its products in 53 manufacturing facilities in 20 countries, including the United States, and has marketing operations in almost every country around the world. It employs approximately 68,000 full-time and temporary associates worldwide. Additional financial information, including Goodyear's most recent quarterly and annual earnings reports are available on the Company's Investor Relations website goodyear.com/investors.

[Fixed row]

(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

(1.4.1) End date of reporting year

12/31/2024

(1.4.2) Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period

Select from:

Yes

(1.4.3) Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years

Select from:

Yes

(1.4.4) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 1 emissions data for

Select from:

2 years

(1.4.5) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 2 emissions data for

Select from:

2 years

(1.4.6) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 3 emissions data for

Select from:

2 years

[Fixed row]

(1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

ISIN code - equity

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

US3825501014

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

GT

SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

D-U-N-S number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

00-446-7924

Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

[Add row]

(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Peru | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Japan |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chile | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spain |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> China | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brazil |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> India | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Canada |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Italy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> France |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Greece | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Serbia |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Latvia | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sweden |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mexico | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Turkey |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Norway | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Austria |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Poland | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Belgium |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Croatia | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hungary |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Czechia | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ireland |

- Denmark
- Finland
- Germany
- Bulgaria
- Colombia
- Malaysia
- Portugal
- Slovakia
- Guatemala
- Indonesia
- Lithuania
- Singapore
- Luxembourg
- Taiwan, China
- Republic of Korea
- United Arab Emirates
- United States of America
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
- Romania
- Ukraine
- Uruguay
- Slovenia
- Thailand
- Viet Nam
- Argentina
- Australia
- Netherlands
- New Zealand
- Philippines
- Switzerland
- South Africa

(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

	Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?	Comment
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, for some facilities	<i>Geolocations of manufacturing facilities are provided in 1.8.1</i>

[Fixed row]

(1.8.1) Please provide all available geolocation data for your facilities.

Row 1

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Bayport

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.646833

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.047989

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 2

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Beaumont

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.975603

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-94.217797

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 3

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Houston

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

29.70455

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.253342

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 4

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Niagara Falls

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.085339

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.002647

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 5

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Adapazari

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.731035

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

30.37388

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 6

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Amiens DU

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

49.93534

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

2.2876

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 7

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Debica

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.04387

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

21.3928

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 8

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fuerstenwalde

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.345826

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

14.086663

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 9

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fulda

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.54782

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

9.69415

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 10

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Hanau

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.1242

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

8.94016

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 11

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Izmit

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

40.75477

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

29.98589

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 12

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Lux Tire

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

49.80281

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

6.09368

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 13

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Montlucon

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

46.37292

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

2.58959

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 14

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Riesa

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.31586

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

13.26646

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 15

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Riom

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.89103

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

3.13213

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 16

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

SAVA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

46.22774

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

14.35231

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 17

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tilburg

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.58263

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

5.05705

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 18

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

South Africa

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-33.78222

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

25.41302

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 19

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Wittlich

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

49.98477

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

6.91108

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 20

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Danville

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.54798

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.37401

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 21

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Fayetteville

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.1699

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-78.85596

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 22

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Kingman

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.26661

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-113.95267

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 23

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Lawton

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.59706

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-98.51809

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 24

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Medicine Hat

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.05325

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-110.71135

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 25

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Napanee

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

44.28769

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-76.93991

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 26

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Social Circle

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.66569

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-83.70778

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 27

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Statesville

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

35.75678

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-80.78325

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 28

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Stockbridge

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.51753

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-84.20682

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 29

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Topeka

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.0956

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-95.69279

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 30

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Valleyfield

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

45.27037

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-74.10854

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 31

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Americana

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-22.70624

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-47.30547

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 32

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Chile

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-33.53126

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-70.75833

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 33

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Colombia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

3.55884

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-76.496147

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 34

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Peru

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-12.04633

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-77.08376

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 35

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

San Luis Potosi (SLP)

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

22.02762

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-100.90163

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 36

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Aurangabad

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

19.85559

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

75.20638

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 37

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Ballabgarh

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

28.35091

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

77.3133

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 38

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Indonesia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-6.57478

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

106.79592

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 39

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Malaysia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

3.06509

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

101.5432

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 40

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

NGT

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.86162

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

134.57201

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 41

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Pulandian

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

39.426883

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.929506

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 42

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Thailand

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

14.02352

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

100.61501

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 43

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Findlay

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.02711

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-83.66011

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 44

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Texarkana

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

33.42114

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-94.00256

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 45

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tupelo

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.23025

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-88.70957

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 47

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

El Salto

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

20.4887

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-103.23363

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 48

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Serbia

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

43.59878

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

21.35533

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 49

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

CKT

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

31.3076

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

121.00729

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 50

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

GRT

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.69347

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

119.6504

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 51

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Clarksdale

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

34.20723

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-90.54873

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

Row 52

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tall Timbers

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

41.02711

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-83.66011

(1.8.1.4) Comment

n/a

[Add row]

(1.22) Provide details on the commodities that you produce and/or source.

Palm oil

(1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

Sourced

(1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

Manufacturing

(1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced

Select from:

No, other reason, please specify :Palm oil represents a very small portion of Goodyear's raw material spend. At this time, Goodyear will not disclose this information.

(1.22.11) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :Palm oil represents a very small portion of Goodyear's raw material spend. At this time, Goodyear will not disclose this information.

(1.22.12) % of procurement spend

Select from:

Less than 1%

(1.22.13) % of revenue dependent on commodity

Select from:

Unknown

(1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

No, not disclosing

(1.22.15) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

No

(1.22.16) Reason for not disclosing

Select all that apply

Small volume

- Small procurement spend

(1.22.18) Explanation for not disclosing

Palm oil represents a very small portion of Goodyear's raw material spend. At this time, Goodyear will not disclose this information. The raw material made from palm oil and that Goodyear purchases is not in scope for EUDR.

(1.22.19) Please explain

Palm oil represents a very small portion of Goodyear's raw material spend. At this time, Goodyear will not disclose this information. The raw material made from palm oil and that Goodyear purchases is not in scope for EUDR.

Cattle products

(1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

- Sourced

(1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

- Manufacturing

(1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced

Select from:

- No, other reason, please specify :Cattle products represent a very small portion of Goodyear's raw material spend. At this time, Goodyear will not disclose this information.

(1.22.11) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

- Other, please specify :Cattle products represent a very small portion of Goodyear's raw material spend. At this time, Goodyear will not disclose this information.

(1.22.12) % of procurement spend

Select from:

- Less than 1%

(1.22.13) % of revenue dependent on commodity

Select from:

- Unknown

(1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

- No, not disclosing

(1.22.15) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

- No

(1.22.16) Reason for not disclosing

Select all that apply

- Small volume
- Small procurement spend

(1.22.18) Explanation for not disclosing

Cattle products represent a very small portion of Goodyear's raw material spend. At this time, Goodyear will not disclose this information. The raw material made from cattle products and that Goodyear purchases is not in scope for EUDR.

(1.22.19) Please explain

Cattle products represent a very small portion of Goodyear's raw material spend. At this time, Goodyear will not disclose this information. The raw material made from cattle products and that Goodyear purchases is not in scope for EUDR.

Soy

(1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

Sourced

(1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

Manufacturing

(1.22.3) Indicate if you have direct soy and/or embedded soy in your value chain

Select from:

Direct soy only

(1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced

Select from:

No, other reason, please specify :Soybean oil represents a small portion of Goodyear's raw material spend. At this time, Goodyear will not disclose information on its use of soy and/or soybean oil.

(1.22.11) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

Soybean oil

(1.22.12) % of procurement spend

Select from:

Less than 1%

(1.22.13) % of revenue dependent on commodity

Select from:

Unknown

(1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

No, not disclosing

(1.22.15) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

No

(1.22.16) Reason for not disclosing

Select all that apply

Small volume

Small procurement spend

(1.22.18) Explanation for not disclosing

Soybean oil represents a small portion of Goodyear's raw material spend. At this time, Goodyear will not disclose information on its use of soy and/or soybean oil. Goodyear's use of soybean oil takes advantage of the significant surplus of oil that is currently available beyond what is used in food applications. Goodyear introduced its Sustainable Soybean Oil Procurement Policy in 2021. https://supplier.goodyear.com/docs/resources/goodyear_sustainable_soybean_oil_policy.pdf

(1.22.19) Please explain

Soybean oil represents a small portion of Goodyear's raw material spend. At this time, Goodyear will not disclose information on its use of soy and/or soybean oil. Goodyear's use of soybean oil takes advantage of the significant surplus of oil that is currently available beyond what is used in food applications. In 2021, Goodyear introduced its Sustainable Soybean Oil Procurement Policy, which helps guide processors, farmers and other members of the supply chain to establish practices and make sound environmental and social decisions related to the growing, harvesting and processing of soybeans. https://supplier.goodyear.com/docs/resources/goodyear_sustainable_soybean_oil_policy.pdf

Rubber

(1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

Sourced

(1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

Manufacturing

(1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced

Select from:

Yes, we are providing the total volume

(1.22.5) Total commodity volume (metric tons)

644107

(1.22.8) Did you convert the total commodity volume from another unit to metric tons?

Select from:

No

(1.22.11) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :Natural rubber

(1.22.12) % of procurement spend

Select from:

11-20%

(1.22.13) % of revenue dependent on commodity

Select from:

91-99%

(1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

Yes, disclosing

(1.22.15) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

Yes

(1.22.19) Please explain

More than 90 percent of the world's natural rubber is made from latex derived from rubber trees, which Goodyear primarily sources from Southeast Asia, West Africa, and Brazil. The global tire industry uses approximately 70 percent of the world's natural rubber, and demand for natural rubber is growing. Social and agricultural practices in natural rubber production can vary greatly and can have significant impacts on local people's livelihoods and rights, as well as local ecosystems through, among other things, potential habitat changes and deforestation. Goodyear does not own any rubber tree plantations, but as a purchaser of natural rubber, remains highly involved in taking action to drive sustainable natural rubber practices.

[Fixed row]

(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

(1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:

Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

Upstream value chain

Downstream value chain

(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:

- Tier 1 suppliers

(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:

- Tier 2 suppliers

(1.24.6) Smallholder inclusion in mapping

Select from:

- Smallholders relevant and included

(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

Goodyear's supply chain is complex, and the company is exploring processes and technologies to enhance supply chain transparency, tracking materials along development paths from agricultural production to storage, distribution, processing, manufacturing and more. Goodyear has mapped all its Tier 1 suppliers' factories. Specific to natural rubber, Goodyear knows the locations of some Tier 2 natural rubber farmers through capacity-building programs led through the Global Platform for Sustainable Natural Rubber (GPSNR) and the RubberWay Risk Assessment tool. Goodyear has a goal of achieving 50 percent raw material volume transparency by 2025. At the end of 2024, Goodyear was at 13.2 percent. In 2025, Goodyear plans to continue investing in supply chain transparency and establishing goals and targets. Additionally, the Company plans to continue its work with GPSNR and to refine and enhance its strategies as needed. Goodyear possesses a comprehensive understanding of its downstream value chain. It has detailed knowledge of its customers, distributors, retailers, and service centers, segmented by region. This understanding extends to the full use phase of its tires and their end-of-life outcomes.

[Fixed row]

(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?

(1.24.1.1) Plastics mapping

Select from:

- No, but we plan to within the next two years

(1.24.1.5) Primary reason for not mapping plastics in your value chain

Select from:

Not an immediate strategic priority

(1.24.1.6) Explain why your organization has not mapped plastics in your value chain

Goodyear does not produce plastic products and has little to no packaging associated with its tires. While there are important differences between rubber elastomers and plastic polymers used in plastic products, Goodyear is aware that some sources have drawn connections between tire and road wear particles (TRWP) and microplastic contributions to the environment. As part of the Tire Industry Project (TIP), Goodyear sponsors research in the area of TRWP generation, pathways, fate and ecotoxicity. TIP has been studying the potential impacts of TRWP on human health and the environment since 2005. The early research launched by TIP has provided background in terms of identification, quantification and risk assessment of TRWP in different environmental compartments, including air, soil, sediment and water, through the development of new sample collection methods and analytical techniques. As a result of this research, TIP has published numerous peer-reviewed scientific studies on TRWP to date. TIP continues commissioned research on TRWP conducted by independent research firms and consultants and guided by an advisory panel of academic experts. The TIP-sponsored, peer-reviewed studies have found that TRWP is unlikely to have a significant impact on human health and the environment; however, TIP and its members are mindful of an evolving scientific understanding of TRWP and continue to support independent research to improve the knowledge base. In addition, Goodyear engages with stakeholders from legislators, NGOs, academics and other industries as a member of tire trade associations, including the European Tyre and Rubber Manufacturers' Association (ETRMA) and the U.S. Tire Manufacturers Association (USTMA). Goodyear works with ETRMA and the European Tire & Rim Technical Organisation, or ETRTO, on the development of European tire abrasion standards and limits. That work is aligned with the actions of United Nation's Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE's) Task Force for Tire Abrasion to develop a standardized, global method of testing tire particle emissions to support regulatory actions.

[Fixed row]

(1.24.2) Which commodities has your organization mapped in your upstream value chain (i.e., supply chain)?

Rubber

(1.24.2.1) Value chain mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

Yes

(1.24.2.2) Highest supplier tier mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

Tier 2 suppliers

(1.24.2.3) % of tier 1 suppliers mapped

Select from:

100%

(1.24.2.4) % of tier 2 suppliers mapped

Select from:

1-25%

(1.24.2.7) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

Tier 3 suppliers

[Fixed row]

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

0

(2.1.3) To (years)

1

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

When assessing climate impacts, dependencies, risks and opportunities, Goodyear selects a one-year, short-term horizon. This aligns with Goodyear's Annual Operating Plan's financial planning cycle and the need to report financials quarterly. Climate planning and the associated financial impacts are modeled for this one-year timeframe and woven into Goodyear's short-term financial planning. For example, Goodyear sets annual operating targets for energy efficiency and renewable electricity for each manufacturing facility. These annual targets and five-year strategy roadmaps outline the investments required and cost savings to be expected, which are reviewed and approved by Goodyear's functional leaders and Senior Leadership Team and factored into annual operating plans. Given that climate-related issues often manifest over longer periods, Goodyear conducts medium- (2-5 years) and long-term (6-25 years) scenario analyses and discusses adaptation and resiliency strategies. This longer-term analysis is considered for short-term research, partnerships, pilots and planning.

Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

1

(2.1.3) To (years)

5

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

When assessing climate impacts, dependencies, risks and opportunities, Goodyear selects a five-year, medium-term horizon. This takes Goodyear close to 2030, when its first set of science-based climate targets are due. This five-year timeframe differs from Goodyear's short-term financial planning and the need to report financials quarterly. With climate planning, the strategies, implementation and results can take a few years to materialize. Climate planning and the associated financial impacts are modeled for this five-year timeframe and woven into Goodyear's short- and medium-term financial planning. For example, Goodyear continues to build and refine five-year decarbonization strategy roadmaps, understanding the investments required and cost savings to be expected, which are reviewed and approved by Goodyear's functional leaders and Senior Leadership Team and factored into annual operating plans. Given that climate-related issues often manifest over longer periods, Goodyear conducts medium- (1-5 years) and long-term (5-25 years) scenario analyses and discusses adaptation and resiliency strategies. This longer-term analysis is considered for short-term research, partnerships, pilots and planning.

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

5

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

No

(2.1.3) To (years)

25

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

When assessing climate impacts, dependencies, risks and opportunities, Goodyear selects a 25-year, long-term horizon. This takes Goodyear close to 2050, when its second set of science-based climate targets are due. This 25-year timeframe differs from Goodyear's short-term financial planning and the need to report financials quarterly. With climate planning, the strategies, implementation and results can take a few years to materialize. Given the uncertainties inherent in long-range planning and modeling, climate planning and the associated financial impacts are estimated for this 25-year timeframe and considered in Goodyear's short- and medium-term financial planning. For example, Goodyear continues to explore technologies that will enable long-term decarbonization and business value. Given that climate-related issues often manifest over longer periods, Goodyear conducts medium- (1-5 years) and long-term (5-25 years) scenario analyses and discusses adaptation and resiliency strategies. This longer-term analysis is considered for short-term research, partnerships, pilots and planning.

[Fixed row]

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

	Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both dependencies and impacts

[Fixed row]

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both risks and opportunities	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

Row 1

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Water

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Dependencies
- Impacts
- Risks
- Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

- Full

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

- Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- Annually

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- Short-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- A specific environmental risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- Site-specific

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Commercially/publicly available tools

- LEAP (Locate, Evaluate, Assess and Prepare) approach, TNFD
- TNFD – Taskforce on Nature-related Financial Disclosures
- WRI Aqueduct
- WWF Water Risk Filter

Enterprise Risk Management

- Other enterprise risk management, please specify :Environmental Risks & Opportunities Assessment

International methodologies and standards

- ISO 14001 Environmental Management Standard

Other

- Desk-based research
- Materiality assessment
- Other, please specify :Internal facility-level water survey

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

- Drought
- Tornado
- Avalanche
- Landslide
- Wildfires
- Glacial lake outburst
- Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons
- Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)
- Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
- Storm (including blizzards, dust, and sandstorms)

Chronic physical

- Water stress
- Soil degradation
- Change in land-use
- Groundwater depletion
- Declining water quality

Policy

- Changes to international law and bilateral agreements
- Changes to national legislation

Market

- Availability and/or increased cost of certified sustainable material
- Availability and/or increased cost of raw materials
- Changing customer behavior

Reputation

- Impact on human health
- Increased partner and stakeholder concern and partner and stakeholder negative feedback

- Heat waves
- Subsidence
- Toxic spills
- Cold wave/frost
- Pollution incident

- Declining ecosystem services
- Increased severity of extreme weather events
- Water availability at a basin/catchment level
- Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)
- Changing precipitation patterns and types (rain, hail, snow/ice)

- Negative press coverage related to support of projects or activities with negative impacts on the environment (e.g. GHG emissions, deforestation & conversion, water stress)

Technology

- Dependency on water-intensive energy sources
- Data access/availability or monitoring systems
- Unsuccessful investment in new technologies

Liability

- Exposure to litigation
- Non-compliance with regulations

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- Employees
- Investors
- Suppliers
- Regulators
- Local communities
- Water utilities at a local level
- Other water users at the basin/catchment level

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- Yes

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

Goodyear uses water primarily for steam generation, cooling and sanitary purposes. Goodyear operates in areas where water stress can be high, so it strives to continuously reduce water use. To understand the full breadth of its water footprint, Goodyear tracks water withdrawal, water discharged and water consumption data at 51 facilities and uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to assess water stress annually, down to the basin level at every location. Goodyear has 11 facilities in areas exposed to water risks, but those facilities are some of Goodyear's most efficient in terms of water use. Goodyear includes the WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies. In 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all its

manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance the Company's existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for the 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy.

Row 2

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Forests
- Water
- Biodiversity

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Dependencies
- Impacts
- Risks
- Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Upstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

- Partial

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

- Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- Annually

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- Short-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- A specific environmental risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- Not location specific

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Commercially/publicly available tools

- EcoVadis
- Encore tool
- WWF Water Risk Filter
- TNFD – Taskforce on Nature-related Financial Disclosures
- LEAP (Locate, Evaluate, Assess and Prepare) approach, TNFD

- WWF Biodiversity Risk Filter
- IBAT – Integrated Biodiversity Assessment Tool

Enterprise Risk Management

- Other enterprise risk management, please specify :Environmental Risks & Opportunities Assessment

Other

- Desk-based research
- Jurisdictional/landscape assessment
- Materiality assessment

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

- Drought
- Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
- Pollution incident
- Toxic spills

Chronic physical

- Declining ecosystem services
- Declining water quality
- Groundwater depletion
- Water availability at a basin/catchment level
- Water stress

Policy

- Lack of mature certification and sustainability standards

Reputation

- Impact on human health

- Negative press coverage related to support of projects or activities with negative impacts on the environment (e.g. GHG emissions, deforestation & conversion, water stress)

Liability

- Exposure to litigation
- Non-compliance with regulations

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- Employees
- NGOs
- Regulators
- Suppliers

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- No

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

Goodyear uses a third-party platform to assess its raw materials suppliers, as well as targeted, strategic indirect materials suppliers, around their sustainability commitments, focusing on four pillars — environment, ethics, labor and human rights and sustainable procurement. Through this process, covered suppliers are required to provide information on policies and programs pertaining to, but not limited to, human rights, employee training, environmental, health and safety, chemical management, hazardous material controls and waste management. These survey results help position Goodyear to take effective action as it determines supply chain opportunities and strategies, as well as to create and implement action and improvement plans when appropriate. In 2024, Goodyear completed an assessment for 99 percent of its raw material volume, and it also completed an assessment of 32 percent of its suppliers of indirect materials or services spend. In 2025, Goodyear plans to continue to work with suppliers, as needed, to develop agreed-upon improvement plans, with the aim to increase the overall sustainability-focused performance of its supply base, as well as continuing to look for opportunities to expand this program to additional suppliers of strategic indirect materials. In 2024, Goodyear formed an internal Nature and Biodiversity working group and began assessing its impact on nature using the Taskforce on Nature-related Financial Disclosures' (TNFD) LEAP approach. Using tools including ENCORE, Science Based Targets for Nature's (SBTN) materiality assessment, and World Wildlife Fund's (WWF) Biodiversity Risk Filter tool, the company determined that its initial scope would include the upstream (natural rubber processors only) and direct operations value chain stages. By the end of 2024, Goodyear completed the first two phases of LEAP (Locate and Evaluate) to identify priority locations based on location sensitivity and environmental performance. Location sensitivity was assessed using indicators such as proximity to ecologically sensitive areas, species sensitivity,

ecosystem integrity, and WRI water risk scores. The Integrated Biodiversity Assessment Tool (IBAT) played a key role in this evaluation, providing data on legally protected areas among others. By mid-2025, Goodyear completed the Assess phase by integrating the results from Locate and Evaluate into its existing climate risks and opportunities process, expanding it into a broader Environmental Impact, Risk, and Opportunity (IRO) Assessment. This integrated approach captured additional impacts and dependencies identified during the nature and biodiversity assessment. The IRO process identified priority risks and opportunities (disclosed in sections 3.1.1 and 3.6.1) and their interconnections with other topics such as Climate and Water. These insights will inform goal-setting and establish the appropriate ongoing management structure for these topics. Further details on this process are available in row 3 of section 2.2.2. In late-2025, the Nature and Biodiversity working group will use the IRO results to inform the Prepare phase of LEAP and further refine Goodyear's nature & biodiversity impact assessment process.

Row 3

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Forests
- Water
- Biodiversity

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Dependencies
- Impacts
- Risks
- Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations
- Upstream value chain
- Downstream value chain
- End of life management

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

- Full

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

- Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- Annually

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term
- Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- A specific environmental risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- Site-specific

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Commercially/publicly available tools

- Encore tool
- WRI Aqueduct
- WWF Water Risk Filter
- WWF Biodiversity Risk Filter
- IBAT – Integrated Biodiversity Assessment Tool
- TNFD – Taskforce on Nature-related Financial Disclosures
- LEAP (Locate, Evaluate, Assess and Prepare) approach, TNFD
- Other commercially/publicly available tools, please specify :**TCFD, ISSB S2**

Enterprise Risk Management

- Internal company methods

International methodologies and standards

- IPCC Climate Change Projections

Other

- Desk-based research
- Materiality assessment
- Partner and stakeholder consultation/analysis
- Scenario analysis

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

- Drought
- Tornado
- Avalanche
- Landslide
- Wildfires
- Heat waves
- Subsidence
- Toxic spills
- Cold wave/frost
- Pollution incident

- ✓ Glacial lake outburst
- ✓ Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons
- ✓ Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)
- ✓ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
- ✓ Storm (including blizzards, dust, and sandstorms)

Chronic physical

- ✓ Water stress
- ✓ Soil degradation
- ✓ Change in land-use
- ✓ Groundwater depletion
- ✓ Declining water quality
- ✓ Declining ecosystem services
- ✓ Increased severity of extreme weather events
- ✓ Water availability at a basin/catchment level
- ✓ Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)
- ✓ Changing precipitation patterns and types (rain, hail, snow/ice)

Policy

- ✓ Carbon pricing mechanisms
- ✓ Changes to international law and bilateral agreements
- ✓ Changes to national legislation

Market

- ✓ Availability and/or increased cost of certified sustainable material
- ✓ Availability and/or increased cost of raw materials
- ✓ Changing customer behavior

Reputation

- ✓ Impact on human health
- ✓ Increased partner and stakeholder concern and partner and stakeholder negative feedback
- ✓ Negative press coverage related to support of projects or activities with negative impacts on the environment (e.g. GHG emissions, deforestation & conversion, water stress)

Technology

- ✓ Dependency on water-intensive energy sources
- ✓ Data access/availability or monitoring systems

- Transition to lower emissions technology and products
- Unsuccessful investment in new technologies

Liability

- Exposure to litigation
- Non-compliance with regulations

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- Customers
- Employees
- Investors
- Suppliers
- Regulators
- Local communities

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- Yes

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

1. IDENTIFY: Goodyear used internal and external insights to identify potentially material environmental impacts, risks and opportunities. This process included: 1.) Review of data: industry and non-industry manufacturing environmental risks and opportunities, lending considerations, global public policies and regulations, global weather trends, impacts on natural resources such as water and natural rubber, mobility industry innovation, etc.; and 2.) Meetings with Goodyear's Better Future Climate Sub-Committee — composed of cross-functional global leaders in Risk Management, Tire Technology, Procurement, Operations, Supply Chain, Sales & Marketing, Legal and Finance — to review the research and identify current/future environmental, physical and transition risks and opportunities. 2. ASSESS: Goodyear utilized three (3) climate scenarios, in alignment with the TCFD and ISSB recommendations, to evaluate the climate impacts/risks/opportunities for their likelihood of occurrence and potential financial impact to the organization, in the short, medium and long terms. These three scenarios included: 1.) “Failed Transition Scenario” considering high physical risk associated with global temperature rise reaching approximately 4.4C°C by 2100; 2.) “Current Policy Scenario” considering both physical and transition risks associated with a future state likely to result from policies already enacted or committed to by global governments; and 3.) “Net Zero by 2050 Scenario” considering high transition risk associated with a rapid and persistent transition to a low-carbon economy, such that global temperature rise is limited to 1.5C°C by 2050. The climate scenarios were informed by models published in the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) AR6 report and the

International Energy Agency (IEA) in their reports, such as the World Energy Outlook. For the risks/opportunities rating highly likely with a potential significant financial impact, Goodyear's Finance team performed detailed financial modeling. 3. RESPOND: To evaluate Goodyear's resilience to these environmental risks and opportunities, the Better Future Climate Sub-Committee held multiple workshops to discuss the risks and opportunities — the Company's ambitions, activities, challenges and what it will take to be resilient and thrive in the short, medium and long terms under these different scenarios. This helped identify additional mitigation and adaptation strategies as well as activities for consideration that will inform Goodyear's ongoing climate strategy and transition plan. Goodyear also identified where its climate ambitions need to be further woven into global strategy, processes and decision-making. Strategies are integrated into Goodyear's Better Future corporate responsibility framework, corporate responsibility ambitions, and annual operating plans, which help facilitate the integration of environmental-related risks and opportunities into all levels of the organization. Environmental risks and opportunities continue to inform Goodyear's short- and medium-term annual strategic and financial planning and forecasting.

[Add row]

(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

(2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:

Yes

(2.2.7.2) Description of how interconnections are assessed

Goodyear is committed to understanding how its value chain may affect nature and natural resources, including forests, land, air and water. Goodyear's double materiality process examined the full scope of its value chain and product portfolio. In 2024, Goodyear began to assess its impact on nature using the Taskforce on Nature-related Financial Disclosures' (TNFD) LEAP approach. Using tools including ENCORE, Science Based Targets for Nature's (SBTN) materiality assessment and World Wildlife Fund's (WWF) Biodiversity Risk Filter tool, the company determined that its initial scope would include the upstream (natural rubber processors only) and direct operations value chain stages. Goodyear has completed the first two phases of LEAP (Locate & Evaluate), identifying priority locations in its upstream and direct operations based on location sensitivity and environmental performance. Following the evaluation phase, Goodyear identified dependencies on water and habitats for flood and storm protection, and potential impacts related to water use, greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, solid waste and pollutants. In 2025, Goodyear expanded its climate risks and opportunities process into a broader Environmental Impact, Risk, and Opportunity (IRO) Assessment. This expansion aimed to integrate the additional impacts and dependencies identified during the nature and biodiversity impact assessment. This comprehensive process determined priority risks and opportunities (disclosed in sections 3.1.1 and 3.6.1) and their connections to other topics such as Climate and Water. These results will be used to establish the appropriate ongoing management structure for these topics. Further details on this process can be found in row 3 of section 2.2.2.

[Fixed row]

(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?

(2.3.1) Identification of priority locations

Select from:

- Yes, we have identified priority locations

(2.3.2) Value chain stages where priority locations have been identified

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

(2.3.3) Types of priority locations identified

Sensitive locations

- Areas of limited water availability, flooding, and/or poor quality of water

(2.3.4) Description of process to identify priority locations

Goodyear uses water primarily for steam generation, cooling and sanitary purposes. Goodyear operates in areas where water stress can be high, so it strives to continuously reduce water use. To understand the full breadth of its water footprint, Goodyear tracks water withdrawal, water discharged and water consumption data at 51 facilities and uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to assess water stress annually, down to the basin level at every location. Goodyear has 11 facilities in areas exposed to water risks, but those facilities are some of Goodyear's most efficient in terms of water use. Goodyear includes the WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies.

(2.3.5) Will you be disclosing a list/spatial map of priority locations?

Select from:

- Yes, we will be disclosing the list/geospatial map of priority locations

(2.3.6) Provide a list and/or spatial map of priority locations

Priority Locations from Water Stress Assessment.xlsx
[Fixed row]

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

- Qualitative
- Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

- Capital expenditures

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

- Absolute increase

(2.4.5) Absolute increase/ decrease figure

50000000

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

- Frequency of effect occurring
- Time horizon over which the effect occurs
- Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

When assessing environmental-related risks and opportunities, Goodyear defines substantive financial or strategic impact as the ability of a material environmental-related risk or opportunity to negatively or positively influence Goodyear's finances (including revenues, expenditures, assets & liabilities, and capital & financing) by more than 50 million (USD) annually, or 250 million (USD) between the fiscal years of 2024 and 2028 (short-term, five-year time horizon). Goodyear assesses

environmental risks and opportunities from both qualitative and quantitative perspectives, on an annual basis, assessing risks and opportunities that are highly likely, in the short, medium and long-term, considering the frequency of occurrence within the financial impact modeling.

Opportunities

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

- Qualitative
- Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

- Revenue

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

- Absolute increase

(2.4.5) Absolute increase/ decrease figure

50000000

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

- Frequency of effect occurring
- Time horizon over which the effect occurs
- Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

When assessing environmental-related risks and opportunities, Goodyear defines substantive financial or strategic impact as the ability of a material environmental-related risk or opportunity to negatively or positively influence Goodyear's finances (including revenues, expenditures, assets & liabilities, and capital & financing) by more than 50 million (USD) annually, or 250 million (USD) between the fiscal years of 2024 and 2028 (short-term, five-year time horizon). Goodyear assesses environmental risks and opportunities from both qualitative and quantitative perspectives, on an annual basis, assessing risks and opportunities that are highly likely, in the short, medium and long-term, considering the frequency of occurrence within the financial impact modeling.

[Add row]

(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

(2.5.1) Identification and classification of potential water pollutants

Select from:

Yes, we identify and classify our potential water pollutants

(2.5.2) How potential water pollutants are identified and classified

Goodyear monitors its water use, sets company-wide and facility-specific goals to reduce its operational impacts and continues to comply with applicable laws and regulations. Goodyear has global policies and processes on water conservation as well as requirements pertaining to the treatment and discharge of storm water, wastewater and the proper operation and management of oil and water separators. In addition to Goodyear's global policies, individual facilities have additional requirements to monitor and reduce potential pollutants defined by permits and country regulations. Examples of controls are primarily physical chemical wastewater treatment systems and oil/water separators. Metrics are not aggregated at the global level but are collected at the facility level using various methods (e.g., monthly/quarterly samples, etc.). In 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all its manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance the Company's existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy.

[Fixed row]

(2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.

Row 1

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

- Other physical pollutants

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Metals

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

- Discharge treatment using sector-specific processes to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

Goodyear monitors its water use, sets company-wide and facility-specific goals to reduce its operational impacts and continues to comply with applicable laws and regulations. Goodyear has global policies and processes on water conservation and requirements pertaining to the treatment and discharge of storm water, wastewater and proper operation and management of oil and water separators. In addition to Goodyear's global policies, individual facilities have additional requirements to monitor and reduce potential pollutants defined by permits and country regulations. Examples of controls are primarily physical chemical wastewater treatment systems and oil/water separators. Metrics are not aggregated at the global level but are collected at the facility level using various methods (e.g., monthly/quarterly samples, etc.).

Row 2

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

- Oil

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Oil and grease can impact publicly owned treatment works (POTW), POTW treatment processes, the bug life in an activated sludge treatment system and aquatic life if in storm water. Preventing discharges/releases of oil and grease is crucial to maintaining ecosystems, ensuring proper operation of wastewater discharge systems, preventing unnecessary expenses for repairs, clean-ups and complying with environmental regulations. Goodyear has dedicated internal standards and procedures for proper management of oil and water.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

Discharge treatment using sector-specific processes to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

Goodyear monitors its water use, sets company-wide and facility-specific goals to reduce its operational impacts and continues to comply with applicable laws and regulations. Goodyear has global policies and processes on water conservation and requirements pertaining to the treatment and discharge of storm water, wastewater and proper operation and management of oil and water separators. In addition to Goodyear's global policies, individual facilities have additional requirements to monitor and reduce potential pollutants defined by permits and country regulations. Examples of controls are primarily physical chemical wastewater treatment systems and oil/water separators. Metrics are not aggregated at the global level but are collected at the facility level using various methods (e.g., monthly/quarterly samples, etc.).

[Add row]

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

Forests

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

Other, please specify :Forest-related risks are integrated in climate risk response.

(3.1.3) Please explain

Forest-related risks are integrated in climate risk response.

Water

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

Plastics

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

Other, please specify :Goodyear plans to assess this in the next 2 years.

(3.1.3) Please explain

Goodyear does not produce plastic products and has little to no packaging associated with its tires. While there are important differences between rubber elastomers and plastic polymers used in plastic products, Goodyear is aware that some sources have drawn connections between tire and road wear particles (TRWP) and microplastic contributions to the environment. As part of the Tire Industry Project (TIP), Goodyear sponsors research in the area of TRWP generation, pathways, fate and ecotoxicity. TIP has been studying the potential impacts of TRWP on human health and the environment since 2005. The early research launched by TIP has provided background in terms of identification, quantification and risk assessment of TRWP in different environmental compartments, including air, soil, sediment and water, through the development of new sample collection methods and analytical techniques. As a result of this research, TIP has published numerous peer-reviewed scientific studies on TRWP to date. TIP continues commissioned research on TRWP conducted by independent research firms and consultants and guided by an advisory panel of academic experts. The TIP-sponsored, peer-reviewed studies have found that TRWP is unlikely to have a significant impact on human health and the environment; however, TIP and its members are mindful of an evolving scientific understanding of TRWP and continue to support independent research to improve the knowledge base. In addition, Goodyear engages with stakeholders from legislators, NGOs, academics and other industries as a member of tire trade associations, including the European Tyre and Rubber Manufacturers' Association (ETRMA) and the U.S. Tire Manufacturers Association (USTMA). Goodyear works with ETRMA and the European Tire & Rim Technical Organisation, or ETRTO, on the development of European tire abrasion standards and limits. That work is aligned with the actions of United Nation's Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE's) Task Force for Tire Abrasion to develop a standardized, global method of testing tire particle emissions to support regulatory actions.

[Fixed row]

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

Risk5

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

Changes to international law and bilateral agreements

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

Upstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

Cameroon

Côte d'Ivoire

Indonesia

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Climate and circularity-related legislation and regulation have emerged, particularly in the European Union (EU), that carry a significant cost of compliance. Examples include EU Deforestation Regulation (EUDR), European Ecodesign for Sustainable Products Regulation (ESPR), Euro 7, Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD), Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) in France and China Compulsory Certification (CCC) for Automotive Tires. Goodyear views EUDR risk as climate-related given that land-use change/deforestation can be a significant driver of climate change. The regulation has increased demand for traceable deforestation-free

supply, for which natural rubber suppliers are charging a price premium per metric ton for compliant quantities. ESPR will likely require recycled content minimums in tires, which often carry a price premium. Euro 7 addresses tire wear through abrasion rate limits and requires product testing to establish abrasion rates for products. CSRD will require Goodyear to achieve third-party assurance on material disclosures. Preparing for CSRD assurance supports other new assurance requirements, such as for California SB 253. French EPR carries fees per tire that tend to increase annually. CCC updates rolling resistance and wet grip requirements and requires third-party testing to validate compliance. In addition to actual costs, there may be added resources related to operational complexity to respond to and comply with the regulations.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Increased compliance costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Medium-term
- The risk has already had a substantive effect on our organization in the reporting year

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- Virtually certain

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

- Medium-high

(3.1.1.15) Effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the reporting year

Goodyear incurred approximately 45 million (USD) of additional direct costs due to raw material price premiums to source EUDR compliant natural rubber.

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Over the 2025–2029 time horizon, Goodyear anticipates 40 million (USD) to 60 million (USD) of increased costs to comply with ESPR, Euro 7, and CSRD. This estimate includes a variety of potential costs related to product testing, expenses for capital equipment, increased use of recycled materials, and third-party assurance of data. The cost figure may change as more information about future requirements and compliance pathways becomes better understood, such as finalized regulatory language, technology pathways to administer a digital product passport, and additional product testing requirements. Last year, Goodyear disclosed a range of 130 million (USD) to 150 million (USD) cost increase related to the price premiums of sourcing EUDR-compliant natural rubber over the 2024-2028 five-year range. Costs from EUDR will persist in the short to medium-term timeframe, but they are anticipated to decrease year over year and are therefore excluded from forward modeling of incremental financial risk above the base year. This risk does not cross Goodyear’s threshold for substantive financial impact of 50 million (USD) in a single year over the modeled time range, but it was one of Goodyear’s most significant environmental risks.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

Yes

(3.1.1.18) Financial effect figure in the reporting year (currency)

45000000

(3.1.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – minimum (currency)

50000000

(3.1.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – maximum (currency)

70000000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

Goodyear estimates a cost to comply with climate and circularity-related emerging regulations to be 50 million (USD) – 70 million (USD) over the 2025-2029 short-to-medium-term time horizon. To reach this figure, Goodyear assessed the increased costs to comply with ESPR, Euro 7, CSRD, French EPR, and updates to the CCC. This estimate includes a variety of potential costs related to product testing, expenses for capital equipment, increased use of recycled materials, EPR fees and third-party assurance of data. The cost figure may change as more information about future requirements and compliance pathways are better understood, such as finalized regulatory language, technology pathways to administer a digital product passport, and additional product testing requirements. The financial modeling completed leverages Goodyear’s current cost of capital, LMC CPI and industry growth rates. Goodyear anticipates spending an estimated 120 million (USD) to 140 million (USD) related to the price premiums of sourcing EUDR-compliant natural rubber during the 2025-2029 medium-term timeframe. While Goodyear expects to incur significant total costs associated with EUDR in the short and medium-term, EUDR costs were not included in the forward modeling of incremental costs, since Goodyear already faced costs in the 2024 base year related to sourcing EUDR-compliant natural rubber, and Goodyear expects these costs to decrease year over

year during the reporting period as more locations of smallholders within the natural rubber supply chain are mapped and assessed against deforestation and legality risks, thereby increasing the supply of natural rubber that complies with the regulation. Therefore, EUDR costs are no longer viewed as incremental cost risk above the base year of reporting.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Compliance, monitoring and targets

Other compliance, monitoring or target, please specify :Increased direct costs

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

60000000

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Goodyear estimates 50 million (USD) – 70 million (USD) in costs from 2025-2029 to comply with climate and circularity-related regulations, including ESPR, Euro 7 and CSRD. This includes product testing, capital equipment, recycled materials, and third-party data assurance. Costs may shift as more information about future requirements and compliance pathways becomes better understood. The financial modeling completed leverages Goodyear's current cost of capital, LMC CPI and industry growth rates. Goodyear expects 120 million (USD) to 140 million (USD) in costs for sourcing EUDR-compliant natural rubber in the same period. These costs are excluded from incremental modeling since they were incurred in the 2024 base year and are expected to decline as more smallholders are mapped and assessed, increasing compliant supply. Therefore, EUDR costs are no longer viewed as incremental cost risk above the base year of reporting.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

The costs shown represent Goodyear's existing estimated costs to comply with ESPR, Euro 7, and CSRD assurance. To comply with emerging regulations, Goodyear needs to develop new raw material sourcing arrangements, deploy new technology, and perform new product testing, among other activities. ESPR may require Goodyear to source different raw materials and develop relationships with new vendors. Goodyear is working to identify recycled raw materials without or with lower price premiums and sourcing strategies to reduce the cost impact of recycled raw material premiums. ESPR also requires products to have a digital product passport. Goodyear is exploring different technologies that can convey the required information at the required points along a product's life cycle. Different solutions being explored will have different costs to implement, and Goodyear is identifying the solution that results in a compliant position and provides valuable information to stakeholders at a favorable cost. Euro 7 will set abrasion limits for C1, C2 and C3 tire classes in the EU. To comply, Goodyear is devising a product testing approach for tire classes that balances the cost of testing and meeting compliance requirements. France instituted an Eco-modulation component to its EPR law in 2025. Goodyear believes it will receive a 20% fee reduction for meeting recycled content and product stewardship requirements.

Water

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

- Risk2

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

- Water stress

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- Peru
- Chile
- China
- India
- Mexico
- Indonesia
- South Africa

(3.1.1.7) River basin where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- Ganges - Brahmaputra
- Godavari
- Santiago
- Yangtze River (Chang Jiang)

Other, please specify :Dagu & Bo Hai Bay (China), Rio Lerma & Gulf of Mexico (Mexico), Pacific Ocean (Peru), Indian River (India), and South Coast (South Africa)

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Goodyear uses water primarily for steam generation, cooling and sanitary purposes. Goodyear operates in areas where water stress can be high, so it strives to continuously reduce water use. To understand the full breadth of its water footprint, Goodyear tracks water withdrawal, water discharged and water consumption data at 51 facilities and uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to assess water stress annually, down to the basin level at every location. Goodyear currently has 11 facilities in areas exposed to water risks/water stress, but those facilities are some of Goodyear's most efficient in terms of water use. Goodyear expects this number to reach 18 by 2050 based on a WRI Aqueduct business-as-usual scenario. Goodyear includes the WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

Other, please specify :No financial effect

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

Short-term

Medium-term

Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

About as likely as not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

Low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Due to the effective management of water, Goodyear does not anticipate substantive effects on its financial position. However, it is possible that local authorities may require companies like Goodyear to limit/halt their water use in times of severe drought, which could cause production delays for Goodyear, or that Goodyear may need to make additional capital or operational expenditures to access water. This risk does not cross Goodyear's threshold for substantive financial impact of 50 million (USD) in a single year over the modeled time range, but it represents a risk that could grow in the future, and could be significant if multiple facilities had issues related to water stress in a single year.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

Yes

(3.1.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – minimum (currency)

2000000

(3.1.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

14000000

(3.1.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – minimum (currency)

2000000

(3.1.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – maximum (currency)

12000000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

If one of Goodyear's manufacturing facilities in a water-stressed region were required to shut down for one week (7 days) by local authorities due to low levels of local water supply, that requirement could potentially impact Goodyear's annual revenue by 2 million (USD) to 12 million (USD), depending on the facility. It is assumed that an event could happen in the one-year period or a single event could happen in the five-year, medium-term period.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Infrastructure, technology and spending

- Adopt water efficiency, water reuse, recycling and conservation practices

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

5000000

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Goodyear monitors the water stress situation for each of its facilities around the world and takes appropriate action when necessary. Costs to implement this strategy are included in the annual operating budgets for routine maintenance. Capital expenses are allocated when large system upgrades are needed. Projecting forward the 2024 capital spending on projects that directly led to water withdrawal reductions and including estimates for requested projects would result in an estimated budget for potential water projects of 5 million (USD) for the next 5 years. The potential investment is modeled using projected inflation and discounted based on Goodyear's presumed cost of capital to arrive at an estimated potential cost.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Goodyear will be conducting an analysis of potential water-saving technologies in manufacturing facilities located in current and future-projected water-stressed regions. Goodyear is continuously evaluating whether upgrading systems could effectively save resources by making the systems more efficient to operate. Goodyear utilizes closed-loop systems, where possible, to reduce overall water intake. Through its five-year project planning, Goodyear identifies opportunities for additional water-savings projects that can be implemented in the manufacturing facilities. This five-year project planning is integrated into the company's annual budget allocation planning.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

- Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

- Increased severity of extreme weather events

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Peru | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brazil |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chile | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Canada |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> China | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> France |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> India | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mexico |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Japan | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Poland |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Serbia | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Malaysia |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Turkey | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Slovenia |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Finland | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Thailand |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Germany | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indonesia |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Colombia | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Singapore |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Luxembourg | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Netherlands | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> South Africa | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> United States of America | |

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

There is growing concern that carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases in the atmosphere may have an adverse impact on global temperatures, weather patterns and the frequency and severity of extreme weather and natural disasters. Natural disasters and extreme weather conditions may cause damage to and/or disrupt the productivity of Goodyear's facilities and/or the Company's ability to deliver finished goods. If the frequency or severity of extreme weather and natural disasters

increases over time, Goodyear may experience a greater number of losses at certain facilities. Such losses could lead to further increases in the deductibles or cost of insurance for those facilities, a reduction of insurance available to the Company or the unavailability of insurance on terms that are acceptable to Goodyear.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term
- Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- Virtually certain

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

- Medium-low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Severe weather events can impact Goodyear's financial position, performance and cash flows in various ways — indirect costs to pay insurance deductibles, a potential increase in insurance premiums, potential lost revenue due to production downtime and potential increased costs to repair property damage and execute business continuity plans. This risk does not cross Goodyear's threshold for substantive financial impact of 50 million (USD) in a single year over the modeled time range, but it was one of Goodyear's most significant climate risks.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

Yes

(3.1.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – minimum (currency)

15000000

(3.1.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

20000000

(3.1.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – minimum (currency)

60000000

(3.1.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – maximum (currency)

80000000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

The estimated financial range, 60 million (USD) to 80 million (USD), for the short- to medium-term, five-year period of 2025-2029, is based on assessing Goodyear's historical frequency and severity of weather-related events and extrapolating to the future. Goodyear experienced one major weather-related event in the last five years, giving Goodyear a 20 percent chance of occurrence in any given year. Goodyear also experienced nine minor weather-related events, giving Goodyear a 180 percent probability of a minor weather event in any given year. Goodyear evaluated the maximum exposure costs associated with major and minor events, at these stated probabilities, assuming a similar frequency and severity for the 2025-2029 period as the 2020-2024 period. Maximum exposure costs take into account higher costs (e.g., repairs, logistics) and potential lost sales. To the extent Goodyear has been able to (or expects to) recover costs through insurance coverage, this has been factored in such that the costs reflect the net cost following filing these claims. This risk is modeled using projected inflation and discounted based on our presumed cost of capital to arrive at an estimated potential impact in current US dollars (USD). In the prior reporting year, Goodyear reported a higher 5-year financial effect figure, largely due to an assumed higher probability of major events, which has been updated due to a correction in the events that were considered major.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Infrastructure, technology and spending

Increase environment-related capital expenditure

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

37000000

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Goodyear's insurers provide suggestions to improve property protection and minimize weather-related risks. Goodyear intends to invest approximately 37 million (USD) over the 2025-2029 period to address facility risks, not exclusive to, but including, climate-related physical risks. This does not consider other business continuity spending, which could address weather-related risks. The potential investment is discounted based on Goodyear's presumed cost of capital to arrive at an estimated potential cost.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

In addition to CapEx for facility improvements, Goodyear uses its business continuity process to support operational resilience across the organization. By proactively identifying risks and critical processes, Goodyear can take steps to speed up response and recovery when incidents occur, significantly reducing business interruption. Goodyear has a robust business continuity process with an annual global and regional resilience roadmap that includes following an aligned maturity model supported by a governance process. All team members follow common objectives in support of Goodyear's associates and business operations. Benchmarking of world-class and best-in-class organizations helps to promote continual improvement goals. Case Study: On April 1, 2023, Goodyear's Tupelo, Mississippi, tire manufacturing facility was impacted by a tornado. No associates were injured. Around-the-clock remediation efforts began immediately. Goodyear's team, which included more than 500 local associates, contractors and team members from regional and global functions, were onsite in Mississippi to help have the facility up and running safely as soon as possible. Goodyear's team worked swiftly and safely to expedite clean-up services that allowed Goodyear to access the building to assess the tornado's impact to the building's structure and the equipment inside. By following its business continuity plan and implementing learnings from previous incidents and tabletop exercises, the Goodyear team was able to minimize business interruption and resume full tire production in Tupelo by the beginning of the third quarter.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

Risk3

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

Carbon pricing mechanisms

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- Japan
- Brazil
- Canada
- France
- Poland
- Germany
- Slovenia
- Luxembourg
- South Africa

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Goodyear's manufacturing facilities are currently subject to carbon fee mechanisms, and this exposure is expected to grow. Systems like the EU ETS may expand to other countries where Goodyear operates. Additional carbon pricing could lead to higher taxes, the need to buy emission credits, or operational changes—each potentially impacting financial performance. Suppliers may also pass on similar costs. In the EMEA region, 13 Goodyear facilities are subject to carbon taxes, including the ETS and national schemes. The ETS will phase out its 30% free emissions allowances for the tire sector after 2026, increasing Goodyear's need to purchase allowances. The IEA projects EU carbon prices could rise from Goodyear's 2024 average of \$71/MT to \$140/MT by 2030 under the International Energy Agency's NZE scenario. Goodyear will continue to face rising carbon costs as long as it uses emissions-generating energy. The company is also assessing the impact of the EU's Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM), which may add carbon costs to certain raw materials and packaging. CBAM's inclusion in Omnibus simplification could affect compliance strategies and costs.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term

Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

Virtually certain

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

Medium-low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Goodyear's costs from the EMEA carbon tax schemes are a proxy for additional expenses Goodyear may assume. Not only will the price paid for emissions trading certificates likely increase, but EMEA carbon taxes will likely continue until Goodyear reaches 100 percent emissions-free, location-based energy. Several other countries worldwide either have, are considering or are adding different forms of carbon tax schemes. Given Goodyear's global nature, Goodyear may be subject to additional carbon taxes, further affecting operating costs. At the same time, Goodyear continues to invest capital and increase operating costs to procure renewable energy, with the goal to utilize 100 percent renewable electricity by 2030 and 100 percent renewable energy across its global manufacturing facilities by 2040. This risk does not cross Goodyear's threshold for substantive financial impact of 50 million (USD) in a single year over the modeled time range, but it is viewed to be one of Goodyear's most significant climate risks.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

Yes

(3.1.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – minimum (currency)

0

(3.1.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

10000000

(3.1.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – minimum (currency)

40000000

(3.1.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – maximum (currency)

60000000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

During 2024, Goodyear paid approximately 11 million (USD) for explicit EMEA carbon costs for its direct Scope 1 CO2 emissions. Goodyear estimates that it paid approximately 25 million (USD) for indirect carbon costs passed by its electric utility providers. These costs are included in the annual budget per affected facility and increase the facility's operating costs. From 2023 to 2024, Goodyear's EMEA carbon tax decreased by approximately 1 million (USD), largely due to paying a lower average price per ETS certificate. The estimated financial impact range of 40 million (USD) to 60 million (USD) represents Goodyear's potential added costs, on top of the 36 million (USD) baseline in 2024 in the Europe, Middle East, and Africa (EMEA) operating region, over the period 2025-2029. It includes both direct taxes on Goodyear's Scope 1 CO2 emissions as well as an estimate of indirect costs from Scope 2 CO2 emissions passed to Goodyear by electric utilities. It does not include an estimate of the added costs to Goodyear's EU suppliers if they were to be similarly taxed, which may be passed through to Goodyear. In forecasting Goodyear's future greenhouse gas emissions over this period, it was assumed that Goodyear's absolute Scope 1 and 2 emissions in EMEA will remain constant throughout this timeframe, with minor (GHG) incremental Scope 1 and 2 emissions growth being offset with incremental efficiencies and opportunities to switch to renewable and low-emissions fuel sources. Goodyear plans to significantly reduce Scope 1 GHG emissions post-2030 through renewable fuels, electrification and new technologies. The calculation considers Goodyear's current cost of CO2e emissions in this geography, as well as estimated tax rate increases over the 2025-2029 period, based on projections from the International Energy Agency (IEA) World Energy Outlook 2024 report (Table B.2), using values for developed economies under the IEA NZE scenario for 2030. Forward-looking estimates exclude emissions from five manufacturing sites, Fulda, Fürstenwalde, Malaysia, Uitenhage and NGT Japan due to facility closures or divestitures that are either pending or occurred during the reporting year. The modeling leverages Goodyear's current cost of capital, LMC CPI and industry growth rates.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Infrastructure, technology and spending

Increase environment-related capital expenditure

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

80000000

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Goodyear projects 80 million (USD) in costs from 2025-2029 to mitigate carbon tax risks through investments in energy efficiency, onsite renewable energy installations, and real-time energy management (RTEM) systems across all manufacturing facilities. These efforts should decrease Goodyear's carbon tax exposure over time and result in additional operational cost savings. Goodyear's energy is split roughly 50/50 between electricity and fuels, including purchased steam. The cost to obtain 100 percent renewable energy, particularly related to fuels, is unknown. Scope 1 GHG emissions will be addressed mainly post-2030, anticipating more cost-effective solutions and new technologies at that time. The investments in the described solutions will also address other risks, such as meeting customer expectations for decarbonization. The potential investment is modeled using projected inflation and discounted based on the presumed cost of capital to arrive at an estimated potential cost.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

As part of its Climate Transition Plan, Goodyear is building a decarbonization pathway with strategies that include energy efficiency projects, renewable energy, fuel switching and electrification across its worldwide manufacturing facilities. Goodyear's goal is to use 100 percent renewable electricity by 2030 and 100 percent renewable energy by 2040 across its global manufacturing facilities. This helps Goodyear reduce or avoid the applicability of current and potential future carbon tax schemes. Goodyear has a Better Future Climate Sub-Committee, comprised of senior leaders from Procurement, Tire Technology, Operations, Supply Chain, Risk Management, Sales and Marketing and IT, that meets monthly to discuss detailed plans, with workstreams advancing between meetings. Goodyear's Board of Directors has at least one meeting annually that discusses Goodyear's climate strategy and progress. Strategy-specific plans are being built to 2030. Case Study: During 2024, Goodyear began a multi-year implementation of a real-time energy management (RTEM) system across all manufacturing sites to measure process-level energy use to drive efficiency. The expectation is that this investment will lead to significant energy savings in the short, medium and long terms.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

- Risk4

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Reputation

- Increased partner and stakeholder concern or negative partner and stakeholder feedback

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- Downstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- Peru
- Chile
- China
- India
- Italy
- Greece
- Latvia
- Mexico
- Norway
- Poland
- Croatia
- Czechia
- Denmark
- Estonia
- Finland
- Uruguay
- Bulgaria
- Colombia
- Malaysia
- Portugal
- Australia
- Guatemala
- Indonesia
- Lithuania
- Singapore
- South Africa
- Taiwan, China
- Japan
- Spain
- Brazil
- Canada
- France
- Serbia
- Sweden
- Turkey
- Austria
- Belgium
- Germany
- Hungary
- Ireland
- Romania
- Ukraine
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Thailand
- Viet Nam
- Argentina
- Luxembourg
- Netherlands
- New Zealand
- Philippines
- Switzerland
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

- Republic of Korea
- United Arab Emirates
- United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Goodyear has Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi)-verified near- and long-term science-based targets (SBTs), aligned to the Paris Climate Agreement. The majority of Goodyear's original equipment manufacturer (OEM) customers also have SBTs and are asking suppliers, like Goodyear, to advance their decarbonization strategies and align on proposed timeframes to help these customers reach their SBTs. The number of questions coming from various stakeholders, in particular OEM customers, regarding Goodyear's SBTs, decarbonization strategies and product life cycle assessments has significantly increased in the last few years, and OEM customers include climate-related requirements in the bid process. Failure to achieve sufficient progress on Goodyear's SBTs and customers' specific climate requests could present a risk to securing OEM contracts. Goodyear receives some, but has not yet received a substantial number, of climate-related inquiries from distributors or others in the replacement tire market. Certain investors, lenders and credit rating agencies have started to consider Goodyear's climate-related risks, opportunities, strategic responses and progress in their analysis of the Company. As such, failure to achieve sufficient progress on Goodyear's SBTs and Net-Zero ambition could present a risk to credit access, increased cost of capital and attracting and retaining shareholders.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Decreased revenues due to reduced demand for products and services

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Medium-term
- Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- Unlikely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

Medium-low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Goodyear has global decarbonization ambitions and strategies, and Goodyear has developed customer-specific decarbonization plans to help customers meet their goals. An inability to show progress on the Company's science-based targets (SBTs) could result in a loss of revenue, either due to volume or pricing, and higher borrowing costs as customers and lenders move in the direction of rewarding those meeting sustainability goals. Decarbonization requires a significant amount of data; innovative materials, technologies, design, processes and business models to become available, scalable, and affordable; partnerships; supplier engagement; a mix of cost-cutting strategies and investments; and complexity management. The automotive supply chain is in a period of significant transition, as the mobility industry is being prompted by regulations and public policies, particularly in the EMEA region, to rapidly move to low-emissions solutions. Throughout this transition, Goodyear is making decisions and investments that position the Company for short-, medium- and long-term success. Transitioning either too quickly or too slowly, or investing in unsuccessful technologies, could impact Goodyear in retaining and growing revenue, controlling costs, cost of capital, and investments. Given the sensitivity approach used to model the risk, it does not cross Goodyear's threshold for substantive financial impact of 50 million (USD) in a single year over the modeled time range, but it is viewed to be one of Goodyear's most significant climate risks.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

Yes

(3.1.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – minimum (currency)

70000000

(3.1.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – maximum (currency)

90000000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

This risk was modeled using a sensitivity approach to illustrate the potential risk associated with one percent lost revenue from consumer and commercial original equipment sales, as well as a one percent borrowing premium. It was assumed that climate goals from major original equipment customers (OEMs) would necessitate showing meaningful progress by the 2026/2027 fitment bid timing. Over a five-year, medium-term outlook, Goodyear believes an inability to show sufficient progress on the Company's science-based targets during this period could result in a loss of revenue beginning around 2027, either due to volume or pricing. The loss in revenue and negative impact to Goodyear's financial performance could lead to a higher cost of capital as lenders and investors move in the direction of tire manufacturers that are better able to support customer requirements. This risk was modeled using 2024 global OEM sales for consumer and

commercial products and total company interest expense as the baseline with projections for inflation and industry growth, then discounted based on Goodyear's presumed cost of capital to arrive at an estimated potential impact in current US dollars (USD). While this modeled risk shows incremental lost revenue and increased borrowing costs of 1 percent, Goodyear recognizes a possibility for this risk to occur at greater than one percent. Winning or losing business in the OE market could have a carry-on sales effect in the replacement tire market, but this is difficult to quantify.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Infrastructure, technology and spending

Increase environment-related capital expenditure

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

110000000

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Goodyear estimates approximately 110 million (USD) will be required by 2030 to reach its 2030 science-based target. This includes investments to reach 100% renewable electricity in at global manufacturing facilities through power purchase agreements (PPAs), onsite solar and energy attribute credits (EACs), based on existing projects and quotes, modeling and market trends. Goodyear is also deploying real-time energy management (RTEM) systems to monitor machine-level energy use and drive efficiency, with expected savings across timeframes. The estimate includes potential costs to increase low-carbon raw materials to reduce Scope 3 GHG emissions and product carbon footprints. Additional investments may be required, though amounts are currently unknown. The total potential cost is modeled using inflation forecasts and discounted using Goodyear's assumed cost of capital.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Goodyear is building a decarbonization pathway with strategies that include low-emissions materials and feedstocks, reducing material consumption, supplier climate commitments, energy efficiency projects across worldwide manufacturing facilities, renewable energy, fuel switching and electrification, and transportation and logistics optimization. Goodyear relies on greenhouse gas (GHG) modeling and life cycle assessments (LCA) to inform decision-making regarding low-carbon solutions. Goodyear has a Better Future Climate Sub-Committee that reports to the Better Future Steering Committee, which is composed of senior leaders from Procurement, Tire Technology, Operations, Supply Chain, Risk Management, Sales and Marketing and more. The committee meets monthly to discuss detailed plans with workstreams advancing the work between meetings. Goodyear's Senior Leadership Team and Board of Directors routinely discusses Goodyear's climate strategy and progress. Strategy-specific plans are being built to 2030. Case Study: As of 2024, Goodyear's manufacturing facilities in EMEA are now powered by 100 percent renewable electricity, and Goodyear maintained the utilization of renewable electricity through procurement and onsite generation at 37 percent across its global footprint, while working to secure Power Purchase Agreements (PPAs)/Virtual Power Purchase Agreements (VPPAs) and various other instruments for reaching 100 percent renewable electricity by 2030. In addition to its manufacturing facilities in EMEA region operating with 100 percent renewable electricity*, Goodyear has several other manufacturing facilities around the world procuring* and generating renewable electricity. *Electricity consumed at these facilities has been offset through renewable energy instruments (ex. EAC's, green tariffs).

[Add row]

(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks.

Climate change

(3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

OPEX

(3.1.2.2) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

39000000

(3.1.2.3) % of total financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

Less than 1%

(3.1.2.4) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

4500000

(3.1.2.5) % of total financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

Less than 1%

(3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures

In 2024, Goodyear spent an estimated 36 million (USD) OpEx on costs for carbon taxes in EMEA and approximately 3 million (USD) in OpEx for renewable energy in Europe, Middle East and Africa (EMEA), Asia Pacific (AP) and Americas. This totals 39 million (USD) in transition-related OpEx. For physical-related OpEx, Goodyear incurred 4.5 million (USD) in 2024 as a result of minor weather-related events.

Water

(3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

Revenue

(3.1.2.2) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

0

(3.1.2.3) % of total financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

Less than 1%

(3.1.2.4) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

12000000

(3.1.2.5) % of total financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

Less than 1%

(3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures

If one of Goodyear's manufacturing facilities in a water-stressed region were required to shut down for one week (7 days) by local authorities due to low levels of local water supply, that requirement could potentially impact Goodyear's annual revenue by 2 million (USD) to 12 million (USD), depending on the facility. It is assumed

that an event could happen in the one-year period or a single event could happen in the five-year medium-term period. In 2024, Goodyear's manufacturing facilities implemented multi-faceted efficiency projects costing 542,000 (USD) that also positively impacted water use.

[Add row]

(3.2) Within each river basin, how many facilities are exposed to substantive effects of water-related risks, and what percentage of your total number of facilities does this represent?

Row 1

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

China

Other, please specify :Dagu

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

1-10%

(3.2.11) Please explain

To understand the full breadth of Goodyear's water footprint, Goodyear uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to annually assess water stress down to the basin level at every location. As a result of this assessment, Goodyear's Ge RuiDa Tire (GRT) facility is located near a water basin that was rated "high" or "extremely high." Goodyear includes these WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies. While water is not consumed in Goodyear's products, water shortages in the communities where Goodyear operates could lead to operational issues if enough water is not available for steam generation, cooling and sanitary purposes.

Row 2

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

Other, please specify :Rio Lerma

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

Less than 1%

(3.2.11) Please explain

To understand the full breadth of Goodyear's water footprint, Goodyear uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to annually assess water stress down to the basin level at every location. As a result of this assessment, Goodyear's El Salto facility is located near a water basin that was rated "high" or "extremely high." Goodyear includes these WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies. While water is not consumed in Goodyear's products, water shortages in the communities where Goodyear operates could lead to operational issues if enough water is not available for steam generation, cooling and sanitary purposes.

Row 3

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

India

Godavari

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

1-10%

(3.2.11) Please explain

To understand the full breadth of Goodyear's water footprint, Goodyear uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to annually assess water stress down to the basin level at every location. As a result of this assessment, Goodyear's Aurangabad facility is located near a water basin that was rated "high" or "extremely high." Goodyear includes these WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies. While water is not consumed in Goodyear's products, water shortages in the communities where Goodyear operates could lead to operational issues if enough water is not available for steam generation, cooling and sanitary purposes.

Row 4

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

Peru

Other, please specify :Pacific Ocean

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

1-10%

(3.2.11) Please explain

To understand the full breadth of Goodyear's water footprint, Goodyear uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to annually assess water stress down to the basin level at every location. As a result of this assessment, Goodyear's Peru facility is located near a water basin that was rated "high" or "extremely high." Goodyear includes these WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies. While water is not consumed in Goodyear's products, water shortages in the communities where Goodyear operates could lead to operational issues if enough water is not available for steam generation, cooling and sanitary purposes.

Row 5

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

China

Yangtze River (Chang Jiang)

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

Less than 1%

(3.2.11) Please explain

To understand the full breadth of Goodyear's water footprint, Goodyear uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to annually assess water stress down to the basin level at every location. As a result of this assessment, Goodyear's Cooper Kunshan Tire (CKT) facility is located near a water basin that was rated "high" or "extremely high." Goodyear includes these WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies. While water is not consumed in Goodyear's products, water shortages in the communities where Goodyear operates could lead to operational issues if enough water is not available for steam generation, cooling and sanitary purposes.

Row 7

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

China

Other, please specify :Bo Hai Bay

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

1-10%

(3.2.11) Please explain

To understand the full breadth of Goodyear's water footprint, Goodyear uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to annually assess water stress, down to the basin level at every location. As a result of this assessment, Goodyear's Pulandian facility is located near a water basin that was rated "high" or "extremely high." Goodyear includes these WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies. While water is not consumed in Goodyear's products, water shortages in the communities where Goodyear operates could lead to operational issues if enough water is not available for steam generation, cooling and sanitary purposes.

Row 9

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

Indonesia

Other, please specify :Indian River

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

Less than 1%

(3.2.11) Please explain

To understand the full breadth of Goodyear's water footprint, Goodyear uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to annually assess water stress down to the basin level at every location. As a result of this assessment, Goodyear's Indonesia facility is located near a water basin that was rated "high" or "extremely high." Goodyear includes these WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies. While water is not consumed in Goodyear's products, water shortages in the communities where Goodyear operates could lead to operational issues if enough water is not available for steam generation, cooling and sanitary purposes.

Row 10

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

India

Ganges - Brahmaputra

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

1-10%

(3.2.11) Please explain

To understand the full breadth of Goodyear's water footprint, Goodyear uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to annually assess water stress down to the basin level at every location. As a result of this assessment, Goodyear's Ballabgarh facility is located near a water basin that was rated "high" or "extremely high." Goodyear includes these WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies. While water is not consumed in Goodyear's products, water shortages in the communities where Goodyear operates could lead to operational issues if enough water is not available for steam generation, cooling and sanitary purposes.

Row 11

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

Other, please specify :Gulf of Mexico

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

Less than 1%

(3.2.11) Please explain

To understand the full breadth of Goodyear's water footprint, Goodyear uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to annually assess water stress down to the basin level at every location. As a result of this assessment, Goodyear's San Luis Potosi (SLP) facility is located near a water basin that was rated "high" or "extremely high." Goodyear includes these WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies. While water is not consumed in Goodyear's products, water shortages in the communities where Goodyear operates could lead to operational issues if enough water is not available for steam generation, cooling and sanitary purposes.

Row 12

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

Chile

Other, please specify :North Coast

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

1-10%

(3.2.11) Please explain

To understand the full breadth of Goodyear's water footprint, Goodyear uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to annually assess water stress down to the basin level at every location. As a result of this assessment, Goodyear's Chile facility is located near a water basin that was rated "high" or "extremely high." Goodyear includes these WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies. While water is not consumed in Goodyear's products, water shortages in the communities where Goodyear operates could lead to operational issues if enough water is not available for steam generation, cooling and sanitary purposes.

Row 13

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin

South Africa

Other, please specify :South Coast

(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

1

(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin

Select from:

1-25%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected

Select from:

1-10%

(3.2.11) Please explain

To understand the full breadth of Goodyear's water footprint, Goodyear uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to annually assess water stress down to the basin level at every location. As a result of this assessment, Goodyear's Chile facility is located near a water basin that was rated "high" or "extremely high." Goodyear includes these WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies. While water is not consumed in Goodyear's products, water shortages in the communities where Goodyear operates could lead to operational issues if enough water is not available for steam generation, cooling and sanitary purposes.

[Add row]

(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

	Water-related regulatory violations	Comment
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	n/a

[Fixed row]

(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Select from:

Yes

(3.5.1) Select the carbon pricing regulation(s) which impact your operations.

Select all that apply

EU ETS

Germany ETS

Québec CaT - ETS

Canada federal fuel charge

Other carbon tax, please specify :**Canadian Carbon Tax**

Luxembourg carbon tax

South Africa carbon tax

(3.5.2) Provide details of each Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) your organization is regulated by.

EU ETS

(3.5.2.1) % of Scope 1 emissions covered by the ETS

2.8

(3.5.2.2) % of Scope 2 emissions covered by the ETS

3

(3.5.2.3) Period start date

01/01/2024

(3.5.2.4) Period end date

12/31/2024

(3.5.2.5) Allowances allocated

20527

(3.5.2.6) Allowances purchased

85869

(3.5.2.7) Verified Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO₂e

61817

(3.5.2.8) Verified Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e

45573

(3.5.2.9) Details of ownership

Select from:

Facilities we own and operate

(3.5.2.10) Comment

n/a

Germany ETS

(3.5.2.1) % of Scope 1 emissions covered by the ETS

3.6

(3.5.2.2) % of Scope 2 emissions covered by the ETS

0.5

(3.5.2.3) Period start date

01/01/2024

(3.5.2.4) Period end date

12/31/2024

(3.5.2.5) Allowances allocated

0

(3.5.2.6) Allowances purchased

52266

(3.5.2.7) Verified Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e

49713

(3.5.2.8) Verified Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e

0

(3.5.2.9) Details of ownership

Select from:

Facilities we own and operate

(3.5.2.10) Comment

n/a

Québec CaT - ETS

(3.5.2.1) % of Scope 1 emissions covered by the ETS

0.5

(3.5.2.2) % of Scope 2 emissions covered by the ETS

0

(3.5.2.3) Period start date

01/01/2024

(3.5.2.4) Period end date

12/31/2024

(3.5.2.5) Allowances allocated

0

(3.5.2.6) Allowances purchased

6649

(3.5.2.7) Verified Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e

6815

(3.5.2.8) Verified Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e

46

(3.5.2.9) Details of ownership

Select from:

Facilities we own and operate

(3.5.2.10) Comment

n/a

[Fixed row]

(3.5.3) Complete the following table for each of the tax systems you are regulated by.

Canada federal fuel charge

(3.5.3.1) Period start date

01/01/2024

(3.5.3.2) Period end date

12/31/2024

(3.5.3.3) % of total Scope 1 emissions covered by tax

0.6

(3.5.3.4) Total cost of tax paid

446846

(3.5.3.5) Comment

n/a

Luxembourg carbon tax

(3.5.3.1) Period start date

01/01/2024

(3.5.3.2) Period end date

12/31/2024

(3.5.3.3) % of total Scope 1 emissions covered by tax

0.3

(3.5.3.4) Total cost of tax paid

187414

(3.5.3.5) Comment

n/a

South Africa carbon tax

(3.5.3.1) Period start date

01/01/2024

(3.5.3.2) Period end date

12/31/2024

(3.5.3.3) % of total Scope 1 emissions covered by tax

1.8

(3.5.3.4) Total cost of tax paid

81703

(3.5.3.5) Comment

n/a

Other carbon tax, please specify

(3.5.3.1) Period start date

01/01/2024

(3.5.3.2) Period end date

12/31/2024

(3.5.3.3) % of total Scope 1 emissions covered by tax

0.5

(3.5.3.4) Total cost of tax paid

412360

(3.5.3.5) Comment

Canadian Carbon Tax
[Fixed row]

(3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by?

Governments continue to consider and enact various carbon tax schemes to help control GHG emissions and mitigate climate change. Goodyear strives to reduce its energy use and emissions, which will in turn help Goodyear reduce its exposure to carbon taxes. Goodyear committed to reduce Scope 1 and 2 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 46 percent by 2030, secure 100 percent renewable electricity by 2030 and 100 percent renewable energy by 2040 across its global manufacturing facilities. To support these goals, Goodyear continues to implement its Energy Optimization Strategy, applying zero-loss thinking to prioritize energy and cost savings opportunities across all manufacturing facilities. This work is supported with scorecards to continuously monitor progress. Components of this strategy include a Goodyear Global Energy Management Team, annual reduction goals for energy use and carbon emissions; a global energy and GHG management system; ongoing development of the global energy projects catalogue; investment in supporting infrastructure; expanding energy monitoring capability; Certified Energy Managers (CEM); certified Carbon Reduction Managers (CRM) accredited by Association of Energy Engineers (AEE); ongoing annual analysis of zero loss energy initiatives; and monthly energy reviews across global operations to share best practices. Goodyear has also integrated energy into its global Operational Excellence strategy. With this integration, every manufacturing facility explores capital and non-capital opportunities to eliminate unnecessary energy use. Best practices are captured and communicated in an enterprise management database. In addition to energy optimization efforts, Goodyear is investing in renewable energy by installing onsite renewable energy, procuring renewable energy through energy attribute credits, green tariffs, power purchase agreements and virtual power purchase agreements, as well as investigating new technologies for process upgrades, electrification and renewable fuel sources. In addition to its EMEA manufacturing facilities operating with 100 percent renewable electricity, Goodyear has several other manufacturing facilities procuring and generating renewable electricity. In 2025, Goodyear will continue to implement projects in its global manufacturing operations that drive energy efficiency as it works toward reaching its near- and long-term goals. Goodyear is on target to reach 100 percent renewable electricity use in all its manufacturing facilities by 2030.

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

Forests

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

No

(3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities

Select from:

Other, please specify :Forest-related opportunities are integrated in climate section.

(3.6.3) Please explain

Forest-related opportunities are integrated in climate section.

Water

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

No

(3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities

Select from:

Evaluation in progress

(3.6.3) Please explain

In 2024, Goodyear formed an internal Nature and Biodiversity working group and began assessing its impact on nature using the Taskforce on Nature-related Financial Disclosures' (TNFD) LEAP approach. Using tools including ENCORE, Science Based Targets for Nature's (SBTN) materiality assessment, and World Wildlife Fund's (WWF) Biodiversity Risk Filter tool, the company determined that its initial scope would include the upstream (natural rubber processors only) and

direct operations value chain stages. By the end of 2024, Goodyear completed the first two phases of LEAP (Locate and Evaluate) to identify priority locations based on location sensitivity and environmental performance. Location sensitivity was assessed using indicators such as proximity to ecologically sensitive areas, species sensitivity, ecosystem integrity, and WRI water risk scores. To determine a location's environmental performance, Goodyear followed TNFD's guidance and developed a tool to aggregate external data from sources like IBAT and WWF and facility-level Goodyear data (e.g., production, waste, emissions, water). Following the evaluation phase, Goodyear identified dependencies on water and habitats for flood and storm protection, and potential impacts related to water use, GHG emissions, solid waste, and pollutants. By mid-2025, Goodyear completed the Assess phase by integrating the results from Locate and Evaluate into its existing climate risks and opportunities process, expanding it into a broader Environmental Impact, Risk, and Opportunity (IRO) Assessment. This integrated approach captured additional impacts and dependencies identified during the nature and biodiversity assessment. The IRO process identified priority risks and opportunities (disclosed in sections 3.1.1 and 3.6.1) and their interconnections with other topics such as Climate and Water. These insights will inform goal setting and establish the appropriate ongoing management structure for these topics. Further details on this process are available in row 3 of section 2.2.2. Additionally, in 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance our existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for the 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy.

[Fixed row]

(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

Other products and services opportunity, please specify :Development and/or expansion of low-emission goods and services

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

Downstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- Peru
- Chile
- China
- India
- Italy
- Greece
- Latvia
- Mexico
- Norway
- Poland
- Croatia
- Czechia
- Denmark
- Finland
- Germany
- Bulgaria
- Colombia
- Malaysia
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Indonesia
- Lithuania
- Singapore
- Luxembourg
- Netherlands
- Republic of Korea
- United Arab Emirates
- Japan
- Spain
- Brazil
- Canada
- France
- Serbia
- Sweden
- Turkey
- Austria
- Belgium
- Hungary
- Ireland
- Romania
- Ukraine
- Uruguay
- Thailand
- Viet Nam
- Argentina
- Australia
- Guatemala
- New Zealand
- Philippines
- Switzerland
- South Africa
- Taiwan, China

- United States of America
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Several Goodyear OEM and fleet customers have set near-term science-based targets (SBTs) for 2030 and have emerging circularity ambitions that are driving the need for products and solutions containing sustainable attributes, with a focus on renewable and recycled materials and a combination of materials, tire design and technologies that deliver lower life cycle greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Both customer and Goodyear urgency is being driven by environmental trends, current and proposed public policy and regulations, voluntary and mandatory sustainability reporting frameworks and SBTs aimed at decoupling business growth from overuse and pollution of natural resources. Through innovative low-carbon, circular, more sustainable products and services, Goodyear sees an opportunity to maintain and potentially grow its market share and/or revenue. This can occur through increased Goodyear brand value and fulfilling product-specific requests from OEM and fleet customers. While data is limited as to the full financial opportunity as the market moves in this direction, Goodyear is working to transition its product portfolio to meet customer demands and to fulfill its corporate sustainability ambitions.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- Very likely (90–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

- Medium-low

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Goodyear estimates a range of incremental financial benefit of 45 million (USD) - 75 million (USD) in the short and medium-term, 5-year 2025-2029 timeframe. This is based on the latest market research, customer dialogue and the cost of procuring sustainable materials. Goodyear believes this revenue benefit of being a leader in commercializing a tire/products with sustainable materials will also dissipate in the medium-term as competitive offerings increase and expectations of OEM customers for sustainable materials being standard offerings. In some markets and product segments, there may be an opportunity to launch tires with sustainable attributes that realize a price premium. Being a leader in offering tires with sustainable attributes may lead to increased short-term revenue from both price premium and/or incremental volume and have the potential to support Goodyear's climate goals. Given the sensitivity approach used to model the opportunity, it does not cross Goodyear's threshold for substantive financial impact of 50 million (USD) in a single year over the modeled time range, but it is viewed to be one of Goodyear's most significant climate opportunities.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

Yes

(3.6.1.17) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term - minimum (currency)

5000000

(3.6.1.18) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

11000000

(3.6.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - minimum (currency)

45000000

(3.6.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - maximum (currency)

75000000

(3.6.1.23) Explanation of financial effect figures

Customers are requesting sustainable attributes in tires to support their own climate and circularity objectives as well as regulatory requirements. Goodyear estimates a range of incremental financial benefit of 45 million (USD) - 75 million (USD) in the short to medium-term, 5-year 2025–2029 time frame. The figure is based on historical data, market research, customer conversations, material costs and assumptions. Goodyear estimates that leadership in tires with sustainable attributes could create an increase in tire volume for the 2025–2029 time range, but that the benefit will begin to dissipate beginning in 2028 as sustainable attributes increasingly become an industry standard and as competitive forces increase. Goodyear modeled the sensitivity of the business to this opportunity by selecting a one percent increase in 2024 global OEM sales for companies with sustainable material requirements as the baseline with projections for inflation and industry growth, then discounted based on Goodyear’s presumed cost of capital to arrive at an estimated potential impact in current US dollars. Additionally, Goodyear looked at products with sustainable materials recently launched in the replacement market and determined the price premium achieved by those products compared to products in the same tire segment without sustainable materials. Goodyear included projected incremental revenue gains from the price premium for those select products, considering their forecast growth over the five-year time frame.

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

1730000000

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

The cost provided considers both the current estimated additional cost of renewable and recycled raw materials over the 2025-2029 medium-term time horizon to meet projected demand from customer requirements and regulations and the global R&D budget. 1.7 billion (USD) represents an approximate projection of Goodyear’s expected R&D spend over the period 2025-2029 based on its recent annual expenses of this type. The annual R&D budget may fluctuate over time, and may be greater than or less than this amount over five years. While Goodyear’s R&D focuses on a variety of product and technology improvements that seek to address the multiple interconnected performance attributes of technical innovation, a portion of these expenditures is dedicated to projects related to tire technology, material science and engineering process innovations that will result in improved performance across multiple sustainability-related attributes. The potential investment is adjusted for inflation and then discounted based on Goodyear’s presumed cost of capital to arrive at a present value of an estimated potential cost. Goodyear’s low-greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, circular materials strategy will help the Company reach its 2030 science-based climate targets, meet climate- and circularity-related regulations, meet customer expectations.., Goodyear is developing various strategies to offset the cost of sustainability-related attributes, in particular the cost of renewable, recycled, and low-carbon materials, including supplier partnerships, identifying these materials at a similar cost to the traditional materials and reducing total materials purchased by improving material efficiency and reducing operational waste.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Goodyear is continuing to advance its innovation of low-carbon, circular and other sustainability-related solutions. Through life-cycle-assessment-informed decision-making for material choices, product design and production process, Goodyear is increasing its use of renewable and recycled materials, striving to use those that have a lower carbon footprint than the current material to be substituted. For example, Goodyear has started using carbon black produced from captured methane and carbon dioxide, rice husk ash silica, recycled steel, recycled polyester fabric, plant-based oils and end-of-life tire pyrolysis oil feedstocks. Goodyear is also making progress on other sustainable attribute dimensions that meet customer requirements, such as reducing indirect product use phase emissions, with a goal to reduce rolling resistance by 40 percent and tire weight by nine percent from 2005 to 2025. Goodyear is producing tires with longer tread life, reducing the number of tires that reach their end of life in a given year. Goodyear is combining these low-carbon, circular, more sustainable strategies and technologies to meet customers’

needs across consumer and commercial tire lines and beyond. Case studies: In 2024, Goodyear launched several tires with sustainable materials. In NA, Goodyear launched the ElectricDrive™ 2, an all-season electric vehicle (EV) tire enhanced with at least 50% sustainable materials per tire by weight, improved rolling resistance compared to its predecessor and long-lasting tread life to maximize drivers' EV performance. In EMEA, Goodyear launched the Goodyear EQMAX and EQMAX ULTRA tire range, which offers up to 20% better mileage and up to 6% improved rolling resistance compared to their predecessors, and with up to 55% sustainable materials, including ingredients like rice husk ash)-based silica. In Asia Pacific, Goodyear launched the Electric Drive Sustainable-material tire with more than 70% sustainable materials, which won the 2025 Tire Technology International Award for Environmental Achievement of the Year. The Goodyear EfficientGrip Performance 2 tire won the Auto Bild Eco-Master rating in 2024, which evaluates tires for balancing performance with sustainability attributes such as durability and rolling resistance.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

Opp2

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

Other products and services opportunity, please specify :Development and/or expansion of low-emission goods and services

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

Downstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

Peru

Chile

China

India

Italy

Japan

Spain

Brazil

Canada

France

- ✓ Greece
- ✓ Latvia
- ✓ Mexico
- ✓ Norway
- ✓ Poland
- ✓ Croatia
- ✓ Czechia
- ✓ Denmark
- ✓ Finland
- ✓ Germany
- ✓ Bulgaria
- ✓ Colombia
- ✓ Malaysia
- ✓ Portugal
- ✓ Slovakia
- ✓ Guatemala
- ✓ Indonesia
- ✓ Lithuania
- ✓ Singapore
- ✓ Luxembourg
- ✓ Taiwan, China
- ✓ Republic of Korea
- ✓ United Arab Emirates
- ✓ United States Minor Outlying Islands
- ✓ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
- ✓ Serbia
- ✓ Sweden
- ✓ Turkey
- ✓ Austria
- ✓ Belgium
- ✓ Hungary
- ✓ Ireland
- ✓ Romania
- ✓ Ukraine
- ✓ Uruguay
- ✓ Slovenia
- ✓ Thailand
- ✓ Viet Nam
- ✓ Argentina
- ✓ Australia
- ✓ Netherlands
- ✓ New Zealand
- ✓ Philippines
- ✓ Switzerland
- ✓ South Africa

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Tires are the only element of a vehicle that touches the ground. This contact point has the potential to provide important data to the vehicle. Connected tires can read the road and report back to the vehicle to enhance levels of safety and performance. These innovations are helping shape the evolution in mobility. Using on-vehicle sensors and active monitoring systems, fleet managers and drivers can evaluate tire conditions in real-time, helping fleets identify critical issues, such as tire air leaks,

improper inflation and high temperatures, while also providing predictive analytics to help reduce tire-related roadside breakdowns. This data and service helps reduce use phase greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions through proper tire inflation and can reduce material consumption associated with premature tire replacement. Demand for intelligent solutions is growing. Tire intelligence also creates an opportunity to manage tires more strategically at the end of life.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term
- Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

- Medium

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Goodyear is a market leader in intelligent tire strategy, product and service offerings. In the short to medium-term, five-year period of 2025-2029, Goodyear modeled sensitivity to this opportunity, indicating that a one percent price premium and a one percent volume increase for fleet segment replacement sales is worth 95 million (USD) – 125 million (USD) of incremental revenue. Tire intelligence offers a potential growth area to Goodyear’s overall business with a potential to also support customer decarbonization goals. Given the sensitivity approach used to model the opportunity, it does not cross Goodyear’s threshold for substantive financial impact of 50 million (USD) in a single year over the modeled time range, but it is viewed to be one of Goodyear’s most significant climate opportunities.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

Yes

(3.6.1.17) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term - minimum (currency)

5000000

(3.6.1.18) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

35000000

(3.6.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - minimum (currency)

95000000

(3.6.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - maximum (currency)

125000000

(3.6.1.23) Explanation of financial effect figures

This financial range, 95 million (USD) to 125 million (USD), represents Goodyear's potential market-related benefits of increased demand for intelligent tires and related services with fleet customers. This was calculated using a sensitivity approach of one percent volume growth and a one percent price premium, using 2024 replacement sales within the fleet segment as the baseline, over the five-year period of 2025-2029. This one percent sensitivity was selected considering uncertainty as to the percentage of additional market share and pricing advantage that Goodyear might capture but demonstrates the potential opportunity of multiple points of volume growth and price premium. Goodyear believes the price premium and volume benefit of being a leader in commercializing intelligent tire solutions and innovative connected service models will erode slowly in the medium term as an increase in competitive offerings provides more choices to customers. This opportunity was modeled with projections for inflation and industry growth, then discounted based on Goodyear's presumed cost of capital to arrive at an estimated potential impact in current US dollars (USD).

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

1700000000

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

The specific amounts and timing of investments will be driven by market demand for Goodyear's solutions, business requirements, macroeconomic factors and overall availability of capital. This 1.7 billion (USD) represents an approximate projection of Goodyear's expected R&D spend over the period 2025-2029 based on its recent annual expenses of this type. The annual R&D budget may fluctuate over time and may be greater than or less than this amount over five years. While Goodyear's R&D focuses on a variety of product and technology improvements that seek to address the multiple interconnected performance attributes of technical innovation, a portion of these expenditures is dedicated to projects related to technology integration, software and algorithm development, as well as investments in partnerships that result in competitive tire intelligence offerings. The potential investment is adjusted for inflation and then discounted based on Goodyear's presumed cost of capital to arrive at a present value of an estimated potential cost.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Goodyear set a goal that, by 2027, it will reinvent tires and service, delivering data- and sensor-enabled intelligence in all new products. To enable this, Goodyear has a Global Tire Intelligence and Solutions program within its R&D function, driving focused development and investments in external partnerships. This development allows Goodyear to offer market-leading tire management solutions for commercial trucking fleet managers, including Goodyear Tire Management and Goodyear Proactive Solutions. One stride toward the 2027 goal is the development of SightLine technology, utilizing on-vehicle sensors that send data to the Goodyear mobility cloud about tire health and maintenance warnings. Goodyear also developed intelligent tire services for commercial fleet management—TireOptix and Checkpoint Drive-Over-Reader. These services help maximize the lifespan of tires and reduced fuel consumption associated with improper tire inflation through sensors and tools that provide tire pressure and health indicators. Goodyear holds various partnerships to power advancements in commercial fleets. One is with ZF, a global technology firm. Together, Goodyear's organizations offer transportation companies a one-stop solution for tire and fleet management, including tire monitoring and tire management insights. Case study: In 2024, Goodyear launched a new Tires-as-a-Service (TaaS) subscription-based business model. Through TaaS, Goodyear equips a customer with premium Goodyear tires and tire intelligence technology to generate proactive insights. A key feature is the inclusion of a Halo Tire Inflator, a self-powered automatic tire inflation system installed on applicable wheel ends through an exclusive agreement with Aperia Technologies. This technology will ensure proper tire inflation and will support reduced fuel consumption associated with improper tire inflation. In recent a recent pilot, the TaaS solution helped reduce total cost of ownership, contributing to a nearly 80 percent reduction in emergency vehicle breakdown events and a 100 percent reduction in customer-owned inventory for a last-mile delivery fleet operating in the U.S. Goodyear completed a third-party reviewed life cycle assessment that showed a 2% reduction in fuel consumption for a TaaS-managed long haul fleet vehicle over a 15 year lifetime compared to a non-managed vehicle.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

Opp3

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

- Other products and services opportunity, please specify :Development and/or expansion of low-emission goods and services

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

- Downstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- | | |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Peru | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Japan |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chile | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spain |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> China | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brazil |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> India | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Canada |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Italy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> France |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Greece | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Serbia |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Latvia | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sweden |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mexico | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Turkey |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Norway | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Austria |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Poland | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Belgium |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Croatia | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hungary |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Czechia | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ireland |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Denmark | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Romania |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Finland | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ukraine |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Germany | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Uruguay |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bulgaria | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Slovenia |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Colombia | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Thailand |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Malaysia | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Viet Nam |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Portugal | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Argentina |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Slovakia | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Australia |

- Guatemala
- Indonesia
- Lithuania
- Singapore
- Luxembourg
- Taiwan, China
- Republic of Korea
- United Arab Emirates
- United States of America
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
- Netherlands
- New Zealand
- Philippines
- Switzerland
- South Africa

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Stringent emissions regulations are already in place at international, national and subnational levels, with more emissions regulations planned across the globe with the intent to decarbonize the economy. Electrification of vehicles is a key enabler of the transition to a lower carbon-emitting transport sector. By 2035, the EU and Canada plan to ban the sale of new fossil-powered cars, with other nations considering similar bans. According to the International Energy Agency (IEA) Global EV Outlook 2025 report, the electric vehicle parc is expected to grow at a 26 percent CAGR from approximately 60 million vehicles in 2024 to reach approximately 250 million vehicles by 2030. Goodyear OE customers have plans to increase their share of electric vehicles (EVs) in response. This shift requires tires that meet the evolving needs and challenges presented by electric vehicles. Goodyear has been working to ensure strong positioning in this future EV market and believes that in the early years EV market growth it may be able to achieve a market share advantage due to a combination of Goodyear’s technology, scale, customer relationships and fast action on this trend. As the automotive industry evolves, Goodyear expects to provide a wider range of products and services to remain competitive. Early evidence shows Goodyear continuing to increase its wins on electric vehicle fitments.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

Virtually certain (99–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

Medium-low

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Due to the magnitude of expected industry growth and Goodyear's leadership position as a Tier 1 original equipment (OE) supplier, Goodyear anticipates a short-medium-term potential financial impact range of 0 - 20 million (USD) for the period of 2025 - 2029. While the EV parc is expanding and is projected to expand in the future, the total vehicle and tire market is expanding at a slower rate. Goodyear believes that EV tire sales will largely come at the expense of sales of tires for internal combustion engine (ICE) vehicles. In other words, EV tire sales volume will likely replace an equivalent amount of existing ICE tire sales volume. The financial benefit, then, is due to incremental pricing for EV tires as compared to ICE tires. While Goodyear anticipates a low-magnitude financial impact over five years in the OE market for EV tires, not being ready with products that meet the new requirements of EVs could mean share loss. Having strong EV products gives Goodyear the opportunity to compete and maintain or increase share. Goodyear also knows that winning OE business tends to translate to a percentage of trailing replacement tire sales, as consumers often replace worn tires with new tires of the same brand, which will likely positively affect Goodyear's financial position in the long term. This underscores the importance of maintaining strong relationships with OE customers and having innovation commercialized and ready for market trends like the EV transition. EVs represent a growing trend in the tire industry, and Goodyear will partner with key OEMs to contribute to decarbonization goals for the entire industry. Given the sensitivity approach used to model the opportunity, it does not cross Goodyear's threshold for substantive financial impact of 50 million (USD) in a single year over the modeled time range, but it is viewed to be one of Goodyear's most significant climate opportunities.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

Yes

(3.6.1.17) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term - minimum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.18) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

400000

(3.6.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - minimum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - maximum (currency)

20000000

(3.6.1.23) Explanation of financial effect figures

According to the International Energy Agency (IEA), the electric vehicle (EV) parc is expected to grow at a 26 percent CAGR from approximately 60 million vehicles in 2024 to reach approximately 250 million vehicles by 2030. Due to the magnitude of expected industry growth and Goodyear's leadership position as a Tier 1 original equipment (OE) supplier, Goodyear anticipates a short-term potential financial impact range of 0 - 20 million (USD) for the period of 2025 - 2029. Goodyear modeled revenue gains associated with a one-point share gain for the OE EV market. Goodyear assumed the one-point share gain would persist through 2027, with its share increase eroding to a 0.75 point increase as competitive forces strengthen in the marketplace by 2028. Goodyear used 2024 OE EV fitment wins pricing as the baseline with projections for inflation and market growth linked to a 250-million electric vehicle parc in 2030, then discounted based on its presumed cost of capital to arrive at an estimated potential impact in current US dollars (USD). It was assumed that EV tire sales volume would replace an equivalent amount of existing ICE tire sales volume, thereby resulting in a net financial benefit of incremental pricing for EV tires as compared to ICE tires. The modeling does not include assumptions for EV tires sold in the replacement market, as many replacement sales may occur after 5 years, which is outside of Goodyear's short medium-term modeling window.

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

1700000000

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

This 1.7 billion (USD) represents an approximate projection of Goodyear's expected R&D spend over the period 2025-2029 based on its recent annual expenses of this type. The annual R&D budget may fluctuate over time, and may be greater than or less than this amount over five years. While Goodyear's R&D focuses on a variety of product and technology improvements that seek to address the multiple interconnected performance attributes of technical innovation, a portion of these expenditures is dedicated to projects related to tire technology, material science and engineering process innovations to address the specific applications of EV tires. The potential investment is adjusted for inflation and then discounted based on Goodyear's presumed cost of capital to arrive at a present value of an estimated potential cost. While EV tires may include additional component (e.g. sensors), Goodyear would anticipate utilizing existing facilities and equipment to manufacture EV tires.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Goodyear has and will continue to develop many products for commercial and consumer segments that are equipped for the demanding needs of electric vehicles (EVs) and balance the performance requirements desired from a growing audience of adopters. The added weight and torque associated with EVs can impact several factors when it comes to tire performance, most importantly, load capacity, treadwear and vehicle range. Building on successful EV-ready tire launches since 2022, Goodyear continues to build out its EV tire portfolio, and in 2024, Goodyear launched ElectricDrive™ 2 in North America and the ElectricDrive Sustainable-material Tire in the Asia Pacific market, the next generation of EV-specific tires that are part of the ElectricDrive product line. Goodyear also began to include an EV-Ready logo on the sidewall of applicable replacement tires, signaling the tire's compatibility with both electric vehicles (EVs) and plug-in hybrid electric vehicles (PHEVs), as well as traditional internal combustion engine (ICE) vehicles. Case study: Goodyear introduced the ElectricDrive 2, built for electric vehicles and designed to deliver upgraded all-season traction and long-lasting tread life. The ElectricDrive 2 is equipped with the following features and benefits: SoundComfort Technology foam inserts that help reduce the level of interior vehicle sound; at least 50 percent sustainable materials, including the use of soybean oil in the tire's construction, rice husk ash silica and sustainably sourced natural rubber; asymmetric tread pattern that is optimized to improve grip and responsiveness on wet and dry roads; long-lasting tread compound, designed with resilience in mind to help EV drivers get more miles out of their tires; aerodynamic sidewall and innovative compound that lowers aerodynamic drag and enables lower rolling resistance.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

Opp4

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resource efficiency

Other resource efficiency opportunity, please specify :Waste and material reduction

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

Peru

Chile

Brazil

Canada

- China
- India
- Japan
- Serbia
- Turkey
- Germany
- Colombia
- Malaysia
- South Africa
- United States of America
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

- France
- Mexico
- Poland
- Slovenia
- Thailand
- Indonesia
- Luxembourg
- Netherlands

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Circularity is one of Goodyear's high-priority topics, and the Company increasingly recognizes opportunities to decouple raw material inputs for tire production from finite resource consumption, expand into new markets and reduce costs across its business. Goodyear's raw material costs represent a significant expense for the business. While there are many circular innovation and process opportunities that could be discussed and modeled, two specific opportunities Goodyear is working on and modeled here are product dematerialization and operational waste efficiency. Tire weight reduction has the potential for end-to-end advantages, reducing raw material consumption, energy consumption, use-phase GHG emissions and end-of-life materials management. Reducing operational waste has the potential to also lead to a reduction in material consumption. Both opportunities reduce the amount of material inflow into Goodyear's products, which impacts the flow of materials through the value chain. Circular innovation can also have climate co-benefits. Goodyear's greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from purchased goods and services represent approximately 55 percent of Goodyear's total carbon footprint. Dematerializing the tire through design and reducing waste in operations has the potential to reduce Scope 3 GHG emissions by reducing the total volume of materials that flow through the Goodyear value chain.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- Reduced direct costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term

- Long-term
- The opportunity has already had a substantive effect on our organization in the reporting year

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- Virtually certain (99–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

- Medium-high

(3.6.1.13) Effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the reporting period

Goodyear is working towards reducing operational material waste as a percent of finished stock value. In 2024, Goodyear realized approximately 37 million (USD) in reduced operating costs due to improving waste efficiency within its manufacturing footprint.

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

In the short to medium-term, five-year period of 2025-2029, Goodyear modeled cost savings worth 180 – 250 million (USD) of incremental value. Circular innovation represents a growing opportunity to create value for the business through more efficient use of materials and in ways that also can support decarbonization and climate goals. The opportunity does not cross Goodyear's threshold for substantive financial impact of 50 million (USD) in a single year over the modeled time range, but it is viewed to be one of Goodyear's most significant climate opportunities.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

- Yes

(3.6.1.16) Financial effect figure in the reporting year (currency)

37000000

(3.6.1.17) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term - minimum (currency)

20000000

(3.6.1.18) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

40000000

(3.6.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - minimum (currency)

180000000

(3.6.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - maximum (currency)

250000000

(3.6.1.23) Explanation of financial effect figures

Goodyear anticipates a short-to-medium-term potential financial impact range of 150 - 250 million (USD) for the period of 2025-2029. Goodyear modeled cost savings associated with two initiatives, product dematerialization and a reduction in operational waste. Goodyear has been working toward a goal of reducing tire weight by nine percent for its global consumer tire portfolio from a 2005 baseline by 2025. Using historical results from this program, Goodyear assumed a continued annual reduction of tire weight over the course of the short-medium term modeling period of 2025-2029. Goodyear is also working towards reducing operational material waste as a percent of finished stock value. For both initiatives, Goodyear modeled the cost savings related to the annual reduction in purchased materials, assuming the reductions would translate to less material purchased, then discounted based on its presumed cost of capital to arrive at an estimated potential impact in current US dollars (USD).

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

1700000000

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

This 1.7 billion (USD) represents an approximate projection of Goodyear's expected R&D spend over the period 2025-2029 based on its recent annual expenses of this type. The annual R&D budget may fluctuate over time, and may be greater than or less than this amount over five years. While Goodyear's R&D focuses on a variety of product and technology improvements that seek to address the multiple interconnected performance attributes of technical innovation, a portion of these expenditures is dedicated to projects related to tire technology, material science and engineering process innovations that will reduce tire weight. Operational waste reduction is often realized through equipment repair and improvements in quality from modernizing equipment. As such, capital expenditures and Maintenance,

Repair, and Operations (MRO) spending, while often budgeted for other purposes, may contribute to realizing improved material efficiency. The potential investment is adjusted for inflation and then discounted based on Goodyear's presumed cost of capital to arrive at a present value of an estimated potential cost.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Goodyear has a Circularity Sub-Committee that reports to the Better Future Steering Committee to govern, manage and connect the many circularity-related initiatives upon which the company is working. Product dematerialization and operational waste are workstreams within the Sub-Committee. Goodyear has been working toward a goal of reducing tire weight by nine percent for its global consumer tire portfolio from a 2005 baseline by 2025. By the end of 2024, Goodyear had achieved a 9.9 percent overall reduction over the 2005 baseline. To accomplish this, Goodyear developed state-of-the-art technology in rubber compounding, tire construction and manufacturing to meet the increasing demand for lighter tires with low rolling resistance. For the past decade, Goodyear has applied lightweight technology to many of its tires, such as thinner, but stronger steel cord to reduce the amount of steel cord per tire and the reduction of waste generated in its operations. As Goodyear looks beyond this goal, its Technology, Global Material Science and Sustainability teams are actively looking at developing tire constructions that use new technologies and sustainable materials that carry higher loads at a lower weight, while meeting Goodyear's high standards for safety and performance. This is especially true as the focus on electric vehicles (EVs) continues to grow, as Goodyear is looking at ways to reduce tire weight to help improve the energy usage in EVs. Goodyear is continuing to work with its customers to understand their needs and find ways to use these new technologies to help them reach their own sustainability goals. Goodyear has a comprehensive manufacturing Plant Optimization program. People and Environmental Care is a foundational pillar, with a purpose to build a culture for the well-being of associates, contractors, communities and to protect the environment. Focused processes are in place to identify and manage continuous improvement activities, benchmarking, and best practices for waste reduction. Each year, hundreds of waste reduction projects are identified and reviewed through a global Annual Operating Plan planning process. Waste projects are assigned to project leaders at the manufacturing facilities for the execution of waste reduction across all regions. Senior leaders review progress, ensuring we are meeting internal goals related to reducing material waste.

[Add row]

(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.

Climate change

(3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures

Tires made with sustainable materials, intelligent tires and services associated with these products are just starting to be commercialized and launched. Sales generated in 2024 from these types of products and/or services were not significant for purposes of this report. EV tires are a growing segment of Goodyear's business. OEM EV-related fitment sales revenue continued to grow in 2024. Regarding cost reduction associated specifically with waste reduction, Goodyear realized 37 million (USD) reduction in waste-related costs in 2024.

[Add row]

C4. Governance

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

(4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

Yes

(4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

More frequently than quarterly

(4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

(4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

Yes, and it is publicly available

(4.1.5) Briefly describe what the policy covers

Goodyear's Board of Directors (Board) has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines to promote the effective and appropriate functioning of the Board and its committees and to provide guidance as to how the Board is expected to perform its functions. In order to provide different perspectives in Board deliberations, the nominating process should also attempt to ensure that the Board as a whole reflects diverse business experience, substantive expertise, skills and background, as well as diversity in personal characteristics, such as age, gender and ethnicity.

(4.1.6) Attach the policy (optional)

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

Climate change

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

Forests

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

Water

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

Biodiversity

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

No, but we plan to within the next two years

(4.1.1.2) Primary reason for no board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Select from:

- No standardized procedure

(4.1.1.3) Explain why your organization does not have board-level oversight of this environmental issue

Goodyear is committed to understanding how its value chain may affect nature and natural resources, including forests, land, air, and water. In 2024, Goodyear formed an internal Nature and Biodiversity working group and began assessing its impact on nature using the Taskforce on Nature-related Financial Disclosures' (TNFD) LEAP approach. Using tools including ENCORE, Science Based Targets for Nature's (SBTN) materiality assessment, and World Wildlife Fund's (WWF) Biodiversity Risk Filter tool, the company determined that its initial scope would include the upstream (natural rubber processors only) and direct operations value chain stages. By the end of 2024, Goodyear completed the first two phases of LEAP (Locate and Evaluate) to identify priority locations based on location sensitivity and environmental performance. Location sensitivity was assessed using indicators such as proximity to ecologically sensitive areas, species sensitivity, ecosystem integrity, and WRI water risk scores. The Integrated Biodiversity Assessment Tool (IBAT) played a key role in this evaluation, providing data on legally protected areas among others. While Goodyear has identified which facilities are located in or near sensitive areas, including legally protected areas, this information will not be disclosed externally until a full nature impact assessment is completed and the results have been thoroughly analyzed. This deliberate approach ensures that future disclosures are accurate, meaningful, and aligned with Goodyear's broader environmental strategy. By mid-2025, Goodyear completed the Assess phase by integrating the results from Locate and Evaluate into its existing climate risks and opportunities process, expanding it into a broader Environmental Impact, Risk, and Opportunity (IRO) Assessment. This integrated approach captured additional impacts and dependencies identified during the nature and biodiversity assessment. The IRO process identified priority risks and opportunities (disclosed in sections 3.1.1 and 3.6.1) and their interconnections with other topics such as Climate and Water. These insights will inform goal-setting and establish the appropriate ongoing management structure for these topics. Further details on this process are available in row 3 of section 2.2.2. In late-2025, the Nature and Biodiversity working group will use the IRO results to inform the Prepare phase of LEAP and further refine Goodyear's nature & biodiversity impact assessment process.

[Fixed row]

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
- Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)
- Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Committee on Corporate Responsibility and Compliance Charter

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
- Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- Approving corporate policies and/or commitments
- Approving and/or overseeing employee incentives
- Monitoring the implementation of a climate transition plan
- Monitoring compliance with corporate policies and/or commitments
- Overseeing and guiding the development of a climate transition plan

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Goodyear's Board of Directors (Board) oversees the Company's sustainability impacts, risks, opportunities and strategies. The Committee on Corporate Responsibility and Compliance (CRC) reviews climate-related risks and opportunities, targets, strategies, metrics and progress annually. The CRC is responsible for monitoring and providing recommendations on how Goodyear manages its business in a responsible manner, including sustainability objectives, policies, strategies, programs and performance. This includes the responsibility to monitor the Company's climate strategy. The CRC also discusses the strategies and their integration into business processes. The governance structure for Goodyear's climate ambition and corresponding strategy is detailed in Goodyear's Climate Transition Plan, published December 2024. Goodyear drives climate resiliency through decarbonization, adaptation and transition. This approach is essential to curbing and limiting global temperature rise, helping avoid climate change impacts, and promoting sustainable growth.

Forests

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
- Chief Procurement Officer (CPO)
- Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Committee on Corporate Responsibility and Compliance Charter

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- Approving corporate policies and/or commitments
- Monitoring compliance with corporate policies and/or commitments
- Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
- Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- Approving and/or overseeing employee incentives

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Goodyear's Board of Directors (Board) and its Committee on Corporate Responsibility and Compliance (CRC): Founded in 1976, the CRC monitors and provides recommendations on how Goodyear manages its business in a responsible manner. The CRC, currently composed of five Board members, meets at least three times a year to review and receive updates from management on sustainability-related topics, which includes reports and updates from Goodyear's Vice President and Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO). Members of the CRC pursue continuing education opportunities relevant to their responsibilities, either through in-house presentations by recognized experts in their field or attendance at outside educational programs. The full Board regularly receives a report following each committee meeting and is aware and supportive of Goodyear's sustainability strategy.

Water

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
- Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)
- Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Committee on Corporate Responsibility and Compliance Charter

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- Approving corporate policies and/or commitments

- Monitoring compliance with corporate policies and/or commitments
- Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
- Monitoring progress towards corporate targets

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Goodyear's Board of Directors (Board) and its Committee on Corporate Responsibility and Compliance (CRC): Founded in 1976, the CRC monitors and provides recommendations on how Goodyear manages its business in a responsible manner. The CRC, currently composed of five Board members, meets at least three times a year to review and receive updates from management on sustainability-related topics, which includes reports and updates from Goodyear's Vice President and Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO). Members of the CRC pursue continuing education opportunities relevant to their responsibilities, either through in-house presentations by recognized experts in their field or attendance at outside educational programs. The full Board regularly receives a report following each committee meeting and is aware and supportive of Goodyear's sustainability strategy. Water is one of the topics governed under the Better Future governance structure. Goodyear's water strategy, goals and performance are reviewed regularly with Company officers and each strategic business unit. The CRC conducts an annual review of and confirms Goodyear's water reduction targets and actions. Additionally, manufacturing facilities have been placed into different categories with assigned initiatives based on water intensity performance and other water stress indicators.

[Fixed row]

(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?

Climate change

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

- Regular training for directors on environmental issues, industry best practice, and standards (e.g., TCFD, SBTi)
- Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

(4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

Experience

Executive-level experience in a role focused on environmental issues

Forests

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

Not assessed

Water

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

Not assessed

[Fixed row]

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

	Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Forests	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Water	Select from:

	Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Policies, commitments, and targets

- Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

Strategy and financial planning

- Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- Managing acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures related to environmental issues
- Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues
- Managing priorities related to innovation/low-environmental impact products or services (including R&D)

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Reports to the board directly

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- Half-yearly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

CEO & President: Serves as the executive sponsor of Goodyear's climate ambition. This includes receiving updates and making final decisions related to Goodyear's climate strategy.

Forests

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- Chief Procurement Officer (CPO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Engagement

- Managing supplier compliance with environmental requirements

Policies, commitments, and targets

- Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets

Strategy and financial planning

- Managing priorities related to innovation/low-environmental impact products or services (including R&D)

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- Annually

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Goodyear's Chief Procurement Officer leads the Global Procurement team, which manages Goodyear's sourcing for the materials and services the company uses around the world. Together, with other Goodyear teams, Goodyear's Global Procurement team oversees and implements policies, programs, supplier assessments and audits. In addition, Goodyear continuously works to expand its requirements to include sustainable sourcing guidelines.

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

- Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Other, please specify :C-Suite, General Counsel

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- Half-yearly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

As part of this position's responsibility, Goodyear's water strategy, goals and performance are reviewed regularly with company officers and each strategic business unit. The Board Committee on Corporate Responsibility and Compliance conducts an annual review and confirms Goodyear's water reduction targets and actions. Manufacturing plants have been placed into different categories with assigned initiatives based on water intensity performance and other water stress indicators.

Biodiversity

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

- Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Other, please specify :C-Suite, General Counsel

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- Half-yearly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Goodyear's Board of Directors (Board) and its Committee on Corporate Responsibility and Compliance (CRC), founded in 1976, the CRC monitors and provides recommendations on how Goodyear manages its business in a responsible manner. The CRC, currently composed of five Board members, meets at least three times a year to review and receive updates from management on sustainability-related topics, which includes reports and updates from Goodyear's Vice President and Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO). Members of the CRC pursue continuing education opportunities relevant to their responsibilities, either through in-house presentations by recognized experts in their field or attendance at outside educational programs. The full Board regularly receives a report following each committee meeting and is aware and supportive of Goodyear's sustainability strategy. Goodyear is committed to understanding how its value chain may affect nature and natural resources, including forests, land, air, and water. By the end of 2024, Goodyear completed the first two phases of LEAP (Locate and Evaluate) to identify priority locations based on location sensitivity and environmental performance. By mid-2025, Goodyear completed the Assess phase by integrating the results from Locate and Evaluate into its existing climate risks and opportunities process, expanding it into a broader Environmental Impact, Risk, and Opportunity (IRO) Assessment. This integrated approach captured additional impacts and dependencies identified during the nature and biodiversity assessment. The IRO process identified priority risks and opportunities and their interconnections with other topics such as Climate and Water. These insights will inform goal-setting and establish the appropriate ongoing management structure for these topics. In late-2025, the Nature and Biodiversity working group will use the IRO results to inform the Prepare phase of LEAP and further Goodyear's assessment process.

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

- Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- Measuring progress towards environmental science-based targets
- Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

Strategy and financial planning

- Developing a climate transition plan
- Implementing a climate transition plan
- Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
- Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues
- Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Other, please specify :C-Suite, General Counsel

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- Half-yearly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Vice President and Chief Sustainability Officer: Leads the Company's Climate Transition Plan, climate ambition, goals, strategies, progress and data integrity. Reviews Goodyear's Climate Transition Plan, ambition, strategy, goals and performance with the Board and Company officers and builds the plan with cross-functional leaders. This role has compensation metrics and goals linked to achieving certain climate targets.

[Add row]

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

Climate change

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

(4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue

35

(4.5.3) Please explain

In response to shareholder feedback, the company reduced the weighting of strategic objectives from 30% to 20%, with metrics for greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and People and Environmental Care (PEC) maturity weighted at 5% each. For the 2024 fiscal year, the Compensation Committee evaluated achievement against these goals. The company successfully attained its GHG emissions target, a 14% reduction in manufacturing Scope 1 and 2 emissions from its 2019 baseline and its PEC maturity target of 3.39. This achievement resulted in a 10% payout from a maximum of 20% for this component of the incentive plan, demonstrating the company's commitment to financially rewarding leadership for driving progress on key environmental metrics.

Forests

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years

(4.5.3) Please explain

Goodyear maintains a Natural Rubber Procurement Policy, which aligns with the Global Platform for Sustainable Natural Rubber's (GPSNR) Policy Framework. This alignment signals its strong natural rubber supply chain commitments across all aspects of sustainability. This policy outlines Goodyear's commitment to completing projects that improve livelihoods or yields and to encouraging even broader efforts to improve living conditions in the communities that support its supply chain. Goodyear's current policy for natural rubber procurement builds on its Supplier Code of Conduct by addressing a broad range of sustainability concerns, including protecting the rights of workers and promoting responsible land acquisition and use. As Goodyear continues to increase its use of soybean oil as a replacement for petroleum products, it identified a need to formalize its standards for the responsible procurement of soybean oil. Goodyear's Soybean Oil Procurement Policy was published in March 2021.

Water

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

- No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years

(4.5.3) Please explain

*Goodyear uses water primarily for steam generation, cooling and sanitary purposes. Goodyear has a water reduction goal but it is not tied to monetary incentives.
[Fixed row]*

(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

- Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

- Bonus - % of salary
- Bonus – set figure

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

- Achievement of environmental targets

- Reduction in absolute emissions in line with net-zero target

Strategy and financial planning

- Board approval of climate transition plan

Emission reduction

- Reduction in absolute emissions

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

- Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

Vice President and Chief Sustainability Officer: Leads the company's Climate Transition Plan, climate ambition, goals, strategies, progress and data integrity. Reviews Goodyear's Climate Transition Plan, ambition, strategy, goals and performance with the Board and Company officers and builds the plan with cross-functional leaders. This role has compensation metrics and goals linked to achieving certain climate targets. In response to shareholder feedback, the company reduced the weighting of strategic objectives from 30% to 20%, with metrics for greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and People and Environmental Care (PEC) maturity weighted at 5% each. For the 2024 fiscal year, the Compensation Committee evaluated achievement against these goals. The company successfully attained its GHG emissions target, a 14% reduction in manufacturing Scope 1 and 2 emissions from its 2019 baseline and its PEC maturity target of 3.39. This achievement resulted in a 10% payout from a maximum of 20% for this component of the incentive plan, demonstrating the company's commitment to financially rewarding leadership for driving progress on key environmental metrics.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

Goodyear's climate ambitions are built into annual business operating plans, and leadership is held accountable and incentivized for reaching these annual performance objectives. The Board delegated the primary responsibility of establishing and administering Goodyear's compensation programs for officers and other key personnel to the Human Capital and Compensation Committee. The Human Capital and Compensation Committee oversees Goodyear's policies and compensation and benefit plans for directors, officers and other key personnel; administers the company's incentive compensation plans (including reviewing and approving grants to officers and other key personnel); and reviews and approves annually all compensation decisions related to officers, including the Chief Executive Officer. The Human Capital and Compensation Committee also prepares a report on executive compensation for inclusion in the annual proxy statement and reviews and discusses the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management and recommends its inclusion in the annual proxy statement.

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

- Corporate executive team

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

- Bonus - % of salary
- Bonus – set figure

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

- Achievement of environmental targets
- Reduction in absolute emissions in line with net-zero target

Emission reduction

- Reduction in absolute emissions

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

- Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

Led by Goodyear's Vice President and Chief Sustainability Officer and currently composed of global leaders representing each region and corporate function, this steering committee ensures functional goals are established for Goodyear's high-priority sustainability topics, including climate, and are aligned with corporate strategy. The committee monitors progress on maturity, integration and performance. The Committee also advances the Company's communication to internal and external stakeholders. In response to shareholder feedback, the company reduced the weighting of strategic objectives from 30% to 20%, with metrics for greenhouse

gas (GHG) emissions and People and Environmental Care (PEC) maturity weighted at 5% each. For the 2024 fiscal year, the Compensation Committee evaluated achievement against these goals. The company successfully attained its GHG emissions target, a 14% reduction in manufacturing Scope 1 and 2 emissions from its 2019 baseline and its PEC maturity target of 3.39. This achievement resulted in a 10% payout from a maximum of 20% for this component of the incentive plan, demonstrating the company's commitment to financially rewarding leadership for driving progress on key environmental metrics. Each of these roles has compensation metrics and goals that are linked to achieving certain climate targets.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

Goodyear's climate ambitions are built into annual business operating plans, and leadership is held accountable and incentivized for reaching these annual performance objectives. The Board delegated the primary responsibility of establishing and administering Goodyear's compensation programs for officers and other key personnel to the Human Capital and Compensation Committee. The Human Capital and Compensation Committee oversees Goodyear's policies and compensation and benefit plans for directors, officers and other key personnel; administers the company's incentive compensation plans (including reviewing and approving grants to officers and other key personnel); and reviews and approves annually all compensation decisions related to officers, including the Chief Executive Officer. The Human Capital and Compensation Committee also prepares a report on executive compensation for inclusion in the annual proxy statement and reviews and discusses the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management and recommends its inclusion in the annual proxy statement.
[Add row]

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

	<p>Does your organization have any environmental policies?</p>
	<p>Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>

[Fixed row]

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

Row 1

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Water

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Goodyear's Responsible Operations Policy underscores principles that guide the Company's work. Goodyear conducts its business in accordance with applicable legal and ethical standards. The Company is focused on a culture of safety and operates in a manner that protects its people, customers, planet, company and good name. Goodyear uses proven best practices to ensure it maintains high-quality standards and improves efficiency while operating responsibly and mitigating impacts. Goodyear has a global strategy deployment process where objectives cascade from senior leadership to regional operations to the site level. The Company has set company-wide goals and objectives that seek to continuously improve its Environmental, Health and Safety (EHS) systems and performance, reduce environmental impact, increase the use of recycled and renewable materials and deliver value to its various stakeholders.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

Climate-specific commitments

- Other climate-related commitment, please specify :Strive to reduce our air and greenhouse gas emissions and responsibly manage energy use through life cycle of the tire

Water-specific commitments

- Commitment to reduce water consumption volumes

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

- No, but we plan to align in the next two years

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

- Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

gy-responsible-operations-report.pdf

Row 2

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Forests
- Water

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Upstream value chain

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Goodyear requires its suppliers to comply with Goodyear's Supplier Code of Conduct or have their own comparable code of conduct and commitments, and Goodyear may deny or terminate a business relationship should a supplier not do so. Topics covered in the Supplier Code of Conduct include child labor and other working condition regulations, safety, business ethics, environmental practices and anti-corruption as well as Goodyear's requirements related to competition law compliance, conflicts of interest and privacy, among other topics.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

Climate-specific commitments

- Other climate-related commitment, please specify :Suppliers are encouraged to track and document energy use and greenhouse gas emissions at a facility and/or corporate level, implementing a comprehensive energy reduction strategy and management program and increasing use of renewable energy.

Water-specific commitments

- Other water-related commitment, please specify :Suppliers may reduce, reuse and recycle water with responsible treatment of wastewater discharges to protect the environment and improve overall water quality. Encouraged to implement water risk assessments, establish a baseline, goals and actions.

Social commitments

- Commitment to respect internationally recognized human rights

Additional references/Descriptions

- Description of grievance/whistleblower mechanism to monitor non-compliance with the environmental policy and raise/address/escalate any other greenwashing concerns

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

- Yes, in line with another global environmental treaty or policy goal, please specify :United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs) and Conflict Minerals

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

- Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

gy_supplier_code_of_conduct_eng.pdf

Row 3

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Forests
- Water
- Biodiversity

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

- Selected commodities only

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Upstream value chain

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Goodyear maintains a Natural Rubber Procurement Policy, which aligns with the Global Platform for Sustainable Natural Rubber's (GPSNR) Policy Framework. This alignment signals Goodyear's strong natural rubber supply chain commitments across all aspects of sustainability. Through Goodyear's Natural Rubber Procurement Policy, Goodyear outlines its commitment to completing projects that improve livelihoods or yields, and to encouraging even broader efforts to improve living

conditions in the communities that support their supply chain. Goodyear's current policy for natural rubber procurement builds on its Supplier Code of Conduct by addressing a broad range of sustainability concerns, including protecting the rights of workers and promoting responsible land acquisition and use.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards
- Commitment to respect legally designated protected areas
- Commitment to stakeholder engagement and capacity building on environmental issues

Forests-specific commitments

- Commitment to best management practices for soils and peat
- Commitment to no development on peat regardless of depth
- Commitment to no land clearance by burning or clearcutting
- Commitment to no-deforestation by target date, please specify :April 1, 2019

Social commitments

- Adoption of the UN International Labour Organization principles

Additional references/Descriptions

- Description of commodities covered by the policy

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

- Yes, in line with another global environmental treaty or policy goal, please specify :International Labor Organization (ILO) and United Nations Programme on Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation (UN-REDD)

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

- Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

goodyear_natural_rubber_procurement_policy.pdf

Row 4

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Forests
- Water
- Biodiversity

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

- Selected commodities only

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Upstream value chain

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

As Goodyear continues to increase its use of soybean oil as a replacement for petroleum products, Goodyear identified a need to formalize its standards for its responsible procurement. Goodyear's Soybean Oil Procurement Policy, published in March 2021, helps guide processors, farmers and all other members of the supply chain to establish practices and make sound environmental and social decisions related to the growing, harvesting and processing of soybeans. As Goodyear continues to increase its replacement of petroleum-based oils in its products, Goodyear expects to continue the assessment and development of supporting policies and reporting mechanisms, as needed, to help ensure Goodyear is responsibly managing its supply chain.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards
- Commitment to respect legally designated protected areas
- Commitment to stakeholder engagement and capacity building on environmental issues

Forests-specific commitments

- Commitment to best management practices for soils and peat
- Commitment to no development on peat regardless of depth
- Commitment to no land clearance by burning or clearcutting

Social commitments

- Adoption of the UN International Labour Organization principles

Additional references/Descriptions

- Description of commodities covered by the policy

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

- Yes, in line with another global environmental treaty or policy goal, please specify :International Labor Organization (ILO) and United Nations Programme on Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation (UN-REDD)

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

- Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

goodyear_sustainable_soybean_oil_policy.pdf

Row 5

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations
- Upstream value chain
- Downstream value chain

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

In 2024, Goodyear released a Climate Policy aligned with its climate commitments to limit global temperature rise to no more than 1.5° Celsius above pre-industrial levels. Additionally, this policy promotes Goodyear's efforts to identify, assess and manage its material climate change mitigation and adaptation impacts, risks and opportunities, including building resilience to climate-related physical and transition risks. The policy applies to all Goodyear associates, contractors and third parties acting on behalf of Goodyear. Under certain circumstances, Goodyear may request actions of suppliers and partners, given that Goodyear's value chain activities can indirectly impact upstream and downstream greenhouse gas emissions and given that climate risks and opportunities may occur in Goodyear's value chain.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- Commitment to a circular economy strategy
- Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards
- Commitment to stakeholder engagement and capacity building on environmental issues

Climate-specific commitments

- Commitment to 100% renewable energy

- Commitment to net-zero emissions

Additional references/Descriptions

- Reference to timebound environmental milestones and targets

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

- Yes, in line with the Paris Agreement

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

- Not publicly available

[Add row]

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

(4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

Select from:

- Yes

(4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative

Select all that apply

- Global Platform on Sustainable Natural Rubber (GPSNR)
- Science-Based Targets Initiative (SBTi)
- World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD)
- Other, please specify :European Tyre & Rubber Manufacturers Association (ETRMA), U.S. Tire Manufacturers Association (USTMA), Drive Sustainability, and Tire Recycling Foundation

(4.10.3) Describe your organization's role within each framework or initiative

Goodyear demonstrates its commitment to sustainability through its collaboration with and membership in various associations and groups around the world. Goodyear's dedication to sustainability earned recognition from leading publications and organizations in 2024. Please see Page 5 of Goodyear's most recent Corporate Responsibility Report (CRR) for some of the honors Goodyear received, as well as the groups in which it participates. In addition, Goodyear explores and works collectively to advance various tire-related sustainability topics through its membership in several regional tire trade associations, including U.S. Tire Manufacturers Association (USTMA) and European Tyre & Rubber Manufacturers Association (ETRMA). Through ETRMA and USTMA, Goodyear is engaged in continuous dialogue with policymakers, industry, NGOs and academia, contributing to sustainable development objectives and regulations.

[Fixed row]

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Select all that apply

- Yes, we engaged directly with policy makers
- Yes, we engaged indirectly through, and/or provided financial or in-kind support to a trade association or other intermediary organization or individual whose activities could influence policy, law, or regulation

(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

- Yes, we have a public commitment or position statement in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

(4.11.3) Global environmental treaties or policy goals in line with public commitment or position statement

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement
- Sustainable Development Goal 6 on Clean Water and Sanitation
- Another global environmental treaty or policy goal, please specify :Additional Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

(4.11.4) Attach commitment or position statement

goodyear-crr-2024-final.pdf

(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

Yes

(4.11.6) Types of transparency register your organization is registered on

Select all that apply

Mandatory government register

(4.11.7) Disclose the transparency registers on which your organization is registered & the relevant ID numbers for your organization

North America (NA): The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company is registered under the LDA, Senate ID number 16555-12 and House ID number 30632000. Europe, Middle East & Africa (EMEA): EU Transparency Register registered as Goodyear Europe BV (Registration #: 93401065573-24)

(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

Goodyear's Business Conduct Manual (BCM) outlines the key policies and procedures that help associates understand what it means to Act with Integrity and know their roles and responsibilities in following Goodyear's legal and ethical obligations. Underlying the key policies described in the BCM are Goodyear's global policies. To ensure Goodyear's policies and the guidance within them are comprehensive, up-to-date and accessible to its associates, Goodyear regularly updates and carefully maintains its policies. Goodyear's Global Policy Committee is responsible for the policy development and management process, including overseeing policy owners' periodic review of Company policies, reviewing and approving Company policies and facilitating publication and centralization of policies to ensure access for Goodyear associates and others as appropriate. Goodyear's key Compliance & Ethics policies reflect its commitment to ethical behavior and are rooted in its longstanding principles of Act with Integrity and Protect Our Good Name. <https://corporate.goodyear.com/us/en/commitments/reports-and-policies/business-conduct-manual.html>

[Fixed row]

(4.11.1) On what policies, laws, or regulations that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment has your organization been engaging directly with policy makers in the reporting year?

Row 1

(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

Goodyear engages with European Union (EU) policy makers on various EU regulations applicable to the tire industry

(4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Forests
- Water

(4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Low-impact production and innovation

- Circular economy
- Other low-impact production and innovation, please specify :Climate-related targets and traceability requirements

(4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- Regional

(4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

- Europe

(4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- Support with minor exceptions

(4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation

Goodyear works independently and through its various industry trade associations to engage with governments and regulators seeking to develop sensible regulations that advance goals related to climate and the protection of the environment.

(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

- Ad-hoc meetings
- Submitting written proposals/inquiries
- Other, please specify :Submission of contributions to public consultations

(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)

0

(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

These EU policies reflect some of Goodyear's material topics and strategies that it is working on today. Goodyear gathers stakeholder feedback throughout the year, building on information gathered during its sustainability materiality assessment. Goodyear regularly speaks with various stakeholders including regulators, collecting sustainability-related requests, questions and feedback. This information is gathered and compiled by global functional business leaders and the Global Sustainability team to help inform strategies that are formulated and implemented at the functional level. Goodyear's Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO) provides this stakeholder feedback to the Board and Corporate Responsibility Committee (CRC) to inform their oversight. Goodyear will continue to work with European tire trade associations, EU policymakers and vehicle manufacturers to move towards more sustainable supply chains and optimize the contributions of its tires and services to help lower the overall carbon footprint of road transport.

(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement
- Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework
- Sustainable Development Goal 6 on Clean Water and Sanitation
- Another global environmental treaty or policy goal, please specify :Sustainable Development Goal 12 on Responsible Consumption and Production

Row 2

(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

Goodyear engages with North America (NA) policy makers on various NA regulations applicable to the tire industry

(4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Energy and renewables

- Minimum energy efficiency requirements

(4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

- National

(4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

United States of America

(4.11.1.6) Your organization's position on the policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

Support with minor exceptions

(4.11.1.7) Details of any exceptions and your organization's proposed alternative approach to the policy, law, or regulation

Goodyear works independently and through its various industry trade associations to engage with governments and regulators seeking to develop sensible regulations that advance goals related to climate and the protection of the environment.

(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

Ad-hoc meetings

Submitting written proposals/inquiries

Other, please specify :Submission of contributions to public consultations

(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)

0

(4.11.1.10) Explain the relevance of this policy, law, or regulation to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or transition plan, how this has informed your engagement, and how you measure the success of your engagement

These NA policies reflect some of Goodyear's material topics and strategies that it is working on today. Goodyear gathers stakeholder feedback throughout the year, building on information gathered during its sustainability materiality assessment. Goodyear regularly speaks with various stakeholders including regulators, collecting sustainability-related requests, questions and feedback. This information is gathered and compiled by global functional business leaders and the Global Sustainability team to help inform strategies that are formulated and implemented at the functional level. Goodyear's Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO) provides this stakeholder feedback to the Board and Corporate Responsibility Committee (CRC) to inform their oversight. Goodyear will continue to work with North American (NA) tire trade associations, NA policymakers and vehicle manufacturers to move towards more sustainable supply chains and optimize the contributions of its tires and services to help lower the overall carbon footprint of road transport.

(4.11.1.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement on this policy, law, or regulation is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

- Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.1.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on this policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement

[Add row]

(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.

Row 1

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

- Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

Europe

- Other trade association in Europe, please specify :ETRMA

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Forests
- Water

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

- Mixed

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

The European tire industry welcomes the European Commission's ambitious goal to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and is committed to support the transition to a decarbonized mobility ecosystem. The industry's members have been dedicated to meeting market demand by reducing their CO2 footprints throughout the tire lifecycle and investing in sustainable technologies, while improving road safety performance. ETRMA does not have per se climate change-related objectives. However, its strategy, positions and actions are aligned with the EU objectives on decarbonization, circular economy, sustainable supply and products. ETRMA members are committed and already contributing to the EU decarbonization agenda by continuously innovating towards improved production processes and enhanced product performances to contribute to carbon reductions. In terms of product performance, the tire industry is committed to gradually removing from the market most of tires with rolling resistance below label grade C. CO2 savings related to this commitment will be equivalent to taking close to one million vehicles off the road. ETRMA also recognizes that the Emission Trading Scheme (ETS) is the keystone of EU climate policy and an efficient way to reduce carbon emissions in a cost-effective manner. ETRMA wants the ETS to be effective and workable, but also fair to all sectors.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

194437

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

The fees represent membership dues paid to trade associations, research and sustainability-related organizations Goodyear participates in. Goodyear actively contributes to these associations by engaging in collaborative research, advocating for responsible policies, and sharing best practices that advance a more sustainable economy. Membership allows the organization to participate in these efforts and to access resources and insights that strengthen its own sustainability strategy.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

- Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

- Paris Agreement
- Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework
- Sustainable Development Goal 6 on Clean Water and Sanitation

Row 2

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

- Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

North America

- Other trade association in North America, please specify :USTMA

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

Consistent

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

USTMA believes the tire industry has a role in mitigating climate change throughout a tire's lifecycle. USTMA members are committed to reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions throughout a tire's life cycle, including focusing on: • Manufacturing products that contribute to the reduction of CO2 emissions; • Research and development of materials with lower carbon footprints; • Proactive measures to reduce GHG emissions from members' manufacturing facilities; and • Advancing the circular economy for scrap tires. Engagement is focused on reasonable standards for products and technologies without compromising safety and performance while meeting sustainability needs. These would include but are not limited to: Performance standards, effective product labeling, competitively neutral and equally enforced regulations, standards and technology. For more information, please reference USTMA's Climate Policy Principles. <https://www.ustires.org/climate-policy-positions>.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

1713883

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

The fees represent membership dues paid to trade associations, research and sustainability-related organizations Goodyear participates in. Goodyear actively contributes to these associations by engaging in collaborative research, advocating for responsible policies, and sharing best practices that advance a more

sustainable economy. Membership allows the organization to participate in these efforts and to access resources and insights that strengthen its own sustainability strategy.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

Row 3

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

Indirect engagement via other intermediary organization or individual

(4.11.2.2) Type of organization or individual

Select from:

Research organization

(4.11.2.3) State the organization or position of individual

Tire Industry Project (TIP)

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Forests
- Water

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

- Consistent

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

Goodyear is a leader in the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) Tire Industry Project (TIP), formed in 2005 as a global, voluntary, CEO-led initiative undertaken by leading tire companies. Together, TIP member companies, representing approximately 65% of the world's tire manufacturing capacity, work to anticipate, identify, analyze and address the potential human health and environmental impacts associated with tire development, use and management throughout the tire's lifecycle. TIP's focus areas include materials and chemicals, tire and road wear particles (TRWP), end-of-life tire management, and tools and frameworks. TIP member CEOs meet biennially to review project progress and approve a two-year work plan. The work plan is reviewed by an assurance group of independent scientists, who provide guidance on the scientific relevance and robustness of planned work. Some of TIP's major accomplishments include: Tire and Road Wear Particles (TRWP) - Goodyear addresses TRWP through its participation in the Tire Industry Project (TIP). TIP sponsors research in the area of TRWP generation, pathways, fate, and ecotoxicity. TIP has been studying the potential impacts of TRWP on the environment and human health since 2005. The early research launched by TIP has been foundational in terms of identification, quantification, and risk assessment of TRWP in different environmental compartments, including air, soil, sediment, and water, through the development of new sample collection methods and analytical techniques. End-of-Life Tires (ELT) - A systematic approach to this topic is key. We continue to explore opportunities with partners to advance our expertise and innovation in this area. As a member of the Tire Industry Project (TIP), Goodyear works with other members to advance our work in this space. In 2023, TIP updated the ELT waste hierarchy to reflect evolving tire recycling technologies and ELT markets, highlighting those technologies and markets that have the highest circularity potential. This report will be published in 2024. Additionally, in late 2023, TIP shared its vision of reaching 100 percent ELT recovery by supporting circular economy models. Environmental Impacts – TIP published its 2023 report on environmental key performance indicators (KPIs) for tire manufacturing, noting that TIP members overall either maintained or improved performance at TIP member locations.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

768514

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

The fees represent membership dues paid to trade associations, research and sustainability-related organizations Goodyear participates in. Goodyear actively contributes to these associations by engaging in collaborative research, advocating for responsible policies, and sharing best practices that advance a more sustainable economy. Membership allows the organization to participate in these efforts and to access resources and insights that strengthen its own sustainability strategy.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Paris Agreement

Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework

Sustainable Development Goal 6 on Clean Water and Sanitation

Another global environmental treaty or policy goal, please specify :Additional Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Row 4

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

Indirect engagement via other intermediary organization or individual

(4.11.2.2) Type of organization or individual

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Multi-stakeholder alliance

(4.11.2.3) State the organization or position of individual

Global Platform for Sustainable Natural Rubber (GPSNR)

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

- Forests

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

- Consistent

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, we publicly promoted their current position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

Through the Global Platform for Sustainable Natural Rubber (GPSNR), Goodyear works with others, including automakers, rubber producers and other end users, to move the natural rubber supply chain toward being more sustainable. Goodyear prioritizes engagement directly within GPSNR Working Groups as necessary. Goodyear's Natural Rubber Procurement Policy aligns with the GPSNR Policy Framework, showing its commitment to sustainability in its supply chain. Additionally, Goodyear maintained its status as a GPSNR Gold Donor for 2024.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

20000

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

The fees represent membership dues paid to trade associations, research and sustainability-related organizations Goodyear participates in. Goodyear actively contributes to these associations by engaging in collaborative research, advocating for responsible policies, and sharing best practices that advance a more sustainable economy. Membership allows the organization to participate in these efforts and to access resources and insights that strengthen its own sustainability strategy.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework

[Add row]

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?

Select from:

Yes

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.

Row 1

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

- In mainstream reports

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

- Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

- Governance
- Risks & Opportunities
- Strategy
- Emission targets

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

Annual Report: page 8; Proxy Statement pages 12-13

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

Links for CDP Upload.docx

(4.12.1.8) Comment

n/a

Row 2

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

- In voluntary sustainability reports

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Forests
- Water
- Biodiversity

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

- Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

- | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Strategy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Value chain engagement |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Governance | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dependencies & Impacts |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Emission targets | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water accounting figures |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Emissions figures | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Content of environmental policies |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Risks & Opportunities | |

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

Discussed throughout Goodyear's Corporate Responsibility Report. Please reference the table of contents on page 2 for each section.

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

Links for CDP Upload.docx

(4.12.1.8) Comment

n/a

[Add row]

C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

Climate change

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

Annually

Forests

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

No, but we plan to within the next two years

(5.1.3) Primary reason why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Select from:

Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.1.4) Explain why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Goodyear is researching software for future in-depth scenario analysis modeling of climate impact on resources (e.g., natural rubber, etc.).

Water

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

First time carrying out analysis

[Fixed row]

(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization's scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

IEA STEPS (previously IEA NPS)

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

- Policy
- Market
- Liability
- Reputation
- Technology

- Acute physical
- Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- 1.5°C or lower

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2024

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- 2025
- 2030
- 2040
- 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- Changes to the state of nature
- Changes in ecosystem services provision
- Speed of change (to state of nature and/or ecosystem services)
- Other local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts driving forces, please specify :Supply chain disruption

Finance and insurance

- Sensitivity of capital (to nature impacts and dependencies)

Stakeholder and customer demands

- Consumer sentiment
- Other stakeholder and customer demands driving forces, please specify :Accelerated demand for decarbonization

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

- Global regulation
- Political impact of science (from galvanizing to paralyzing)

Relevant technology and science

- Other relevant technology and science driving forces, please specify :R&D for low-carbon energy, products and services

Macro and microeconomy

- Other macro and microeconomy driving forces, please specify :Fossil fuels being phased out

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

This scenario was customized to Goodyear's value chain using the PESTEL analysis framework to assess political, economic, social, technological, environmental and legal factors specific to Goodyear's business model, market and industry. The PESTEL analysis addressed relevant topics, including, but not limited to: (1) the technological shift toward electric and other low-carbon alternative vehicles and the use of renewable energy, (2) regulatory changes around the pricing of carbon and end-of-life treatment for tires, and (3) market pressures on tire manufacturers regarding compliance with sustainability-related regulations, production of low-carbon products and developing climate strategies. The analysis identified the qualitative impacts of each identified risk and opportunity on the various aspects of Goodyear's value chain and finances. The analysis also involved a business impact assessment that combined numerical assumptions provided by public climate scenarios and supplemental resources with internal financial assumptions to quantify the financial impact of material risks and opportunities and the actions necessary to mitigate/capture them. This scenario was based on the parameters, assumptions and analytical choices described by IEA's "Net Zero by Emissions by 2050" (IEA NZE 2050) transition scenario, such as the timing and rigor of policy and regulatory reform (e.g., carbon pricing, renewable energy policy, etc.) and the distribution of the global total energy supply across renewable and non-renewable sources. Supplemental to the IEA NZE 2050 transition scenario, additional research on and internal knowledge of the tire manufacturing industry was used to describe how all risk types (as defined in module 2.2.2) were likely to develop across the short-, medium- and long -term (as defined in module 2.1) (e.g., projected market values of the tire, automobile, and electric vehicle manufacturing industries; anticipated interruption time due to extreme weather events; baseline and projected corporate carbon emissions; discount rate; etc.).

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

This "Net Zero 2050 Scenario" is a high-transition risk scenario, customized to Goodyear's business model, associated with a rapid and persistent transition to a low-carbon economy, with global temperature rise limited to 1.5°C by 2050.

Water

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Water scenarios

- WRI Aqueduct

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

- Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

- Acute physical
- Chronic physical
- Liability

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2024

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- ✓ Changes to the state of nature
- ✓ Number of ecosystems impacted
- ✓ Changes in ecosystem services provision
- ✓ Speed of change (to state of nature and/or ecosystem services)
- ✓ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

Finance and insurance

- ✓ Sensitivity of capital (to nature impacts and dependencies)

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

- ✓ Global regulation
- ✓ Political impact of science (from galvanizing to paralyzing)
- ✓ Level of action (from local to global)

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

Goodyear conducted its 2050 water scenario analysis using the WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas. This analysis is based on several key assumptions, primarily the use of different Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs) to project future water demand and climate models to project changes in precipitation and temperature. The company acknowledges the inherent uncertainties in long-term climate and socioeconomic modeling and addressed this by considering multiple scenarios.. A key constraint is the tool's regional-level data, which provides a strong proxy for risk but does not capture site-specific details at a precise facility scale.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

The WRI Aqueduct tool is utilized because it provides a credible and standardized methodology for assessing water risks globally. As a publicly available and respected resource from the World Resources Institute, it ensures the analysis is data-driven and transparent. For its 2050 analysis, Goodyear chose WRI's Business-as-usual 2050 scenario, which is represented by the SSP3 and RCP 7.0 scenarios. This strategic choice pairs a plausible "middle-of-the-road" future for social and economic development (SSP3) with a high-emissions climate scenario (RCP 7), based on current decarbonization commitments. This allows Goodyear to assess its water risks under a realistic yet challenging future where climate impacts may be significant.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

- IEA STEPS (previously IEA NPS)

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

- Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

- Policy
- Market
- Liability
- Reputation
- Technology
- Acute physical
- Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- 2.5°C - 2.9°C

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2024

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- 2025
- 2030
- 2040
- 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- Changes to the state of nature
- Changes in ecosystem services provision
- Speed of change (to state of nature and/or ecosystem services)
- Other local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts driving forces, please specify :Supply chain disruption

Finance and insurance

- Sensitivity of capital (to nature impacts and dependencies)

Stakeholder and customer demands

- Consumer sentiment
- Other stakeholder and customer demands driving forces, please specify :Demand for decarbonization and low-carbon products and services

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

- Global regulation
- Political impact of science (from galvanizing to paralyzing)

Relevant technology and science

- Other relevant technology and science driving forces, please specify :R&D for low carbon energy, products and services

Macro and microeconomy

- Other macro and microeconomy driving forces, please specify :Fossil fuel use being phased out

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

This is a “Current Policy Scenario” considering both physical and transition risks associated with a future state likely to result from policies either already enacted or committed to by global governments. This scenario was based on the parameters, assumptions and analytical choices described by the IEA’s “Stated Policies” (STEPS) transition scenario and supplemented by the IPCC’s SSP2-4.5 physical scenario, such as the timing and rigor of policy and regulatory reform (e.g., carbon pricing, renewable energy policy, etc.) and the distribution of the global total energy supply across renewable and non-renewable sources. In addition to the assumptions described by the IEA’s STEPS transition scenario and the IPCC’s SSP2-4.5 physical scenario, additional research and internal knowledge of the tire manufacturing industry was used to further describe how all risk types (as defined in module 2.2.2) were likely to develop across the short, medium and long-term (as defined in module 2.1) of this scenario (e.g., projected market values of the tire, automobile, and electric vehicle manufacturing industries; anticipated interruption time due to extreme weather events; baseline and projected corporate carbon emissions; discount rate; etc.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

This “Current Policy Scenario” considers both physical and transition risks associated with a future state likely to result from policies either already enacted or committed to by global governments. This scenario estimates a global temperature rise of 2°C by 2050.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

RCP 8.5

(5.1.1.2) Scenario used SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

SSP5

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

- Policy
- Market
- Liability
- Reputation
- Technology
- Acute physical
- Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- 4.0°C and above

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2024

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- 2025
- 2030
- 2040
- 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- Changes to the state of nature
- Changes in ecosystem services provision

Speed of change (to state of nature and/or ecosystem services)

Other local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts driving forces, please specify :Supply chain disruption, raw material scarcity, ecosystems threatened

Finance and insurance

Sensitivity of capital (to nature impacts and dependencies)

Stakeholder and customer demands

Other stakeholder and customer demands driving forces, please specify :Demand for decarbonization and low-carbon products and services is curtailed

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

Global regulation

Political impact of science (from galvanizing to paralyzing)

Relevant technology and science

Other relevant technology and science driving forces, please specify :R&D for low-carbon energy and vehicles is curtailed

Macro and microeconomy

Other macro and microeconomy driving forces, please specify :Fossil fuel use drives economic growth

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

This scenario is a “Failed Transition Scenario” considering high physical risk associated with global temperature rise reaching approximately 4.4°C by 2100. This scenario was based on the parameters, assumptions and analytical choices described by the IPCC’s Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSP) 5-8.5 scenario in conjunction with the RCP 8.5 physical scenario, such as the increased likelihood and intensity of extreme temperature events, drought events and extreme precipitation events. In addition to the assumptions described by the IPCC’s SSP5-8.5 scenario, additional research and internal knowledge of the tire manufacturing industry was used to further describe how all risk types (as defined in module 2.2.2) were likely to develop across the short-, medium- and long- term (as defined in module 2.1) of this scenario (e.g., projected market values of the tire, automobile, and electric vehicle manufacturing industries, anticipated interruption time due to extreme weather events, baseline and projected corporate carbon emissions, discount rate, etc.). In the absence of a transition toward a low-carbon economy, this scenario assumes minimal transition risk (e.g., no carbon pricing mechanisms; no political or regulatory reform toward reducing emissions; few low-carbon technological developments; etc.).

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

This “Failed Transition Scenario” considers high physical risk associated with global temperature rise reaching approximately 2.4°C by 2050 and 4.4°C by 2100. It provides a high-risk scenario for potential costs to the business and a challenge to strategies for resilience to extreme weather damage and disruption to the business.

[Add row]

(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization’s scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

- Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management
- Strategy and financial planning
- Resilience of business model and strategy
- Capacity building
- Target setting and transition planning

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

Goodyear identified acute physical, chronic physical, current and emerging regulation, technology, market, reputation and financial climate risks. Goodyear identified climate opportunities related to resource efficiencies, products and services and resilience. Cross-functional leaders discussed the likelihood and potential financial impact of these risks, under the Net Zero, Current Policy and Failed Transition scenarios, in the short-, medium- and long-term. Five risks and four opportunities were raised as potentially significant in terms of likelihood and financial impact in the short, medium term. These were further evaluated by Goodyear’s Finance team. The outcomes identified continued physical risks that drive Goodyear to continue to allocate an annual budget for business continuity, and starting in 2024, invest capital annually in infrastructure enhancements to minimize/mitigate natural hazard risks. Goodyear is also pursuing software that gives a longer-term scientifically modeled view of how the changing climate is expected to impact Goodyear’s operations, supply chain and material yields to inform supplier partnerships. Goodyear will continue to invest in decarbonization to progress toward its 2030 science-based targets to continue to win customer bids and reduce its exposure to carbon tax schemes. As decarbonization strategies and pathways to 2030, 2040 and 2050 are built out, Goodyear models the investments and costs savings and works closely with its senior leadership team and Finance team for alignment, planning and budgeting. The Finance team monitors Goodyear’s exposure to carbon tax schemes

globally and utilizes this information as input into its energy efficiency and renewable energy investment decisions. Based on the rapid rise of climate-related regulations that can lead to significant cost of compliance, Goodyear is working at the industry level via its membership and leadership in the Global Platform for Sustainable Natural Rubber (GSPNR) to help facilitate the transition to EUDR-compliant natural rubber, supporting supplier livelihoods, meeting regulations and driving down short-term price hikes due to limited supply. Goodyear is applying lessons learned to prepare for, and working through industry associations like ETRMA to contribute technical and market information in support of ESPR and Euro7 regulatory developments. Goodyear will also be further leveraging circular processes to reduce demand for raw materials, building a dematerialization pathway to its 2030 science-based target, which includes operational waste reduction goals, recycling and optimizing its materials and tire portfolio. Goodyear is also exploring the business case and most viable options for the expansion of retreading and use of end-of-life tires. Goodyear will continue to advance its R&D in designing tires with more sustainable attributes, both for internal combustion engine tires and electric vehicle tires, and expand its use of tire intelligence and fleet management services to positively impact Goodyear and customer use phase emissions. While the continued advancement of tires with sustainable attributes and electric vehicle tires may only produce some short-term revenue gains for Goodyear due to being early to market, Goodyear expects these products to become the industry standard, with other tire companies advancing their solutions as well, and required to continue to win business. This is part of the industry transition from traditional tires to low-emissions products and services. This annual climate risks and opportunities assessment leads not only to discussions on the magnitude of the risks and opportunities but also the resilience of Goodyear's climate strategies. Goodyear identifies where it needs to further embed its climate strategies in business processes, build greater intelligence and capacity, invest a greater amount of time and resources, and potentially adopt new strategies. These scenario-based, current and futuristic conversations inform short-term climate objectives that are integrated into functional annual operating objectives and 3- to 5-year plans and budgets. While Goodyear monitors climate change impacts on forests and water, Goodyear conducted a nature and biodiversity assessment in 2024 to further understand implications for other environmental issues.

Water

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

- Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management
- Strategy and financial planning
- Resilience of business model and strategy
- Capacity building
- Target setting and transition planning

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

Goodyear conducted its 2050 water scenario analysis using the WRI Aqueduct Water Risk Atlas. Based on the SSP3-RCP 7.0 “Business-as-usual” scenario, the analysis projected that the number of facilities located in water-stressed areas will increase by 2050. Additionally, in 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance Goodyear’s existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. These insights are being integrated into broader strategic planning, helping Goodyear advance its global water monitoring and strategy by better anticipating and managing water-related risks, enhancing the resilience of its business model, and building internal capacity to respond effectively. [Fixed row]

(5.2) Does your organization’s strategy include a climate transition plan?

(5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:

Yes, we have a climate transition plan which aligns with a 1.5°C world

(5.2.3) Publicly available climate transition plan

Select from:

Yes

(5.2.4) Plan explicitly commits to cease all spending on, and revenue generation from, activities that contribute to fossil fuel expansion

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to add an explicit commitment within the next two years

(5.2.6) Explain why your organization does not explicitly commit to cease all spending on and revenue generation from activities that contribute to fossil fuel expansion

Goodyear has multiple corporate goals that have the effect of reducing spending that contributes to fossil fuel expansion. First, Goodyear has a goal to fully replace petroleum-derived oils in its products by 2040. Second, Goodyear has goals to reach 100 percent renewable electricity by 2030 and 100 percent renewable energy by 2040.

(5.2.7) Mechanism by which feedback is collected from shareholders on your climate transition plan

Select from:

- We have a different feedback mechanism in place

(5.2.8) Description of feedback mechanism

Goodyear is committed to this Climate Transition Plan as evidenced through the Company's governance structure, metrics and targets, evaluation processes, its actions and progress. This plan will continue to be evaluated annually and updated as it evolves. Goodyear frequently considers shareholder, associate and other stakeholder input on its climate strategy, goals, plans and governance. If you would like to share any input, please send to Sustainability@goodyear.com. Goodyear plans to consider relevant feedback to inform its future decision-making.

(5.2.9) Frequency of feedback collection

Select from:

- Annually

(5.2.10) Description of key assumptions and dependencies on which the transition plan relies

• Transportation continues to rely on tires and services (e.g., service centers, fleet services) • Climate-related policies and regulations continue to drive customer (commercial, consumer, aviation) expectations for low-greenhouse gas (GHG), circular solutions • Renewable electricity and fuel solutions continue to become more broadly available, at the global and grid level as well as EV charging station infrastructure level • New low-GHG technologies (e.g., hydrogen, carbon capture) and low-GHG materials become more cost competitive as they scale more broadly • Low-GHG transport solutions continue to advance, and transportation partners are capable of investing in these solutions (e.g., fleet modernization, EVs, sustainable fuels) • Climate change does not fully eliminate access to critical materials needed for tire production • Software solutions advance enabling effective and affordable exchange of needed data • Consumers increasingly purchase low-GHG products and solutions in the replacement market • Goodyear's financial position continues to improve — revenue growth, cost savings, debt ratio — enabling investments in the Climate Transition Plan • A significant amount of funding is not diverted to unforeseen/unplanned circumstances on an ongoing basis, diminishing Goodyear's ability to invest in the Climate Transition Plan • The level of warming and predicted impacts does not happen quicker than anticipated.

(5.2.11) Description of progress against transition plan disclosed in current or previous reporting period

Goodyear has reported the action it is taking to decarbonize, adapt and manage climate-related risks and opportunities and transition to advanced low-carbon mobility in its latest Corporate Responsibility Report. See the climate section of the Goodyear 2024 report: goodyear-crr-2024-final.pdf

(5.2.12) Attach any relevant documents which detail your climate transition plan (optional)

goodyear-2024-climate-transition-plan.pdf

(5.2.13) Other environmental issues that your climate transition plan considers

Select all that apply

- Forests
- Water

(5.2.14) Explain how the other environmental issues are considered in your climate transition plan

When Goodyear evaluates climate-related risks, it considers climate impacts on natural rubber forests, and it evaluates water-stressed regions and impacts. The rapid emergence of the climate-driven EU Deforestation Regulation is one of Goodyear's high-priority short-to-medium-term risks. A longer-term potential risk that Goodyear continues to monitor is the availability of natural rubber, due to climate impacts. Regarding water, Goodyear's production of tires is not a water-intensive process when compared to other industries. The Company uses water primarily for steam generation, cooling and sanitary purposes. However, Goodyear does operate in areas where water stress can be high. Therefore, Goodyear strives to continuously reduce its water use. To understand the full breadth of its water footprint, Goodyear tracks water withdrawal, water discharged and water consumption data at 51 of its facilities and uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to annually assess water stress, down to the basin level at every location. Goodyear has 11 facilities in areas exposed to water risks, but those facilities are some of Goodyear's most efficient in terms of water use. Additionally, Goodyear includes the WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies. Goodyear has a goal to reduce water consumption by 30 percent by 2030 from a 2020 baseline. By the end of 2024, the Company had already achieved a 15.3 percent reduction since 2020. Given these realities, Goodyear did not identify a high-priority, water-specific risk, and therefore, it is not included in Goodyear's Climate Transition Plan.

[Fixed row]

(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

(5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:

- Yes, both strategy and financial planning

(5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

Select all that apply

- Products and services
- Upstream/downstream value chain
- Investment in R&D
- Operations

[Fixed row]

(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

Products and services

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Risks
- Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Goodyear customers, primarily OEM and fleet customers, are looking to their suppliers to provide products and services that help reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. This could be viewed as a risk, given customers have 2030 science-based targets and will likely be selecting suppliers that can help them reach their goals. Goodyear also views this as an opportunity to demonstrate its expertise. Goodyear is actively working to decarbonize its value chain and is providing products and services that help transition the transportation sector to a low-emissions future. Goodyear is designing tires with low-GHG emissions materials, reduce rolling resistance, lighter weight and longer tread life to help reduce Scope 1, 2 and 3 GHG emissions, including use phase emissions. Goodyear is advancing intelligent tire solutions to enable optimized tire pressure and the use of tires for their full lifetime, helping to reduce GHG emissions. Goodyear is also advancing and expanding its electric vehicle tire solutions, enabling the transition from internal combustion engine vehicles to electric vehicles. Case study: In early 2024, Goodyear introduced the ElectricDrive 2, an all-season EV tire with 50 percent sustainable materials by weight, improved rolling resistance and long-lasting tread life to maximize performance. The ElectricDrive 2 also features SoundComfort Technology, a built-in sound barrier that helps reduce interior vehicle noise often more noticeable with a quieter EV ride, and an asymmetric tread pattern that provides confident handling for wet or dry road conditions.

Upstream/downstream value chain

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Risks
- Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

To address all climate risks and opportunities, Goodyear developed a decarbonization roadmap that includes the following strategies: sustainable feedstocks and low-greenhouse gas (GHG) emission materials, reducing material consumption, supplier climate commitments, and transport mode, miles and density optimization. Goodyear must turn to its suppliers to help decarbonize its business and reach its science-based targets, in addition to helping its customers reach their own targets. Goodyear is working with material and transport suppliers to acquire low-GHG emission solutions. Goodyear is also pursuing advancements in recycling solutions for end-of-life tires. Goodyear realizes climate risks and opportunities potentially impact the availability and cost of low-GHG materials and technologies. Goodyear is working closely with suppliers and advisors to acquire low-GHG materials and technologies in cost-effective ways. Goodyear also realizes that severe weather events and chronic weather patterns have the potential to negatively impact the supply of materials, for example, natural rubber and Goodyear's supply costs. With approximately 90 percent of global natural rubber production concentrated in Southeast Asia, changes in annual rainfall or temperature can affect rubber production. Goodyear utilizes a robust business continuity program to mitigate weather-related risk, continually monitoring weather in procurement regions, storing back-up inventories of key materials and implementing diversified sourcing strategies. Case study: Goodyear's R&D teams work to use alternative raw materials that have the potential to reduce GHG emissions. For example, Goodyear is exploring dandelion natural rubber alternatives to natural rubber from the Hevea Brasiliensis tree species as a founding member of the NSF Engineering Research Center for Transformation of American Rubber through Domestic Innovation for Supply Security: TARDISS. In addition, Goodyear is collaborating with the Department of Defense, the Air Force Research Lab, BioMADE and Farmed Materials to accelerate commercialization of natural rubber from Taraxacum kok-saghyz, a species of dandelion. Goodyear is also working with a supplier to use carbon black produced by methane pyrolysis, taking the next step toward zero-emission carbon black in tire manufacturing. Goodyear also participates as an industry partner in the Greater Akron Chamber of Commerce's Polymer Industry Cluster, which was recently named the Sustainable Polymers Tech Hub through the US EDA Tech Hubs program and awarded \$51 million dollars to advance innovation and technology in sustainable polymers. Goodyear is leading one of the component technology programs of the Tech Hubs award aimed at development of sustainable polymer composites with the potential to enable the use of sustainably sourced raw materials in high-performing tires.

Investment in R&D

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

Risks

Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

To address all climate risks and opportunities, Goodyear is implementing a decarbonization roadmap and developing advanced forms of mobility — such as sustainable-material tires, intelligent tires and services, and tires for electric vehicles — all in an effort to decarbonize and transform the mobility industry. Goodyear spent approximately 426 million (USD) on R&D in 2024, with a portion of these expenditures invested into these climate-related strategies. For example, Goodyear is working with a supplier to use carbon black produced by methane pyrolysis, taking the next step toward zero-emission carbon black in tire manufacturing. Goodyear Ventures funds innovative start-ups that are helping drive the future of low-carbon mobility. Case study: Goodyear and Gatik recently demonstrated, in a proof of concept, that Goodyear SightLine technology can accurately estimate tire-road friction potential and provide real-time information to Gatik's automated driving system. This breakthrough provides critical data that can enhance vehicle safety and performance, increasing energy efficiency and value for Gatik's customers in the business-to-business short-haul market. Goodyear SightLine technology is designed to measure the tire wear state, load, inflation pressure and temperature. It combines that with real-time road-weather data and proprietary rubber friction models to estimate the tire-road friction potential. Having this information in real-time enables Gatik's fleet to safely and efficiently respond to challenging conditions within its operational design domain (ODD).

Operations

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

Risks

Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Climate risks and opportunities, such as customer expectations and carbon taxes, influenced Goodyear to set goals to use 100 percent renewable electricity by 2030 and 100 percent renewable energy by 2040. To support these goals, Goodyear is continuing to implement its Energy Optimization Strategy, applying zero loss thinking to prioritize energy and cost savings opportunities across all manufacturing facilities. This work is supported with scorecards to continuously monitor progress. Components of this strategy include: a Goodyear Global Energy Management Team, annual reduction goals for energy use and carbon emissions; a global energy and greenhouse gas (GHG) management system; ongoing development of the global energy projects catalogue; investment in supporting infrastructure;

expanding energy monitoring capability; Certified Energy Managers (CEM); certified Carbon Reduction Managers (CRM) accredited by Association of Energy Engineers (AEE); ongoing annual analysis of zero loss energy initiatives; and monthly energy reviews across global operations to share best practices. Goodyear has also integrated energy into its global Operational Excellence strategy. With this integration, every manufacturing facility explores significant capital and non-capital opportunities to eliminate unnecessary energy use. Best practices are captured and communicated in an enterprise management database. In addition to energy optimization efforts, Goodyear is investing in renewable energy, through installing onsite renewable energy, procuring renewable energy through energy attribute credits, green tariffs, power purchase agreements and virtual power purchase agreements, and investigating new technologies for process upgrades, electrification and renewable fuel sources. At the end of 2024, Goodyear was using 37 percent renewable electricity globally through procurement and onsite generation. Goodyear is on target to reach 100 percent renewable electricity in all its manufacturing facilities by 2030. Case study: In addition to its EMEA region operating with 100 percent renewable electricity, Goodyear also has several other manufacturing facilities around the world procuring and generating renewable electricity. Goodyear's manufacturing facilities in Brazil, Chile, Colombia and Peru purchase 100 percent renewable electricity, and Pulandian, China and Lawton, Oklahoma, facilities are each procuring a portion of their energy as renewable electricity, eliminating or reducing CO2 emissions from their electricity intake while reducing energy costs. Globally, Goodyear operates onsite solar generation systems at 10 facilities in China, Germany, India, Indonesia, Mexico, Thailand, Turkey and Slovenia, with a total capacity of 32 megawatts. Goodyear will continue to investigate possible onsite solar projects at all its owned facilities globally.

[Add row]

(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.

Row 1

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

- Revenues
- Direct costs
- Indirect costs
- Capital expenditures
- Capital allocation

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Risks
- Opportunities

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

Climate change

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

The adoption of low-greenhouse gas (GHG) materials impacts revenue generation, with some short-term advantages of being one of the first to market, but also brings cost increases due to the limited availability of these types of materials. Goodyear anticipates the costs of sustainable materials to come down over time as they scale. Goodyear increased its capital investments and operating costs related to adopting renewable energy. Goodyear also increased capital investment in real-time energy management systems but also plans to reduce energy costs through energy efficiency. Goodyear increased operating costs in EMEA due to carbon taxes but invests in renewable energy to be free from carbon taxes by 2040. Starting in 2025, Goodyear has short-term operating cost increases to comply with the EU Deforestation Regulation (EUDR) related to deforestation-free natural rubber; however, Goodyear is also investing a minimal amount in industry tools and training to advance the supply of deforestation-free natural rubber, bringing down prices as supply increases. Goodyear is investing capital in infrastructure upgrades to reduce risk to natural disasters. Goodyear continues to invest in R&D to further develop tires with sustainable attributes, intelligent tires and fleet management services and electric vehicle tires. All of this is aimed at maintaining and growing revenue. Goodyear also plans to save costs by utilizing circular innovation and dematerialization to reduce the use of virgin materials and energy use.

[Add row]

(5.4) In your organization’s financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition?

	<p>Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition</p>
	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we plan to in the next two years</p>

[Fixed row]

(5.9) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

(5.9.1) Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.2) Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.3) Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.4) Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.5) Please explain

Water conservation projects are included in Goodyear's five-year CapEx planning. Goodyear has continued to invest capital and operating expenses in water projects, where necessary. These investments have resulted in water savings which in turn has reduced some water utilities cost.

[Fixed row]

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

	Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities	Environmental externality priced
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Carbon

[Fixed row]

(5.10.1) Provide details of your organization's internal price on carbon.

Row 1

(5.10.1.1) Type of pricing scheme

Select from:

- Shadow price

(5.10.1.2) Objectives for implementing internal price

Select all that apply

- Drive energy efficiency
- Drive low-carbon investment
- Incentivize consideration of climate-related issues in decision making
- Setting and/or achieving of climate-related policies and targets

(5.10.1.3) Factors considered when determining the price

Select all that apply

- Alignment with the price of allowances under an Emissions Trading Scheme
- Scenario analysis

(5.10.1.4) Calculation methodology and assumptions made in determining the price

Goodyear reviews the average price of EU ETS allowances. The company also reviews the carbon price projections for 2030 under different IEA climate transition scenarios for developed nation for how the price of allowances may change over the life of assets being evaluated.

(5.10.1.5) Scopes covered

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2

(5.10.1.6) Pricing approach used – spatial variance

Select from:

- Uniform

(5.10.1.8) Pricing approach used – temporal variance

Select from:

- Evolutionary

(5.10.1.9) Indicate how you expect the price to change over time

Goodyear expects the price to increase over time, indexed to the EU ETS price for allowances.

(5.10.1.10) Minimum actual price used (currency per metric ton CO2e)

100

(5.10.1.11) Maximum actual price used (currency per metric ton CO2e)

100

(5.10.1.12) Business decision-making processes the internal price is applied to

Select all that apply

Capital expenditure

Operations

(5.10.1.13) Internal price is mandatory within business decision-making processes

Select from:

No

(5.10.1.14) % total emissions in the reporting year in selected scopes this internal price covers

2.2

(5.10.1.15) Pricing approach is monitored and evaluated to achieve objectives

Select from:

Yes

(5.10.1.16) Details of how the pricing approach is monitored and evaluated to achieve your objectives

The internal carbon pricing core team works to assess whether the price of carbon is appropriate to influence the decision-making for high-cost capital projects with large, lifetime energy requirements. Goodyear evaluates net present value and return on investment metrics for projects over 2 million (USD), such as boiler replacements, or new manufacturing process technology comparisons that have a large influence on energy consumption, such as electric curing.

[Add row]

(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

Suppliers

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Forests
- Water

Smallholders

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

- Yes

Customers

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

- Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Forests
- Water

Investors and shareholders

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

- Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Forests
- Water

Other value chain stakeholders

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

- No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(5.11.3) Primary reason for not engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

- Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.11.4) Explain why you do not engage with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Goodyear is focusing its stakeholder engagement efforts with the stakeholder groups listed above.
[Fixed row]

(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?

Climate change

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

- Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

- Contribution to supplier-related Scope 3 emissions

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

- 76-99%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

In 2024, Goodyear completed an assessment for 99 percent of its raw material volume. These survey results help position Goodyear to take effective action as the company determines supply chain opportunities and strategies. Success is measured by requiring suppliers to meet a minimum score according to EcoVadis. Suppliers with scores below the minimum scores are individually contacted by Goodyear to work on and develop improvement plans.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the threshold for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

- 1-25%

(5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

55

Forests

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

- Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

- Contribution to supplier-related Scope 3 emissions
- Dependence on water
- Impact on water availability
- Impact on deforestation or conversion of other natural ecosystems
- Impact on pollution levels

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

- 76-99%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

In 2024, Goodyear completed an assessment for 99 percent of its raw material volume. These survey results help position Goodyear to take effective action as the company determines supply chain opportunities and strategies. Success is measured by requiring suppliers to meet a minimum score according to EcoVadis. Suppliers with scores below the minimum scores are individually contacted by Goodyear to work on and develop improvement plans.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the threshold for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

- 1-25%

(5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

55

Water

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

- Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

- Basin/landscape condition
- Dependence on water
- Dependence on ecosystem services/environmental assets
- Impact on water availability
- Impact on pollution levels

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

- 76-99%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

In 2024, Goodyear completed an assessment for 99 percent of its raw material volume. These survey results help position Goodyear to take effective action as the company determines supply chain opportunities and strategies. Success is measured by requiring suppliers to meet a minimum score according to EcoVadis. Suppliers with scores below the minimum scores are individually contacted by Goodyear to work on and develop improvement plans.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the threshold for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

- 1-25%

(5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

55

[Fixed row]

(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

Climate change

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Procurement spend
- Strategic status of suppliers

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

In 2024, Goodyear continued to engage its suppliers in climate targets and actions. At the end of 2023, Goodyear selected 17 suppliers representing approximately 45 percent of its Scope 3 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from purchased goods, with whom it would develop detailed supplier-specific roadmaps focused on a supplier's Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions. Goodyear began that work in 2024 and expects to have the roadmaps completed by the end of 2025. As of December 31, 2024, Goodyear has engaged 61 percent of selected suppliers for the timeframe 2024-2025. In late 2024, Goodyear expanded this program to its capital goods manufacturing equipment suppliers, asking them to commit to setting climate goals at least as ambitious as Goodyear's climate goals by the end of 2025. As of April 1, 2025, 23 percent of those suppliers have committed to the program. In 2025, Goodyear plans to continue working with its suppliers to lower Scope 3 GHG emissions through the expansion of its supplier engagement program.

Forests

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Procurement spend
- Strategic status of suppliers

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

Through the Global Platform for Sustainable Natural Rubber (GPSNR), Goodyear worked with others, including automakers, rubber producers and other end users, to move the natural rubber supply chain toward being more sustainable. Goodyear prioritizes engagement directly within GPSNR Working Groups or indirectly as necessary, as GPSNR facilitates the natural rubber industry's move towards a more sustainable supply chain. In 2024, Goodyear collaborated with General Motors to fund a GPSNR capacity-building project led by Koltiva, aimed at reducing deforestation risks and promoting Good Agricultural Practices among smallholder farmers. With this funding, Goodyear maintained its status as a GPSNR Gold Donor for 2024. Goodyear believes it is important to support GPSNR in its efforts to identify and address capacity-building gaps on the ground within the natural rubber supply chain.

Water

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- No, we do not prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.3) Primary reason for no supplier prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

Goodyear is committed to understanding how its value chain may affect nature and natural resources, including forests, land, air, and water. In 2024, Goodyear formed an internal Nature and Biodiversity working group and began assessing its impact on nature using the Taskforce on Nature-related Financial Disclosures' (TNFD) LEAP approach. Using tools including ENCORE, Science Based Targets for Nature's (SBTN) materiality assessment, and World Wildlife Fund's (WWF) Biodiversity Risk Filter tool, the company determined that its initial scope would include the upstream (natural rubber processors only) and direct operations value chain stages. By the end of 2024, Goodyear completed the first two phases of LEAP (Locate and Evaluate) to identify priority locations based on location sensitivity and environmental performance. By mid-2025, Goodyear completed the Assess phase by integrating the results from Locate and Evaluate into its existing climate risks and opportunities process, expanding it into a broader Environmental Impact, Risk, and Opportunity (IRO) Assessment. This integrated approach captured additional impacts and dependencies identified during the nature and biodiversity assessment. In late-2025, the Nature and Biodiversity working group will use the IRO results to inform the Prepare phase of LEAP and further refine Goodyear's nature & biodiversity impact assessment process.

[Fixed row]

(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?

Climate change

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

- Yes, suppliers have to meet environmental requirements related to this environmental issue, but they are not included in our supplier contracts

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

- Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Goodyear's Supplier Code of Conduct, available at supplier.goodyear.com, covers compliance with applicable environmental laws in jurisdictions in which suppliers operate and provides guidance that suppliers must also consider the impact their operations have on the environment and reduce that impact where practicable to protect the environment (refer to page 2 for more details). Compliance with Goodyear's Supplier Code of Conduct is a standard expectation and requirement of Goodyear for all suppliers, and Goodyear reserves the right to deny or discontinue business relationships in the event of noncompliance. While terms vary across the many different jurisdictions, companies, goods and services covered by the various supply contracts, purchase orders and other documents to which Goodyear and its affiliates are parties, such documents often supplementary include terms requiring compliance with Goodyear's Supplier Code of Conduct and/or with applicable laws. Section 7 of Goodyear's Natural Rubber Procurement Policy and the Violations Section of Goodyear's Supplier Code of Conduct (both available at supplier.goodyear.com) each make clear that we may discontinue business relationships in the event of non-compliance.

Forests

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

Yes, suppliers have to meet environmental requirements related to this environmental issue, but they are not included in our supplier contracts

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Goodyear's Supplier Code of Conduct, available at supplier.goodyear.com, covers compliance with applicable environmental laws in jurisdictions in which suppliers operate, and provides guidance that suppliers must also consider the impact their operations have on the environment and reduce that impact where practicable to protect the environment (refer to page 2 for more details). Compliance with Goodyear's Supplier Code of Conduct is a standard expectation and requirement of Goodyear for all suppliers, and Goodyear reserves the right to deny or discontinue business relationships in the event of noncompliance. While terms vary across the many different jurisdictions, companies, goods and services covered by the various supply contracts, purchase orders and other documents to which Goodyear and its affiliates are parties, such documents often supplementary include terms requiring compliance with Goodyear's Supplier Code of Conduct and/or with applicable laws. Section 7 of Goodyear's Natural Rubber Procurement Policy and the Violations Section of Goodyear's Supplier Code of Conduct (both available at supplier.goodyear.com) each make clear that we may discontinue business relationships in the event of non-compliance.

Water

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

Yes, suppliers have to meet environmental requirements related to this environmental issue, but they are not included in our supplier contracts

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Goodyear's Supplier Code of Conduct, available at supplier.goodyear.com, covers compliance with applicable environmental laws in jurisdictions in which suppliers operate, and provides guidance that suppliers must also consider the impact their operations have on the environment and reduce that impact where practicable to protect the environment (refer to page 2 for more details). Compliance with Goodyear's Supplier Code of Conduct is a standard expectation and requirement of

Goodyear for all suppliers, and Goodyear reserves the right to deny or discontinue business relationships in the event of noncompliance. While terms vary across the many different jurisdictions, companies, goods and services covered by the various supply contracts, purchase orders and other documents to which Goodyear and its affiliates are parties, such documents often supplementary include terms requiring compliance with Goodyear's Supplier Code of Conduct and/or with applicable laws. Section 7 of Goodyear's Natural Rubber Procurement Policy and the Violations Section of Goodyear's Supplier Code of Conduct (both available at supplier.goodyear.com) each make clear that we may discontinue business relationships in the event of non-compliance.

[Fixed row]

(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

- Measuring product-level emissions

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

- Grievance mechanism/ Whistleblowing hotline
- Off-site third-party audit
- Supplier scorecard or rating

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 51-75%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

51-75%

(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

26-50%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

Suspend and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

76-99%

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

Please refer to Goodyear's Supplier Code of Conduct and Natural Rubber Procurement Policy at supplier.goodyear.com.

Forests

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

- No development on peat regardless of depth

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

- First-party verification
- Grievance mechanism/ Whistleblowing hotline
- Second-party verification
- Supplier scorecard or rating

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 76-99%

(5.11.6.5) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to this environmental issue required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 100%

(5.11.6.6) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to this environmental issue that are in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 76-99%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

- 76-99%

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

- Assessing the efficacy and efforts of non-compliant supplier actions through consistent and quantified metrics
- Developing quantifiable, time-bound targets and milestones to bring suppliers back into compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

Please refer to Goodyear's Supplier Code of Conduct and Natural Rubber Procurement Policy at supplier.goodyear.com.

Water

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

- Environmental disclosure through a non-public platform

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

- Grievance mechanism/ Whistleblowing hotline
- Off-site third-party audit
- Supplier scorecard or rating

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

51-75%

(5.11.6.5) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to this environmental issue required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

100%

(5.11.6.6) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to this environmental issue that are in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

76-99%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

Suspend and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

76-99%

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

Please refer to Goodyear's Supplier Code of Conduct and Natural Rubber Procurement Policy at supplier.goodyear.com.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

- Environmental disclosure through a non-public platform

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

- Grievance mechanism/ Whistleblowing hotline
- Off-site third-party audit
- Supplier scorecard or rating

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 51-75%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 51-75%

(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 26-50%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- Suspend and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

- 76-99%

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

- Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

Please refer to Goodyear's Supplier Code of Conduct and Natural Rubber Procurement Policy at supplier.goodyear.com.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

- Setting a low-carbon or renewable energy target

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

- Grievance mechanism/ Whistleblowing hotline
- Off-site third-party audit
- Supplier scorecard or rating

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 51-75%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 51-75%

(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 26-50%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- Suspend and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

76-99%

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

Please refer to Goodyear's Supplier Code of Conduct and Natural Rubber Procurement Policy at supplier.goodyear.com.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

Setting a science-based emissions reduction target

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

Grievance mechanism/ Whistleblowing hotline

Off-site third-party audit

Supplier scorecard or rating

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

51-75%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

51-75%

(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

26-50%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

Suspend and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

76-99%

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

Please refer to Goodyear's Supplier Code of Conduct and Natural Rubber Procurement Policy at supplier.goodyear.com.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

- Disclosure of GHG emissions to your organization (Scope 1 and 2)

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

- Grievance mechanism/ Whistleblowing hotline
- Off-site third-party audit
- Supplier scorecard or rating

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 51-75%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 51-75%

(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 26-50%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- Suspend and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

- 76-99%

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

- Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

Please refer to Goodyear's Supplier Code of Conduct and Natural Rubber Procurement Policy at supplier.goodyear.com.

Forests

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

- Environmental disclosure through a non-public platform

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

- First-party verification
- Grievance mechanism/ Whistleblowing hotline
- Second-party verification
- Supplier scorecard or rating

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

76-99%

(5.11.6.5) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to this environmental issue required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

100%

(5.11.6.6) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to this environmental issue that are in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

76-99%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

76-99%

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

Assessing the efficacy and efforts of non-compliant supplier actions through consistent and quantified metrics

- Developing quantifiable, time-bound targets and milestones to bring suppliers back into compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

Please refer to Goodyear's Supplier Code of Conduct and Natural Rubber Procurement Policy at supplier.goodyear.com.

Forests

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

- No deforestation or conversion of other natural ecosystems

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

- First-party verification
- Grievance mechanism/ Whistleblowing hotline
- Second-party verification
- Supplier scorecard or rating

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 76-99%

(5.11.6.5) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to this environmental issue required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

100%

(5.11.6.6) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to this environmental issue that are in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

76-99%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

76-99%

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

Assessing the efficacy and efforts of non-compliant supplier actions through consistent and quantified metrics

Developing quantifiable, time-bound targets and milestones to bring suppliers back into compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

Please refer to Goodyear's Supplier Code of Conduct and Natural Rubber Procurement Policy at supplier.goodyear.com.

Forests

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

- Adoption of the UN International Labour Organization Principles

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

- First-party verification
- Grievance mechanism/ Whistleblowing hotline
- Second-party verification
- Supplier scorecard or rating

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 76-99%

(5.11.6.5) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to this environmental issue required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 100%

(5.11.6.6) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to this environmental issue that are in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- 76-99%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

- 76-99%

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

- Assessing the efficacy and efforts of non-compliant supplier actions through consistent and quantified metrics
- Developing quantifiable, time-bound targets and milestones to bring suppliers back into compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

Please refer to Goodyear's Supplier Code of Conduct and Natural Rubber Procurement Policy at supplier.goodyear.com.

Water

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Comply with applicable environmental laws in the jurisdictions in which they operate in

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

- Grievance mechanism/ Whistleblowing hotline
- Off-site third-party audit
- Supplier scorecard or rating

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

51-75%

(5.11.6.5) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to this environmental issue required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

100%

(5.11.6.6) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to this environmental issue that are in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

51-75%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

Suspend and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

76-99%

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

Please refer to Goodyear's Supplier Code of Conduct and Natural Rubber Procurement Policy at supplier.goodyear.com.

[Add row]

(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

Emissions reduction

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Capacity building

Provide training, support and best practices on how to measure GHG emissions

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

100%

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

51-75%

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

Through its Supplier Engagement Program, launched in 2023, Goodyear asked its raw material suppliers to commit to setting climate goals at least as ambitious as Goodyear's climate goals, publicly reporting on their progress and sharing product-level greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions footprint data. In 2024, Goodyear continued to engage its suppliers in climate targets and actions. At the end of 2023, Goodyear selected 17 suppliers representing approximately 45 percent of its Scope 3 GHG emissions from purchased goods, with whom it would develop detailed supplier-specific roadmaps focused on a supplier's Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions. Goodyear began that work in 2024 and expects to have the roadmaps completed by the end of 2025. As of December 31, 2024, Goodyear has engaged 61 percent of selected suppliers for the timeframe 2024-2025. In late 2024, Goodyear expanded this program to its capital goods manufacturing equipment suppliers, asking them to commit to setting climate goals at least as ambitious as Goodyear's climate goals by the end of 2025. As of April 1, 2025, 23 percent of those suppliers have committed to the program. In 2025, Goodyear plans to continue working with its suppliers to lower Scope 3 GHG emissions through the expansion of its supplier engagement program

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes, please specify the environmental requirement :Emissions

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

Yes

Forests

(5.11.7.1) Commodity

Select from:

Rubber

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

Waste and resource reduction and improved end-of-life management

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Capacity building

- Provide training, support and best practices on how to mitigate environmental impact

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

- 100%

(5.11.7.7) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive impacts and/or dependencies related to this environmental issue covered by engagement

Select from:

- 1-25%

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

While Goodyear does not own any rubber tree plantations, Goodyear has taken actions to support sustainability as a purchaser of natural rubber, as noted in its most recent Corporate Responsibility Report (CRR) and in its Natural Rubber Procurement Policy. Goodyear is committed to working internally, with its supply chain and with external parties to promote a natural rubber supply chain that is environmentally and socially responsible, helping to reduce impacts on biodiversity. This includes increasing the traceability of Goodyear's natural rubber supply chain, supporting the livelihoods of smallholders and promoting the responsible acquisition and management of land that is free from deforestation. Goodyear is an active member of the Global Platform for Sustainable Natural Rubber (GPSNR) and provides funding for the GPSNR Capacity-Building project. This project provides rubber farmers with good tapping practices to stem disease and training to make organic compost to act as a source of nutrients for the soil and a substitute for chemical fertilizers. Another project is Goodyear's engagement with RubberWay. By continuing to engage with new suppliers and technologies to assess advancing capabilities related to traceability, Goodyear expands its understanding of location-based impacts, which helps to prevent materials from deforested lands from entering its supply chain. In addition, Goodyear audits all its natural rubber suppliers every two years to ensure its operations are not supporting child or forced labor. In 2024, Goodyear conducted either onsite or virtual audits at 100 percent of its supply.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes, please specify the environmental requirement :Waste

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

Unknown

Water

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

No other supplier engagement

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

No, this engagement is unrelated to meeting an environmental requirement

Forests

(5.11.7.1) Commodity

Select from:

Rubber

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- Emissions reduction

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Capacity building

- Provide training, support and best practices on how to measure GHG emissions

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

- 100%

(5.11.7.7) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive impacts and/or dependencies related to this environmental issue covered by engagement

Select from:

- 1-25%

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

While Goodyear does not own any rubber tree plantations, Goodyear has taken actions to support sustainability as a purchaser of natural rubber, as noted in its most recent Corporate Responsibility Report (CRR) and in its Natural Rubber Procurement Policy. Goodyear is committed to working internally, with its supply chain and with external parties to promote a natural rubber supply chain that is environmentally and socially responsible, helping to reduce impacts on biodiversity. This includes increasing the traceability of Goodyear's natural rubber supply chain, supporting the livelihoods of smallholders and promoting the responsible acquisition and management of land that is free from deforestation. Goodyear is an active member of the Global Platform for Sustainable Natural Rubber (GPSNR) and provides funding for the GPSNR Capacity-Building project. This project provides rubber farmers with good tapping practices to stem disease and training to make organic compost to act as a source of nutrients for the soil and a substitute for chemical fertilizers. Another project is Goodyear's engagement with RubberWay. By continuing to engage with new suppliers and technologies to assess advancing capabilities related to traceability, Goodyear expands its understanding of location-based impacts, which helps to prevent materials from deforested lands from entering its supply chain. In addition, Goodyear audits all its natural rubber suppliers every two

years to ensure its operations comply with Goodyear Natural Rubber Procurement Policy, and are not supporting child or forced labor. In 2024, Goodyear conducted either onsite or virtual audits at 100 percent of its supply.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes, please specify the environmental requirement :Emissions

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

Unknown

[Add row]

(5.11.8) Provide details of any environmental smallholder engagement activity

Row 1

(5.11.8.1) Commodity

Select from:

Rubber

(5.11.8.2) Type and details of smallholder engagement approach

Capacity building

Offer on-site technical assistance and extension services

Provide training, support and best practices on sustainable agriculture practices and nutrient management

Support smallholders to adhere to standards in upstream value chain

Support smallholders to adopt best practices which protect biodiversity

(5.11.8.3) Number of smallholders engaged

1782

(5.11.8.4) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Goodyear prioritizes engagement directly within Global Platform for Sustainable Natural Rubber (GPSNR) Working Groups as necessary, as GPSNR facilitates the natural rubber industry's move towards a more sustainable supply chain. In 2024, Goodyear collaborated with General Motors to fund a GPSNR capacity-building project led by Koltiva, aimed at reducing deforestation risks and promoting Good Agricultural Practices among smallholder farmers. With this funding, Goodyear maintained its status as a GPSNR Gold Donor for 2024

[Add row]

(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes

Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

Innovation and collaboration

Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

100%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

Unknown

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Goodyear's customers are at the center of everything the Company does. Goodyear collaborates with them to make its products easy to buy, own and recommend. Goodyear's teams meet regularly with its customers to learn about their own sustainability strategies, engaging in conversations on how Goodyear can collaborate to help drive progress to help them meet their goals. Goodyear looks for ways it can grow and make strides along its respective sustainability journeys together. Goodyear publicly reports its decarbonization roadmap and strategies and responds to requests from various customers, original equipment (OE) customers, fleet customers, aviation customers, and others to go into more detail regarding its climate strategy, focusing on topics including sustainable materials, renewable energy and low rolling resistance tires. Other sustainability data points may be provided such as water-related metrics. In addition, Goodyear provides lifecycle assessments to various customers and discusses strategies for lowering the carbon footprint of tires. Goodyear is also working with its suppliers to educate them on Goodyear's and its customers' climate ambitions and targets and to provide guidelines and support as its suppliers develop lower carbon products and services for its use in tire development, production, transport and product use.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Strengthening relationships and collaboration with customers on decarbonization efforts is leading to Goodyear's understanding of available low-carbon solutions and innovation opportunities and is informing its customers of its decarbonization efforts, which has the potential to secure ongoing business. Goodyear also provides relative fuel-saving calculation tools for both consumer and commercial customers, and it provides product use information and services regarding proper maintenance of tires for better fuel performance.

Forests

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

- Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes
- Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

Innovation and collaboration

- Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

- 100%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Goodyear's customers are at the center of everything the Company does. Goodyear collaborates with them to make its products easy to buy, own and recommend. Goodyear's teams meet regularly with its customers to learn about their own sustainability strategies, engaging in conversations on how Goodyear can collaborate to help drive progress to help them meet their goals. Goodyear looks for ways it can grow and make strides along its respective sustainability journeys together. Goodyear publicly reports its efforts to transform the natural rubber value chain into a sustainable one. Goodyear requests from various customers, original equipment (OE) customers, fleet customers, aviation customers and others to go into more detail regarding its climate strategy, focusing on topics including sustainable natural rubber. In addition, through the Global Platform for Sustainable Natural Rubber (GPSNR), which Goodyear helped launch in 2018, Goodyear works with others, including automakers, rubber producers and other end users, to move the natural rubber industry toward a sustainable natural rubber supply chain. Goodyear continues to prioritize direct engagement within GPSNR working groups, or indirect engagement as appropriate. Goodyear maintains a role on the Executive Committee representing the tiremaker category and serve as the co-chair for the Strategy & Objectives Working Group that completed the Environmental & Social Risk Studies and developed GPSNR's Theory of Change.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Strengthening relationships and collaboration with customers remains the goal of Goodyear in achieving sustainability success. These efforts in decarbonization are enhancing Goodyear's understanding of available low-carbon solutions and innovation opportunities, while also informing customers of its progress – helping to build trust and potentially secure ongoing business.

Water

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

100%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Goodyear's customers are at the center of everything the Company does. Goodyear collaborates with them to make its products easy to buy, own and recommend. Goodyear's teams meet regularly with its customers to learn about their own sustainability strategies, engaging in conversations on how Goodyear can collaborate to help drive progress to help them meet their goals. Goodyear looks for ways it can grow and make strides along its respective sustainability journeys together. Goodyear publicly reports its sustainability strategies and responds to requests from various customers, original equipment (OE) customers, fleet customers, aviation customers, and others to go into more detail regarding its climate strategy, focusing on topics including sustainable materials, renewable energy and low rolling resistance tires. Other sustainability data points may be provided such as water-related metrics.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Goodyear uses water primarily for steam generation, cooling and sanitary purposes. Goodyear does operate in areas where water stress can be high. Therefore, Goodyear strives to continuously reduce its water use. To understand the full breadth of its water footprint, Goodyear tracks water withdrawal, water discharged and water consumption data at 51 of its facilities and uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to annually assess water stress, down to the basin level at every location. Goodyear has 11 facilities in areas exposed to water risks, but those facilities are some of Goodyear's most efficient in terms of water use. Additionally, Goodyear includes the WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies.

[Add row]

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

Climate change

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Operational data are reported on owned and leased facilities where Goodyear has operational control. All data reported have been collected from Goodyear's operations based on standard reporting definitions and requirements. Some data have been reported to governmental agencies that check for accuracy.

Forests

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Goodyear does not own any rubber tree plantations, but the company has taken actions as purchasers of natural rubber. All data reported has been collected from Goodyear's operations based on audits and external tools (e.g., Ecovadis, RubberWay Risk assessment, etc.).

Water

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Operational data are reported on owned and leased facilities where Goodyear has operational control. All data reported have been collected from Goodyear's operations based on standard reporting definitions and requirements. Some data have been reported to governmental agencies that check for accuracy.

Plastics

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Other, please specify :Not applicable

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Not applicable

Biodiversity

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Other, please specify :Not applicable

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

*Not applicable
[Fixed row]*

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:

No

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

	Has there been a structural change?
	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

	Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?
	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

Select all that apply

- The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope 2 Guidance
- The Climate Registry: General Reporting Protocol
- US EPA Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID)
- The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard
- The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)
- US EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership: Direct Emissions from Mobile Combustion Sources
- US EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership: Direct Emissions from Stationary Combustion Sources
- Defra Environmental Reporting Guidelines: Including streamlined energy and carbon reporting guidance, 2019
- US EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership: Direct Fugitive Emissions from Refrigeration, Air Conditioning, Fire Suppression, and Industrial Gases

(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

(7.3.1) Scope 2, location-based

Select from:

- We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure

(7.3.2) Scope 2, market-based

Select from:

- We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure

(7.3.3) Comment

Emission factors - Location-based: U.S.: U.S. EPA, Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID) with 2020 data, released January 2022. All other countries: IEA Emissions Factors 2024. Emission factors - Market-based: Goodyear has two instruments for renewable electricity procurement which include: 1)

EACs in the form of Renewable Energy Certificates (RECs), International RECs (iRECs), Guarantees of Origin (GOs), and Green Electricity Certificates (GECs) and 2) green tariffs RECs, iRECs, GOs, and GECs follow the application and retirement guidelines on geography, vintage, certification and retirement established by the GHG Protocol and RE100 guidelines. RECs, iRECs, GOs, and GECs applicable to the 2024 reporting period have been retired by or on behalf of Goodyear as of the date of this report. Renewable electricity purchased by Goodyear during the 2024 reporting period through its participation in green tariff programs with energy suppliers has been delivered to Goodyear as of December 31, 2024. Any remaining electricity not associated with an instrument was converted to emissions using the emission factor hierarchy described below. Residual mix emission factors: European countries (CO2 emissions only): Association of Issuing Bodies (AIB), European Residual Mixes 2022, Version 1.0. Other grid-average: Same as location-based, with the exception of: Canada: Environment and Climate Change Canada National Inventory Report 1990-2023: Greenhouse Gas Sources and Sinks in Canada (published 2025).

[Fixed row]

(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?

Select from:

Yes

(7.4.1) Provide details of the sources of Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure.

Row 1

(7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

- Process emissions for tire manufacturing regenerative thermal oxidizers - Acetylene usage for manufacturing process repairs in manufacturing facilities

(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

Scope 1

(7.4.1.3) Relevance of Scope 1 emissions from this source

Select from:

Emissions are relevant and calculated, but not disclosed

(7.4.1.8) Estimated percentage of total Scope 1+2 emissions this excluded source represents

0.1

(7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

Goodyear has excluded scope 1 process emissions for tire manufacturing regenerative thermal oxidizers (RTOs) and Acetylene usage for manufacturing process repairs in manufacturing facilities. When estimated, the emissions were determined to be immaterial to the overall emissions footprint and determined to be difficult to ensure completeness.

(7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents

Scope 1 emissions were estimated using purchased quantities, plant production, and stoichiometric ratios for facilities with RTOs. Any gas or electricity to operate to RTO would be included in our footprint. Additionally, chemical operations RTO process emissions are included in the boundary. Similarly, Acetylene emissions were estimated by looking at the total amount of acetylene purchased by Goodyear in 2024.

[Add row]

(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

Scope 1

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1515000

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. GWP reference for Scope 1 is IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year) Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable

Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 1 emissions cover direct GHG emissions from sources that are owned or controlled by the company. Goodyear's 2019 emissions baseline includes Goodyear and Cooper manufacturing facilities, non-manufacturing facilities, and vehicles, unless otherwise noted.

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

1749999

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. GWP reference for Scope 2 is IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year) Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 2 emissions account for indirect GHG emissions from the generation of electricity purchased by Goodyear for its own use. Goodyear's 2019 emissions baseline includes Goodyear and Cooper manufacturing facilities, non-manufacturing facilities, and vehicles, unless otherwise noted.

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

1835000

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. GWP reference for Scope 2 is IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year) Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 2 emissions account for indirect GHG emissions from the generation of electricity purchased by Goodyear for its own use. Goodyear's 2019 emissions baseline includes Goodyear and Cooper manufacturing facilities, non-manufacturing facilities, and vehicles, unless otherwise noted.

Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

7792000

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company. Goodyear's 2019 emissions baseline includes Goodyear and Cooper manufacturing facilities, non-manufacturing facilities, and vehicles, unless otherwise noted.

Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

177000

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company. Goodyear's 2019 emissions baseline includes Goodyear and Cooper manufacturing facilities, non-manufacturing facilities, and vehicles, unless otherwise noted.

Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

580000

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. GWP reference for Scope 3 Category 3 is IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year) for well-to-tank (WTT) emission factors an IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 – 100 year) for transmission and distribution (T&D) losses Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to

the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the “GHG Protocol”) to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company. Goodyear’s 2019 emissions baseline includes Goodyear and Cooper manufacturing facilities, non-manufacturing facilities, and vehicles, unless otherwise noted.

Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1501000

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company’s fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development’s (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the “GHG Protocol”) to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company. Goodyear’s 2019 emissions baseline includes Goodyear and Cooper manufacturing facilities, non-manufacturing facilities, and vehicles, unless otherwise noted.

Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

42000

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company. Goodyear's 2019 emissions baseline includes Goodyear and Cooper manufacturing facilities, non-manufacturing facilities, and vehicles, unless otherwise noted.

Scope 3 category 6: Business travel

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

39000

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company. Goodyear's 2019 emissions baseline includes Goodyear and Cooper manufacturing facilities, non-manufacturing facilities, and vehicles, unless otherwise noted.

Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

79000

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company. Goodyear's 2019 emissions baseline includes Goodyear and Cooper manufacturing facilities, non-manufacturing facilities, and vehicles, unless otherwise noted.

Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This category has been deemed to be not relevant since it is not expected to represent a significant portion of Scope 3 impacts and/or is an area where Goodyear does not have significant influence.

Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

212000

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company. Goodyear's 2019 emissions baseline includes Goodyear and Cooper manufacturing facilities, non-manufacturing facilities, and vehicles, unless otherwise noted.

Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

134999

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect

GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company. Goodyear's 2019 emissions baseline includes Goodyear and Cooper manufacturing facilities, non-manufacturing facilities, and vehicles, unless otherwise noted.

Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

148667998

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company. Goodyear's 2019 emissions baseline includes Goodyear and Cooper manufacturing facilities, non-manufacturing facilities, and vehicles, unless otherwise noted.

Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

260000

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company. Goodyear's 2019 emissions baseline includes Goodyear and Cooper manufacturing facilities, non-manufacturing facilities, and vehicles, unless otherwise noted.

Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This category has been deemed to be not relevant since it is not expected to represent a significant portion of Scope 3 impacts and/or is an area where Goodyear does not have significant influence.

Scope 3 category 14: Franchises

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

40998

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company. Goodyear's 2019 emissions baseline includes Goodyear and Cooper manufacturing facilities, non-manufacturing facilities, and vehicles, unless otherwise noted.

Scope 3 category 15: Investments

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

128000

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company. Goodyear's 2019 emissions baseline includes Goodyear and Cooper manufacturing facilities, non-manufacturing facilities, and vehicles, unless otherwise noted.

Scope 3: Other (upstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This category has been deemed to be not relevant since it is not expected to represent a significant portion of Scope 3 impacts and/or is an area where Goodyear does not have significant influence.

Scope 3: Other (downstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This category has been deemed to be not relevant since it is not expected to represent a significant portion of Scope 3 impacts and/or is an area where Goodyear does not have significant influence.

[Fixed row]

(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1389000

(7.6.3) Methodological details

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. GWP reference for Scope 1 is IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year) Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 1 emissions cover direct GHG emissions from sources that are owned or controlled by the company.

Past year 1

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

1387000

(7.6.2) End date

12/31/2023

(7.6.3) Methodological details

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. GWP reference for Scope 1 is IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year) Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 1 emissions cover direct GHG emissions from sources that are owned or controlled by the company. Goodyear restated its energy, emissions & performance against the company's climate ambitions data for years 2022-2023, which is inclusive of its manufacturing and non-manufacturing facilities.

Past year 2

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

1458000

(7.6.2) End date

12/31/2022

(7.6.3) Methodological details

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. GWP reference for Scope 1 is IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year) Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 1 emissions cover direct GHG emissions from sources that are owned or controlled by the company. Goodyear restated its energy, emissions & performance against the company's climate ambitions data for years 2022-2023, which is inclusive of its manufacturing and non-manufacturing facilities.

[Fixed row]

(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1498000

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1124000

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. GWP reference for Scope 2 is IPCC Fifth Assessment

Report (AR5 – 100 year) Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 2 emissions account for indirect GHG emissions from the generation of electricity purchased by Goodyear for its own use.

Past year 1

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1601000

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1204000

(7.7.3) End date

12/31/2023

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. GWP reference for Scope 2 is IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year) Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 2 emissions account for indirect GHG emissions from the generation of electricity purchased by Goodyear for its own use. Goodyear restated its energy, emissions & performance against the company's climate ambitions data for years 2022-2023, which is inclusive of its manufacturing and non-manufacturing facilities.

Past year 2

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1673000

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1271000

(7.7.3) End date

12/31/2022

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. GWP reference for Scope 2 is IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year) Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 2 emissions account for indirect GHG emissions from the generation of electricity purchased by Goodyear for its own use. Goodyear restated its energy, emissions & performance against the company's climate ambitions data for years 2022-2023, which is inclusive of its manufacturing and non-manufacturing facilities.

[Fixed row]

(7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

7019000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

- Average data method
- Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company.

Capital goods

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

- Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

221000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

- Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company.

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

578000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. GWP reference for Scope 3 Category 3 is IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year) for well-to-tank (WTT) emission factors an IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 – 100 year) for transmission and distribution (T&D) losses Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company.

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

1287000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Spend-based method

Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases,

laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company.

Waste generated in operations

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO₂e)

41000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Average data method

Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the

“GHG Protocol”) to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company.

Business travel

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

33000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Average data method

Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

30

(7.8.5) Please explain

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the “GHG Protocol”) to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company.

Employee commuting

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO₂e)

74000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company.

Upstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category has been deemed to be not relevant since it is not expected to represent a significant portion of Scope 3 impacts and/or is an area where Goodyear does not have significant influence.

Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

187000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Average data method

Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company.

Processing of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

153000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company.

Use of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

120438000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Average data method

Other, please specify :Industry Standard Approach

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company.

End of life treatment of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

- Waste-type-specific method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company.

Downstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

- Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category has been deemed to be not relevant since it is not expected to represent a significant portion of Scope 3 impacts and/or is an area where Goodyear does not have significant influence.

Franchises

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO₂e)

45000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company.

Investments

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

138000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company.

Other (upstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category has been deemed to be not relevant since it is not expected to represent a significant portion of Scope 3 impacts and/or is an area where Goodyear does not have significant influence.

Other (downstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category has been deemed to be not relevant since it is not expected to represent a significant portion of Scope 3 impacts and/or is an area where Goodyear does not have significant influence.

[Fixed row]

(7.8.1) Disclose or restate your Scope 3 emissions data for previous years.

Past year 1

(7.8.1.1) End date

12/31/2023

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

7053000

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

197000

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

580000

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

1606000

(7.8.1.6) Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

38000

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

37000

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

77000

(7.8.1.9) Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

228000

(7.8.1.11) Scope 3: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

156000

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

139563000

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

241000

(7.8.1.14) Scope 3: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.15) Scope 3: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)

44000

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

153000

(7.8.1.17) Scope 3: Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.18) Scope 3: Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.19) Comment

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases, laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. GWP reference for Scope 3 Category 3 is IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year) for well-to-tank (WTT) emission factors an IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 – 100 year) for transmission and distribution (T&D) losses Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company. Goodyear restated its energy, emissions & performance against the company's climate ambitions data for years 2022-2023, which is inclusive of its manufacturing and non-manufacturing facilities.

Past year 2

(7.8.1.1) End date

12/31/2022

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

8211000

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

185000

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

797000

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

1941000

(7.8.1.6) Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

61000

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

33000

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

79000

(7.8.1.9) Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

212000

(7.8.1.11) Scope 3: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

157000

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

145010000

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

258000

(7.8.1.14) Scope 3: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.15) Scope 3: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)

102000

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

200000

(7.8.1.17) Scope 3: Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.18) Scope 3: Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.19) Comment

Goodyear uses the operational control approach to account for and report its global GHG emissions metrics. This includes manufacturing facilities (tire, chemical, tire manufacturing equipment, tire retread, aviation retread, mix plant), non-manufacturing facilities (offices, warehouses, vehicle service/repair, retread, aircraft bases,

laboratories, and remediation sites) where Goodyear has operational control, and the company's fleet of vehicles (cars, light-, medium-, and heavy-duty vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, Blimps, and corporate jets) used at facilities where Goodyear has operational control. GWP reference for Scope 3 Category 3 is IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year) for well-to-tank (WTT) emission factors an IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 – 100 year) for transmission and distribution (T&D) losses Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company. Goodyear restated its energy, emissions & performance against the company's climate ambitions data for years 2022-2023, which is inclusive of its manufacturing and non-manufacturing facilities.

[Fixed row]

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place

[Fixed row]

(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

Annual process

(7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

Complete

(7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

Limited assurance

(7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

FY24 Goodyear Report of Independent Accountants 6.23.2025 with assertion.pdf

(7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

GHG emissions listed on page 3

(7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select from:

Attestation standards established by AICPA (AT105)

(7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

- Scope 2 location-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

- Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

- Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

- Limited assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

FY24 Goodyear Report of Independent Accountants 6.23.2025 with assertion.pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

GHG emissions listed on page 3

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

- Attestation standards established by AICPA (AT105)

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

Row 2

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

Scope 2 market-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

Limited assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

FY24 Goodyear Report of Independent Accountants 6.23.2025 with assertion.pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

GHG emissions listed on page 3

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

- Attestation standards established by AICPA (AT105)

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category

Select all that apply

- Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

(7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

- Annual process

(7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

- Complete

(7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

- Limited assurance

(7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

(7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

(7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

Attestation standards established by AICPA (AT105)

(7.9.3.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:

Decreased

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

Change in renewable energy consumption

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

5904

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0.2

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

In 2024, Goodyear continued to work on a renewable electricity roadmap, which includes onsite renewable energy, power purchase agreements (PPAs), green tariffs and energy attribute certificates (EACs). Goodyear has already implemented three pieces of this strategy—onsite renewable energy, green tariffs and EACs. Goodyear's long-term plan is to utilize corporate PPAs for electricity procurement. This will ensure that Goodyear can maintain its decarbonization and add new power generation to the grid. To advance this work, Goodyear has established both a steering and operating committee—with representation from Global Engineering, Sustainability, Finance, Legal, Manufacturing and Procurement—to identify how it will execute on this strategy in both North America and EMEA, with the expectation to expand PPAs globally. In addition to its EMEA region operating with 100 percent renewable electricity, Goodyear also has several other plants around the world procuring and generating renewable electricity. In 2025, Goodyear will continue to implement projects in its global manufacturing operations that drive energy efficiency as it works toward reaching its near- and long-term goals.

Other emissions reduction activities

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

95672

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

3.3

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Goodyear's energy efficiency programs enable it to better identify and implement energy projects across its manufacturing facilities. Goodyear works to reduce energy use through zero-loss thinking and equipment efficiency. Its energy loss assessment, within its overall manufacturing zero-loss assessment, reviews different

categories of energy losses that can occur in all areas of the manufacturing facility, such as steam use, utility costs, heating and cooling, and electric use efficiency. In 2024, Goodyear began implementing its real-time energy management system. At the end of 2024, 15 facilities were live on the system, encompassing five of its top 10 GHG emissions-emitting facilities. Goodyear also worked to develop a renewable electricity roadmap, which includes onsite renewable energy, power purchase agreements (PPAs), green tariffs, and energy attribute certificates (EACs). Goodyear also continued to investigate how it can reduce the direct emissions from its operations by switching fuels to renewable options and developing new technologies for its processes. Finally, Goodyear looked at ways to electrify its processes, allowing it to use renewable electricity sources in place of fossil fuels for its heating process requirements.

Divestment

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not relevant

Acquisitions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not relevant

Mergers

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not relevant

Change in output

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

188698

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

8.4

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

2024 marked a year of challenging industry dynamics that were reflected in the organization's top line results. However, through commitment to and strong execution of the Goodyear Forward transformation plan, Goodyear strengthened its foundation and delivered significant improvements in segment operating income. The replacement industry saw significant growth in the import of low-cost tires into the U.S. and European marketplace at unprecedented levels. These imported tires drove the overall replacement industry up 3% globally, resulting in Goodyear's volume performance being lower than the industry, although it continues to be more in line with other tier 1 tire manufacturers. As Goodyear navigated through lower volume, it reduced production at its tire manufacturing facilities to prevent the build-up of excess inventory.

Change in methodology

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not relevant

Change in boundary

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not relevant

Change in physical operating conditions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not relevant

Unidentified

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not relevant

Other

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Not relevant

[Fixed row]

(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Select from:

Market-based

(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?

Select from:

Yes

(7.12.1) Provide the emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization in metric tons CO2.

	CO2 emissions from biogenic carbon (metric tons CO2)	Comment
	41000	Not reported in Scope 1 total. Reported separately.

[Fixed row]

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

Select from:

Yes

(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP).

Row 1

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

CO2

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

1347000

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

Row 2

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

N2O

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

1000

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

Row 3

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

CH4

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

1000

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

Row 4

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

HFCs

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

15000

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

Row 5

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

SF6

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

25000

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

[Add row]

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

Argentina

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

80

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

20

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20

Australia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

450

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2850

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2850

Austria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

90

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

30

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

30

Belgium

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

480

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

240

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

218

Brazil

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

46830

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

14550

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

480

Bulgaria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

20

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

18

Canada

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

35020

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

15260

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

9390

Chile

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

18030

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

27360

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4370

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

369730

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

234560

Colombia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4390

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2810

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Croatia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

30

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

Czechia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

70

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

160

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

260

Denmark

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

30

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

Finland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

40

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

80

France

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

15810

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11330

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

8240

Germany

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

54420

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

96430

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

15419

Greece

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

80

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

40

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

50

Guatemala

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

30

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Hungary

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

60

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

20

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

30

India

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5040

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

83420

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

83420

Indonesia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

12980

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

37230

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

37229

Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

20

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

Italy

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

610

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

540

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

720

Japan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8320

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

18870

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

18868

Latvia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Lithuania

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

30

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

Luxembourg

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

12720

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

47040

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

38800

Malaysia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2590

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5730

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5728

Mexico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

35450

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

51060

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

51059

Netherlands

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1660

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

380

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

40

New Zealand

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Norway

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

90

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

178

Peru

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4790

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3990

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

90

Philippines

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

560

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

560

Poland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

33100

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

93850

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2750

Portugal

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

30

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

30

Republic of Korea

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

40

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

40

Romania

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

220

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

220

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

210

Serbia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

11580

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

32890

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10

Singapore

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

50

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

50

Slovakia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

90

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

40

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

60

Slovenia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

25480

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

17580

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

730

South Africa

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1030

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

74070

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

29580

Spain

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

510

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

310

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

410

Sweden

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

150

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

50

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

50

Switzerland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

260

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

50

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

30

Taiwan, China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

60

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

60

Thailand

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

10660

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

19810

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

19810

Turkey

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

62280

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

8080

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

530

Ukraine

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

United Arab Emirates

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

40

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

130

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

130

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2090

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1590

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1940

United States of America

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

976260

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

559950

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

558791

Uruguay

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Viet Nam

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

20

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20

[Fixed row]

(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

By business division

(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)
Row 1	<i>Americas Tire</i>	<i>576000</i>
Row 2	<i>Europe, Middle East and Africa Tire</i>	<i>223000</i>
Row 3	<i>Chemical (North America)</i>	<i>545000</i>
Row 4	<i>Asia Pacific Tire</i>	<i>45000</i>

[Add row]

(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

By business division

By activity

(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	<i>Americas Tire</i>	<i>576000</i>	<i>521000</i>
Row 2	<i>Europe, Middle East and Africa Tire</i>	<i>385000</i>	<i>101000</i>
Row 3	<i>Chemical (North America)</i>	<i>99000</i>	<i>99000</i>
Row 4	<i>Asia Pacific Tire</i>	<i>438000</i>	<i>403000</i>

[Add row]

(7.20.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business activity.

	Activity	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	<i>Purchased Electricity</i>	<i>1265000</i>	<i>890000</i>
Row 2	<i>Purchased Steam</i>	<i>234000</i>	<i>234000</i>

[Add row]

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

Consolidated accounting group

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1389000

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1498000

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1124000

(7.22.4) Please explain

Total Scope 1 and 2 emissions for 2024 data year. No additional entities to report.

All other entities

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.4) Please explain

*Total Scope 1 and 2 emissions for 2024 data year. No additional entities to report.
[Fixed row]*

(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:

Yes

(7.23.1) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by subsidiary.

Row 1

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Goodyear India Ltd.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

Tires

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

ISIN code - equity

LEI number

(7.23.1.5) ISIN code – equity

INE533A01012

(7.23.1.9) LEI number

-5493006MBIQKZK1B0B4

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3000

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

38000

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

38000

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Subsidiary report values reflect data reported in individual legal entity report driven by local reporting requirements, which may involve different reporting boundaries & fiscal year vs global CDP reporting values.

Row 2

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Goodyear (Thailand) Public Company Limited

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

Tires

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

ISIN code - equity

(7.23.1.5) ISIN code – equity

TH0034010Z09

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

11000

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

20000

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

20000

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Country-level reporting breakdowns used because this breakdowns was not disclosed in this subsidiary reports.

Row 3

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

PT Goodyear Indonesia Tbk

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

Tires

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

Ticker symbol

(7.23.1.7) Ticker symbol

GDYR

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

13000

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

37000

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

37000

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Country-level reporting breakdowns used because this breakdowns was not disclosed in this subsidiary reports.

Row 4

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Goodyear Finland Oy

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

Tires

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

D-U-N-S number

(7.23.1.10) D-U-N-S number

540206596

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

40

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

10

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

80

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Country-level reporting breakdowns used because this breakdowns was not disclosed in this subsidiary reports.

Row 5

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

GOODYEAR LASTIKLERI T.A.S

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

Tires

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

Ticker symbol

(7.23.1.7) Ticker symbol

GOODY

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

62000

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8000

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1000

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Subsidiary report values reflect data reported in individual legal entity report driven by local reporting requirements, which may involve different reporting boundaries & fiscal year vs global CDP reporting values.

[Add row]

(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?

Row 1

(7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:

We face no challenges

(7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges

Not relevant

[Add row]

(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

(7.28.1) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

Select from:

Yes

(7.28.2) Describe how you plan to develop your capabilities

Goodyear publicly reports its decarbonization roadmap and strategies and responds to requests from various customers, original equipment (OE) customers, fleet customers, aviation customers, and others, to go into more detail regarding its climate strategy, focusing on topics including sustainable materials, renewable energy and low rolling resistance tires. In addition, Goodyear provides lifecycle assessments to various customers and discusses strategies for lowering the carbon footprint of tires. Goodyear is working on defining low-carbon products, according to industry standards, and classifying its product portfolio. Goodyear is also working with its suppliers to educate them on Goodyear's and its customers' climate ambitions and targets, as well as to provide guidelines and support as its suppliers develop lower carbon products and services for Goodyear's use in tire development and transport. Strengthening relationships and collaboration with customers on decarbonization efforts is leading to Goodyear's understanding of available low-carbon solutions and innovation opportunities and is informing its customers of Goodyear's decarbonization efforts, which has the potential to secure ongoing business. Goodyear also provides relative fuel-saving calculation tools for both consumer and commercial customers, and Goodyear provides product use information and services regarding proper maintenance of tires for better fuel performance.

[Fixed row]

(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?

Select from:

More than 0% but less than or equal to 5%

(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

138000

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

7278000

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

7416000.00

Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

3260000

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

5862000

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

9122000.00

Consumption of purchased or acquired steam

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

56000

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

864000

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

920000.00

Total energy consumption

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

3149000

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

13925000

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

17074000.00

[Fixed row]

(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Sustainable biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

102000

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

n/a

Other biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

n/a

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

n/a

Coal

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

4000

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

n/a

Oil

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

19000

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

n/a

Gas

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

7095000

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

1013510

(7.30.7.8) Comment

n/a

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

82000

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

n/a

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

7301000

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.5) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

1013510

(7.30.7.8) Comment

n/a

[Fixed row]

(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.

Row 1

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

China

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Wind

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

174000

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Contract

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

China

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

n/a

Row 2

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

China

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Other, please specify :onsite solar

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Solar

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

26000

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Other, please specify :Onsite solar

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

China

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2023

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Owned onsite solar, metered onsite

Row 3

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Malaysia

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Low-carbon energy mix, please specify :solar wind and hydro

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Contract

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Malaysia

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

n/a

Row 4

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Germany

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Low-carbon energy mix, please specify :solar, wind, hydro

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

674000

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Germany

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

n/a

Row 5

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

France

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Low-carbon energy mix, please specify :solar, wind and hydro

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

154000

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

France

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

n/a

Row 6

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Luxembourg

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Low-carbon energy mix, please specify :solar wind and hydro

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

364000

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Luxembourg

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

n/a

Row 7

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Netherlands

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Low-carbon energy mix, please specify :solar wind and hydro

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

3000

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Netherlands

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

n/a

Row 8

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Poland

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Low-carbon energy mix, please specify :solar wind and hydro

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

425000

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Poland

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

n/a

Row 9

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Serbia

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Low-carbon energy mix, please specify :solar wind and hydro

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

126000

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Serbia

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

n/a

Row 10

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Slovenia

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Low-carbon energy mix, please specify :solar wind and hydro

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

238000

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Slovenia

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

n/a

Row 11

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

South Africa

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Low-carbon energy mix, please specify :solar wind and hydro

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

131000

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

I-REC

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

South Africa

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

n/a

Row 12

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Turkey

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

- Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

- Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

- Low-carbon energy mix, please specify :solar wind and hydro

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

52000

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

- GO

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

- Turkey

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

- No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

n/a

Row 13

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Turkey

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Other, please specify :owned onsite solar, metered onsite

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Solar

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

1000

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Other, please specify :owned onsite solar, metered onsite

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Turkey

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2023

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Owned onsite solar, metered onsite

Row 14

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Low-carbon energy mix, please specify :solar wind and hydro

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

n/a

Row 15

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Brazil

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Hydropower (capacity unknown)

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

553000

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

I-REC

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Brazil

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

n/a

Row 16

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Chile

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Hydropower (capacity unknown)

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

248000

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Contract

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Chile

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

n/a

Row 17

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Colombia

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Hydropower (capacity unknown)

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

55000

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Contract

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Colombia

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

n/a

Row 18

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Peru

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Hydropower (capacity unknown)

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

54000

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Contract

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Peru

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

n/a

Row 19

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

United States of America

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

- Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

- Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

- Wind

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

8000

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

- Contract

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

- United States of America

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

- No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

n/a

Row 20

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

India

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Other, please specify :owned onsite solar, metered onsite

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Solar

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

2000

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Other, please specify :owned onsite solar, metered onsite

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

India

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2019

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Owned onsite solar, metered onsite

Row 21

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Indonesia

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Other, please specify :owned onsite solar, metered onsite

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Solar

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Other, please specify :owned onsite solar, metered onsite

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Indonesia

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2019

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Owned onsite solar, metered onsite

Row 22

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Malaysia

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Other, please specify :owned onsite solar, metered onsite

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Solar

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

2000

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Other, please specify :owned onsite solar, metered onsite

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Malaysia

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2019

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Owned onsite solar, metered onsite

Row 23

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Thailand

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Other, please specify :owned onsite solar, metered onsite

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Solar

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

4000

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Other, please specify :owned onsite solar, metered onsite

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Thailand

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2019

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Owned onsite solar, metered onsite

Row 24

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Germany

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Other, please specify :owned onsite solar, metered onsite

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Solar

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Other, please specify :owned onsite solar, metered onsite

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Germany

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2019

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Owned onsite solar, metered onsite

Row 25

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Colombia

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Other, please specify :owned onsite solar, metered onsite

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Solar

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Other, please specify :owned onsite solar, metered onsite

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Colombia

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2022

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Owned onsite solar, metered onsite

Row 26

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Mexico

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Other, please specify :owned onsite solar, metered onsite

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Solar

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

1000

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Other, please specify :owned onsite solar, metered onsite

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Mexico

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2023

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Owned onsite solar, metered onsite

[Add row]

(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

Argentina

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

70

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

70.00

Australia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

7160

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

7160.00

Austria

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

40

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

40.00

Belgium

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

790

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

790.00

Brazil

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

559860

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

559860.00

Bulgaria

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

30

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

30.00

Canada

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

399830

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

399830.00

Chile

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

247790

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

247790.00

China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

828490

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

25520

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

269700

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1123710.00

Colombia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

55290

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

55290.00

Croatia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

10

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

10.00

Czechia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

40

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

40.00

Denmark

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

20

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

20.00

Finland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

150

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

150.00

France

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

160960

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

32950

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

193910.00

Germany

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

684180

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

390

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

47640

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

732210.00

Greece

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

40

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

40.00

Guatemala

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Hungary

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

70

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

70.00

India

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

324200

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

1760

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

56260

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

382220.00

Indonesia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

137780

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

60

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

137840.00

Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

10

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

10.00

Italy

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1520

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1520.00

Japan

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

116770

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

116770.00

Latvia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Lithuania

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

10

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

10.00

Luxembourg

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

378950

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

162100

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

541050.00

Malaysia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

26410

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

1650

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

28060.00

Mexico

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

401250

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

850

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

402100.00

Netherlands

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

3450

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

3450.00

New Zealand

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Norway

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

360

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

360.00

Peru

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

54280

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

54280.00

Philippines

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

800

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

800.00

Poland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

427970

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

427970.00

Portugal

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

60

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

60.00

Republic of Korea

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

80

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

80.00

Romania

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

110

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

110.00

Serbia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

125540

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

125540.00

Singapore

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

140

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

140.00

Slovakia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

270

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

270.00

Slovenia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

240390

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

240390.00

South Africa

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

240390

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

55940

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

296330.00

Spain

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1350

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1350.00

Sweden

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

200

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

200.00

Switzerland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

950

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

950.00

Taiwan, China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

110

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

110.00

Thailand

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

116090

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

3920

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

120010.00

Turkey

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

53460

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

1400

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

54860.00

Ukraine

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

United Arab Emirates

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

290

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

290.00

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

5580

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

5580.00

United States of America

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

3623010

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

296110

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

3919120.00

Uruguay

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Viet Nam

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

30

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

30.00

[Fixed row]

(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Row 1

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

1331

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

2513000

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

unit total revenue

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

18878000000

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

Market-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

5.7

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

Increased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

Change in renewable energy consumption

Other emissions reduction activities

Change in output

(7.45.9) Please explain

Net sales were 18,878 million (USD) in 2024, compared to 20,066 million (USD) in 2023. Net sales decreased in 2024 due to lower tire volume. 2024 marked a year of challenging industry dynamics that were reflected in our top line results. However, through commitment to and strong execution of the Goodyear Forward transformation plan, the Company strengthened its foundation and delivered significant improvements in segment operating income. The replacement industry saw significant growth in the import of low-cost tires into the U.S. and European marketplaces at unprecedented levels. These imported tires drove the overall replacement industry up 3% globally, resulting in Goodyear's volume performance being lower than the industry, although it continues to be more in line with other tier 1 tire manufacturers. As Goodyear navigated through lower volume, the Company reduced production at our tire manufacturing facilities to prevent the build up of excess inventory. These actions resulted in higher unabsorbed fixed cost compared to 2023. Despite these challenges, Goodyear maintained its leading position in the U.S.

replacement market and remains a leader in other key markets around the world. Goodyear also continued to perform well at OE with year over year volume growth, outpacing the global industry which declined 2% from 2023. Goodyear's win rate on premium fitments, including electric vehicles, continues to be strong. Additionally, Goodyear's Scope 1, 2 and 3 GHG emissions are trending downward. Goodyear has a pathway to its 2030 Scope 1 and 2 targets. In terms of its Scope 3 emissions, Goodyear is researching, evaluating and implementing various decarbonization strategies. This includes materials, waste and transport strategies and supplier engagement.

[Add row]

(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

Absolute target

(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

Row 1

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Abs 1

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

SBTi-Validation-Certificate.pdf

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

1.5°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

01/01/2021

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

Carbon dioxide (CO2)

Methane (CH4)

Nitrous oxide (N2O)

Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

Scope 1

Scope 2

(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

Market-based

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/31/2019

(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1514905

(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1835034

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

3349939.000

(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1

100

(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2

100

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

100

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/31/2030

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

46

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

1808967.060

(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1389000

(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1498000

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

2887000.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

30.04

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 1 emissions cover direct GHG emissions from sources that are owned or controlled by the company. Scope 2 emissions account for indirect GHG emissions from the generation of electricity purchased by Goodyear for its own use. Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company. The sources include electricity and steam generated offsite, fuels including

natural gas, fuel oil, propane, diesel, gasoline, liquefied petroleum gas (LPG), waste fuel, agricultural byproducts, coal, jet fuel, ethanol, process emissions (chemical waste), and fugitive emissions (SF6 and refrigerants). Goodyear's 2019 emissions baseline includes Goodyear and Cooper manufacturing facilities, non-manufacturing facilities, and vehicles, unless otherwise noted. Goodyear has a goal to reach net-zero Scope 1, 2, as well as certain Scope 3 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 2050. The company has also committed to achieving near-term science-based targets by 2030, aiming to reduce Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions by 46 percent and certain Scope 3 GHG emissions by 28 percent, compared to a 2019 baseline. Both the near- and long-term climate targets were validated by the Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi) in 2023. Goodyear continues to make strides towards the goal of net-zero Scope 1, 2, and certain Scope 3 GHG emissions.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

Goodyear has a goal to reach net-zero Scope 1, 2, as well as certain Scope 3 (categories 1, 2, 3, 4) greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 2050. The company has also committed to achieving near-term science-based targets by 2030, aiming to reduce Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions by 46 percent and certain Scope 3 GHG emissions by 28 percent, compared to a 2019 baseline. Both the near- and long-term climate targets were validated by the Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi) in 2023. Goodyear continues to make strides towards the goal of net-zero Scope 1, 2, and certain Scope 3 GHG emissions.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Goodyear has identified owners for each of its hot spots and strategies have been identified for each. These strategies are outlined in Goodyear's Decarbonization Roadmap and the company details progress against these strategies and workstream activity for 2024 starting on page 15 of its most recent Corporate Responsibility Report (CRR). Additionally, when it comes to strategies to pursue for decarbonization, Goodyear utilizes Life Cycle Assessments (LCAs) to evaluate materials quantitatively through the entire life of the product from the sourcing of raw materials to the end of the products life. Each LCA is performed using internationally recognized ISO frameworks that help provide a full picture of product impacts as well as opportunities for improvement that can be used in product development. Goodyear worked through the Tire Industry Project (TIP) to develop Product Category Rules (PCRs) for evaluating impacts from tires. LCAs assist Goodyear in determining lower-emissions materials to pursue. The governance structure for Goodyear's climate ambition and corresponding strategy is detailed in its Climate Transition Plan, published December 2024. <https://corporate.goodyear.com/content/dam/goodyear-corp/documents/responsibility/goodyear-2024-climate-transition-plan.pdf>

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

No

Row 2

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Abs 2

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

- Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

SBTi-Validation-Certificate.pdf

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

- 1.5°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

01/01/2021

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

- Carbon dioxide (CO₂)
- Methane (CH₄)
- Nitrous oxide (N₂O)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

- Scope 3

(7.53.1.10) Scope 3 categories

Select all that apply

- Scope 3, Category 1 – Purchased goods and services
- Scope 3, Category 2 – Capital goods
- Scope 3, Category 3 – Fuel- and energy- related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)
- Scope 3, Category 4 – Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/31/2019

(7.53.1.14) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

7818448

(7.53.1.15) Base year Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

177054

(7.53.1.16) Base year Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

580026

(7.53.1.17) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1501174

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

10076702.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

(7.53.1.35) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.36) Base year Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.37) Base year Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.38) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.52) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3 (in all Scope 3 categories)

91

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

91

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/31/2030

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

28

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

7255225.440

(7.53.1.59) Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

7019000

(7.53.1.60) Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

221000

(7.53.1.61) Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

578000

(7.53.1.62) Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1278000

(7.53.1.76) Total Scope 3 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

9096000.000

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

 No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)**(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year**

34.76

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

 Underway**(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions**

Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 1 emissions cover direct GHG emissions from sources that are owned or controlled by the company. Scope 2 emissions account for indirect GHG emissions from the generation of electricity purchased by Goodyear for its own use. Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company. The sources include electricity and steam generated offsite, fuels including natural gas, fuel oil, propane, diesel, gasoline, liquefied petroleum gas (LPG), waste fuel, agricultural byproducts, coal, jet fuel, ethanol, process emissions (chemical waste), and fugitive emissions (SF6 and refrigerants). Goodyear's 2019 emissions baseline includes Goodyear and Cooper manufacturing facilities, non-manufacturing facilities, and vehicles, unless otherwise noted.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

Goodyear has a goal to reach net-zero Scope 1, 2, as well as certain Scope 3 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 2050. The company has also committed to achieving near-term science-based targets by 2030, aiming to reduce Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions by 46 percent and certain Scope 3 GHG emissions by 28 percent, compared to a 2019 baseline. Both the near- and long-term climate targets were validated by the Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi) in 2023. Goodyear continues to make strides towards the goal of net-zero Scope 1, 2, and certain Scope 3 GHG emissions.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Goodyear has identified owners for each of its hot spots and strategies have been identified for each. These strategies are outlined in Goodyear's Decarbonization Roadmap and the company details progress against these strategies and workstream activity for 2024 starting on page 15 of its most recent Corporate Responsibility Report (CRR). Additionally, when it comes to strategies to pursue for decarbonization, Goodyear utilizes Life Cycle Assessments (LCAs) to evaluate materials quantitatively through the entire life of the product from the sourcing of raw materials to the end of the products life. Each LCA is performed using internationally recognized ISO frameworks that help provide a full picture of product impacts as well as opportunities for improvement that can be used in product development. Goodyear worked through the Tire Industry Project (TIP) to develop Product Category Rules (PCRs) for evaluating impacts from tires. LCAs assist Goodyear in determining lower-emissions materials to pursue. The governance structure for Goodyear's climate ambition and corresponding strategy is detailed in its Climate Transition Plan, published December 2024. <https://corporate.goodyear.com/content/dam/goodyear-corp/documents/responsibility/goodyear-2024-climate-transition-plan.pdf>

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

No

Row 3

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Abs 3

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

[SBTi-Validation-Certificate.pdf](#)

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

1.5°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

01/01/2021

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

- Carbon dioxide (CO2)
- Methane (CH4)
- Nitrous oxide (N2O)
- Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2
- Scope 3

(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

- Market-based

(7.53.1.10) Scope 3 categories

Select all that apply

- Scope 3, Category 1 – Purchased goods and services
- Scope 3, Category 2 – Capital goods

Scope 3, Category 3 – Fuel- and energy- related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

Scope 3, Category 4 – Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/31/2019

(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1514905

(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1835034

(7.53.1.14) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

7818448

(7.53.1.15) Base year Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

177054

(7.53.1.16) Base year Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

580026

(7.53.1.17) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1501174

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

10076702.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

13426641.000

(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1

100

(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2

100

(7.53.1.35) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.36) Base year Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.37) Base year Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.38) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.52) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3 (in all Scope 3 categories)

91

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

93

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/31/2050

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

100

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1389000

(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1498000

(7.53.1.59) Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

7019000

(7.53.1.60) Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

221000

(7.53.1.61) Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

578000

(7.53.1.62) Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1278000

(7.53.1.76) Total Scope 3 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

9096000.000

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

11983000.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

10.75

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Goodyear considers the principles and guidance of the World Resources Institute (WRI) and the World Business Council for Sustainable Development's (WBCSD) The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard, Revised Edition and GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance, An Amendment to the GHG Protocol Corporate Standard (together the "GHG Protocol") to guide the criteria to assess, calculate, and report GHG emissions. According to the WBCSD and WRI, Scope 1 emissions cover direct GHG emissions from sources that are owned or controlled by the company. Scope 2 emissions account for indirect GHG emissions from the generation of electricity purchased by Goodyear for its own use. Scope 3 emissions include other indirect GHG emissions that occur in connection with the activities of the company, but from sources not owned or controlled by the company. The sources include electricity and steam generated offsite, fuels including natural gas, fuel oil, propane, diesel, gasoline, liquefied petroleum gas (LPG), waste fuel, agricultural byproducts, coal, jet fuel, ethanol, process emissions (chemical waste), and fugitive emissions (SF6 and refrigerants). Goodyear's 2019 emissions baseline includes Goodyear and Cooper manufacturing facilities, non-manufacturing facilities, and vehicles, unless otherwise noted.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

Goodyear has a goal to reach net-zero Scope 1, 2, as well as certain Scope 3 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 2050. The company has also committed to achieving near-term science-based targets by 2030, aiming to reduce Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions by 46 percent and certain Scope 3 GHG emissions by 28 percent, compared to a 2019 baseline. Both the near- and long-term climate targets were validated by the Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi) in 2023. Goodyear continues to make strides towards the goal of net-zero Scope 1, 2, and certain Scope 3 GHG emissions.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Goodyear has identified owners for each of its hot spots and strategies have been identified for each. These strategies are outlined in Goodyear's Decarbonization Roadmap and the company details progress against these strategies and workstream activity for 2024 starting on page 15 of its most recent Corporate Responsibility Report (CRR). Additionally, when it comes to strategies to pursue for decarbonization, Goodyear utilizes Life Cycle Assessments (LCAs) to evaluate materials quantitatively through the entire life of the product from the sourcing of raw materials to the end of the products life. Each LCA is performed using internationally recognized ISO frameworks that help provide a full picture of product impacts as well as opportunities for improvement that can be used in product development. Goodyear worked through the Tire Industry Project (TIP) to develop Product Category Rules (PCRs) for evaluating impacts from tires. LCAs assist Goodyear in determining lower-emissions materials to pursue. The governance structure for Goodyear's climate ambition and corresponding strategy is detailed in its Climate Transition Plan, published December 2024. <https://corporate.goodyear.com/content/dam/goodyear-corp/documents/responsibility/goodyear-2024-climate-transition-plan.pdf>

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

No

[Add row]

(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

- Targets to increase or maintain low-carbon energy consumption or production
- Net-zero targets
- Other climate-related targets

(7.54.1) Provide details of your targets to increase or maintain low-carbon energy consumption or production.

Row 1

(7.54.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

- Low 1

(7.54.1.2) Date target was set

01/01/2021

(7.54.1.3) Target coverage

Select from:

- Business activity

(7.54.1.4) Target type: energy carrier

Select from:

- All energy carriers

(7.54.1.5) Target type: activity

Select from:

- Consumption

(7.54.1.6) Target type: energy source

Select from:

Renewable energy source(s) only

(7.54.1.7) End date of base year

12/31/2019

(7.54.1.8) Consumption or production of selected energy carrier in base year (MWh)

254000

(7.54.1.9) % share of low-carbon or renewable energy in base year

1.5

(7.54.1.10) End date of target

12/31/2040

(7.54.1.11) % share of low-carbon or renewable energy at end date of target

100

(7.54.1.12) % share of low-carbon or renewable energy in reporting year

37

(7.54.1.13) % of target achieved relative to base year

36.04

(7.54.1.14) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

(7.54.1.16) Is this target part of an emissions target?

Goodyear has a goal to reach net-zero Scope 1, 2, as well as certain Scope 3 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 2050. The company has also committed to achieving near-term science-based targets by 2030, aiming to reduce Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions by 46 percent and certain Scope 3 GHG emissions by 28 percent, compared to a 2019 baseline. Both the near- and long-term climate targets were validated by the Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi) in 2023. Goodyear continues to make strides towards the goal of net-zero Scope 1, 2, and certain Scope 3 GHG emissions. In addition to setting near- and long-term climate goals, Goodyear has committed to using 100 percent renewable electricity in all manufacturing facilities by 2030 and 100 percent renewable energy in all manufacturing facilities by 2040.

(7.54.1.17) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

No, it's not part of an overarching initiative

(7.54.1.19) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

This target covers energy related with Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions for Goodyear's manufacturing operations.

(7.54.1.20) Target objective

By 2030, transform Goodyear's manufacturing facilities to 100% renewable electricity, and by 2040, transform Goodyear's manufacturing operations to 100% renewable energy to significantly reduce the company's carbon footprint.

(7.54.1.21) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

In 2024, Goodyear continued to work on a renewable electricity roadmap, which includes onsite renewable energy, power purchase agreements (PPAs), green tariffs and energy attribute certificates (EACs). Goodyear has already implemented three pieces of this strategy—onsite renewable energy, green tariffs and EACs. Goodyear's long-term plan is to utilize corporate PPAs for electricity procurement. This will ensure that Goodyear can maintain its decarbonization and add new power generation to the grid. To advance this work, Goodyear has established both a steering and operating committee—with representation from Global Engineering, Sustainability, Finance, Legal, Manufacturing and Procurement—to identify how it will execute on this strategy in both North America and EMEA, with the expectation to expand PPAs globally. In addition to its EMEA region operating with 100 percent renewable electricity, Goodyear also has several other plants around the world procuring and generating renewable electricity. In 2025, Goodyear will continue to implement projects in its global manufacturing operations that drive energy efficiency as it works toward reaching its near- and long-term goals.

[Add row]

(7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets.

Row 1

(7.54.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Oth 1

(7.54.2.2) Date target was set

01/01/2021

(7.54.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

Business activity

(7.54.2.4) Target type: absolute or intensity

Select from:

Intensity

(7.54.2.5) Target type: category & metric (target numerator if reporting an intensity target)

Energy productivity

Other, energy productivity, please specify :BTU

(7.54.2.6) Target denominator (intensity targets only)

Select from:

unit of production

(7.54.2.7) End date of base year

12/31/2019

(7.54.2.8) Figure or percentage in base year

7020

(7.54.2.9) End date of target

12/31/2030

(7.54.2.10) Figure or percentage at end of date of target

20

(7.54.2.11) Figure or percentage in reporting year

1.2

(7.54.2.12) % of target achieved relative to base year

100.2685714286

(7.54.2.13) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

(7.54.2.15) Is this target part of an emissions target?

ABS1

(7.54.2.16) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

No, it's not part of an overarching initiative

(7.54.2.18) Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

This target covers all Goodyear manufacturing facilities and associated energy sources within Scope 1 and Scope 2 reporting.

(7.54.2.19) Target objective

Goodyear's energy efficiency programs enable it to better identify and implement energy projects across its manufacturing facilities. Goodyear works to reduce energy use through zero-loss thinking and equipment efficiency. Its energy loss assessment, within its overall manufacturing zero-loss assessment, reviews different categories of energy losses that can occur in all areas of the manufacturing facility, such as steam use, utility costs, heating and cooling, and electric use efficiency. In 2024, Goodyear Began implementing its real-time energy management system. At the end of 2024, 15 facilities were live on the system, encompassing five of its top 10 GHG emissions-emitting facilities. Goodyear also worked to develop a renewable electricity roadmap, which includes onsite renewable energy, power purchase agreements (PPAs), green tariffs, and energy attribute certificates (EACs). Goodyear also continued to investigate how it can reduce the direct emissions from its operations by switching fuels to renewable options and developing new technologies for its processes. Finally, Goodyear Looked at ways to electrify its processes, allowing it to use renewable electricity sources in place of fossil fuels for its heating process requirements.

(7.54.2.20) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Goodyear plans to leverage its Energy Optimization Program to achieve these goals. Throughout the year, each of the company's regions and facilities work to implement energy efficiency projects in all areas of the company's facilities. Many of these projects include operational energy efficiency such as improving the efficiency of facility equipment including boilers, chillers and air compressors, as well as implementing air and steam leak detection programs.

[Add row]

(7.54.3) Provide details of your net-zero target(s).

Row 1

(7.54.3.1) Target reference number

Select from:

NZ1

(7.54.3.2) Date target was set

01/01/2021

(7.54.3.3) Target Coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(7.54.3.4) Targets linked to this net zero target

Select all that apply

- Abs1
- Abs2
- Abs3

(7.54.3.5) End date of target for achieving net zero

12/31/2050

(7.54.3.6) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

- Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.54.3.7) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

SBTi-Validation-Certificate.pdf

(7.54.3.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2
- Scope 3

(7.54.3.9) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

- Carbon dioxide (CO2)
- Methane (CH4)
- Nitrous oxide (N2O)
- Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)

(7.54.3.10) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Goodyear is committed to achieving net-zero GHG emissions across its value chain by no later than 2050. In Goodyear's Decarbonization Roadmap, its priority decarbonization categories are defined as: •Purchased Goods & Services Scope 3, Categories 1 & 2: Purchased Goods & Services and Capital Goods •Energy Scope 1 & 2 and Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel- and Energy-Related Activities •Transport Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream Transport & Distribution The decarbonization roadmap also outlines Goodyear's key strategies to achieve its 2030 and 2050 science-based targets. Goodyear's decarbonization targets were validated by the Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi) in September 2023 and align with the recommendation to limit global temperature rise to no more than 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels.

(7.54.3.11) Target objective

Goodyear has a goal to reach net-zero Scope 1, 2, as well as certain Scope 3 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 2050. The company has also committed to achieving near-term science-based targets by 2030, aiming to reduce Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions by 46 percent and certain Scope 3 GHG emissions by 28 percent, compared to a 2019 baseline. Both the near- and long-term climate targets were validated by the Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi) in 2023. Goodyear continues to make strides towards the goal of net-zero Scope 1, 2, and certain Scope 3 GHG emissions.

(7.54.3.12) Do you intend to neutralize any residual emissions with permanent carbon removals at the end of the target?

Select from:

- Yes

(7.54.3.13) Do you plan to mitigate emissions beyond your value chain?

Select from:

- No, but we plan to within the next two years

(7.54.3.14) Do you intend to purchase and cancel carbon credits for neutralization and/or beyond value chain mitigation?

Select all that apply

- No, we do not plan to purchase and cancel carbon credits for neutralization and/or beyond value chain mitigation

(7.54.3.15) Planned milestones and/or near-term investments for neutralization at the end of the target

Goodyear is committed to reducing its value chain emissions by 90 percent or more by 2050. At this time, Goodyear cannot give a definitive response as to whether it will be able to mitigate emissions beyond its value chain.

(7.54.3.17) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

(7.54.3.19) Process for reviewing target

Goodyear's Board of Directors (Board) oversees the Company's sustainability impacts, risks, opportunities and strategies. The Committee on Corporate Responsibility and Compliance (CRC) reviews climate-related risks and opportunities, targets, strategies, metrics and progress annually. The CRC is responsible for monitoring and providing recommendations on how Goodyear manages its business in a responsible manner, including sustainability objectives, policies, strategies, programs and performance. This includes the responsibility to monitor the Company's climate strategy. The CRC also discusses the strategies and their integration into business processes. The governance structure for Goodyear's climate ambition and corresponding strategy is detailed in Goodyear's Climate Transition Plan, published December 2024. Goodyear drives climate resiliency through decarbonization, adaptation and transition. This approach is essential to curbing and limiting global temperature rise, helping avoid climate change impacts, and promoting sustainable growth.

[Add row]

(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

Select from:

Yes

(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e
Under investigation	350	`Numeric input
To be implemented	17	12954
Implementation commenced	565	430530
Implemented	153	116856
Not to be implemented	9	`Numeric input

[Fixed row]

(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

Row 1

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

Other, please specify :General energy efficiency projects

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

90671

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

Scope 1

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

28550000

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

9900000

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

1-3 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

3-5 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

In 2024, Goodyear continued to work on a renewable electricity roadmap, which includes onsite renewable energy, power purchase agreements (PPAs), green tariffs and energy attribute certificates (EACs). Goodyear has already implemented three pieces of this strategy—onsite renewable energy, green tariffs and EACs. Goodyear’s long-term plan is to utilize corporate PPAs for electricity procurement. This will ensure that Goodyear can maintain its decarbonization and add new power generation to the grid. To advance this work, Goodyear has established both a steering and operating committee—with representation from Global Engineering, Sustainability, Finance, Legal, Manufacturing and Procurement—to identify how it will execute on this strategy in both North America and EMEA, with the expectation to expand PPAs globally. In addition to its EMEA region operating with 100 percent renewable electricity, Goodyear also have several other plants around the world procuring and generating renewable electricity. In 2025, Goodyear will continue to implement projects in its global manufacturing operations that drive energy efficiency as it works toward reaching its near- and long-term goals.

Row 2

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Low-carbon energy consumption

Low-carbon electricity mix

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

10813

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

2000000

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

4-10 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

1-2 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

In 2024, Goodyear continued to work on a renewable electricity roadmap, which includes onsite renewable energy, power purchase agreements (PPAs), green tariffs and energy attribute certificates (EACs). Goodyear has already implemented three pieces of this strategy—onsite renewable energy, green tariffs and EACs. Goodyear’s long-term plan is to utilize corporate PPAs for electricity procurement. This will ensure that Goodyear can maintain its decarbonization and add new power generation to the grid. To advance this work, Goodyear has established both a steering and operating committee—with representation from Global Engineering, Sustainability, Finance, Legal, Manufacturing and Procurement—to identify how it will execute on this strategy in both North America and EMEA, with the expectation to expand PPAs globally. In addition to its EMEA region operating with 100 percent renewable electricity, Goodyear also have several other plants around the world procuring and generating renewable electricity. In 2025, Goodyear will continue to implement projects in its global manufacturing operations that drive energy efficiency as it works toward reaching its near- and long-term goals.

Row 3

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Low-carbon energy consumption

Solar PV

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

15102

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

450000

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

10000

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

1-3 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

16-20 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

In 2024, Goodyear continued to work on a renewable electricity roadmap, which includes onsite renewable energy, power purchase agreements (PPAs), green tariffs and energy attribute certificates (EACs). Goodyear has already implemented three pieces of this strategy—onsite renewable energy, green tariffs and EACs. Goodyear’s long-term plan is to utilize corporate PPAs for electricity procurement. This will ensure that Goodyear can maintain its decarbonization and add new power generation to the grid. To advance this work, Goodyear has established both a steering and operating committee—with representation from Global Engineering, Sustainability, Finance, Legal, Manufacturing and Procurement—to identify how it will execute on this strategy in both North America and EMEA, with the expectation to expand PPAs globally. In addition to its EMEA region operating with 100 percent renewable electricity, Goodyear also have several other plants around the world procuring and generating renewable electricity. In 2025, Goodyear will continue to implement projects in its global manufacturing operations that drive energy efficiency as it works toward reaching its near- and long-term goals.

[Add row]

(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Row 1

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

- Compliance with regulatory requirements/standards

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Mitigation of business risks

Row 2

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

- Dedicated budget for energy efficiency

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Every business unit identifies a spectrum of energy projects and completes a cost-benefit analysis for prioritization.

Row 3

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

- Dedicated budget for energy efficiency

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Investigate opportunities for government and joint investments with respect to climate change research. Goodyear uses both internal resources as well as external experts to help in securing local utility and government incentives and rebates for energy projects.

Row 4

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

- Dedicated budget for low-carbon product R&D

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Goodyear offers commercial truck tire products that are verified under the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's SmartWay program. Other projects include the use of rice husk ash silica, Air Maintenance Technology (AMT), and the use of soybean oil as a partial or total replacement for petroleum-derived oils in certain tread compounds, among others.

Row 5

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

- Employee engagement

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Certified Energy Manager program. Daily Management System (DMS) board under operation excellence initiative. Employee recognition programs and idea reward systems in place within each region.

[Add row]

(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization's goods or services?

Select from:

- No, I am not providing data

(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:

- No

(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:

- No

C8. Environmental performance - Forests

(8.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of forests-related data?

	Exclusion from disclosure
Rubber	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(8.2) Provide a breakdown of your disclosure volume per commodity.

	Disclosure volume (metric tons)	Volume type	Sourced volume (metric tons)
Rubber	644107	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sourced	644107

[Fixed row]

(8.5) Provide details on the origins of your sourced volumes.

Rubber

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

Brazil

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Sao Paulo

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

Trader/broker/commodity market

Contracted suppliers (processors)

(8.5.7) Please explain

Volume not provided (confidential)

Rubber

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

Cameroon

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

- Trader/broker/commodity market
- Contracted suppliers (processors)

(8.5.7) Please explain

Volume not provided (confidential)

Rubber

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

- China

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

- States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Hainan and Yunnan

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

- Trader/broker/commodity market
- Contracted suppliers (processors)

(8.5.7) Please explain

Volume not provided (confidential)

Rubber

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

Colombia

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Meta

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

Trader/broker/commodity market

Contracted suppliers (processors)

(8.5.7) Please explain

Volume not provided (confidential)

Rubber

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

Ghana

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

- States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Western Region

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

- Trader/broker/commodity market
- Contracted suppliers (processors)

(8.5.7) Please explain

Volume not provided (confidential)

Rubber

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

- Guatemala

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

- States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Retalhuleu and Suchitepéquez

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

- Trader/broker/commodity market
- Contracted suppliers (processors)

(8.5.7) Please explain

Volume not provided (confidential)

Rubber

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

- India

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

- States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Kerala and Tripura

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

- Trader/broker/commodity market
- Contracted suppliers (processors)

(8.5.7) Please explain

Volume not provided (confidential)

Rubber

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

Indonesia

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Banten, Bengkulu, Jambi, Jawa Timur, Kalimantan Barat, Kalimantan Selatan, Kalimantan Tengah, Kepulauan Bangka Belitung, Lampung, Riau, Sumatera Barat, Sumatera Selatan, and Sumatera Utara

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

Trader/broker/commodity market

Contracted suppliers (processors)

(8.5.7) Please explain

Volume not provided (confidential)

Rubber

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

Côte d'Ivoire

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

- States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Abidjan, Bas-Sassandra, Comoé, Lagunes, and Montagnes

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

- Trader/broker/commodity market
- Contracted suppliers (processors)

(8.5.7) Please explain

Volume not provided (confidential)

Rubber

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

- Malaysia

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

- States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Pahang and Perak

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

- Trader/broker/commodity market
- Contracted suppliers (processors)

(8.5.7) Please explain

Volume not provided (confidential)

Rubber

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

- Mexico

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

- States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Veracruz

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

- Trader/broker/commodity market
- Contracted suppliers (processors)

(8.5.7) Please explain

Volume not provided (confidential)

Rubber

(8.5.1) Country/area of origin

Select from:

Thailand

(8.5.2) First level administrative division

Select from:

States/equivalent jurisdictions

(8.5.3) Specify the states or equivalent jurisdictions

Chanthaburi, Chonburi, Nakhon Si Thammarat, Phitsanulok, Songkhla, Surat Thani and Trang

(8.5.5) Source

Select all that apply

Trader/broker/commodity market

Contracted suppliers (processors)

(8.5.7) Please explain

Volume not provided (confidential)

[Add row]

(8.7) Did your organization have a no-deforestation or no-conversion target, or any other targets for sustainable production/ sourcing of your disclosed commodities, active in the reporting year?

Rubber

(8.7.1) Active no-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

No, but we plan to have a no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the next two years

(8.7.3) Primary reason for not having an active no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the reporting year

Select from:

- No standardized procedure

(8.7.4) Explain why you did not have an active no-deforestation or no-conversion target in the reporting year

Goodyear plans to continue investing in supply chain transparency and establishing goals and targets in 2026. Additionally, the company plans to continue its work with GPSNR and refine and enhance its strategies as needed. Goodyear is aware of the EU Deforestation Regulation (EUDR) and continues to prepare for its implementation. It is Goodyear's policy to comply with all applicable laws, including EUDR.

(8.7.5) Other active targets related to this commodity, including any which contribute to your no-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

- Yes, we have other targets related to this commodity

[Fixed row]

(8.7.2) Provide details of other targets related to your commodities, including any which contribute to your no-deforestation or no-conversion target, and progress made against them.

Rubber

(8.7.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

- Target 1

(8.7.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

- Suppliers

(8.7.2.4) Commodity volume covered by target (metric tons)

Select from:

Other volume, please specify :% of direct suppliers engaged

(8.7.2.5) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Engagement with Tier 1 suppliers

% of Tier 1 suppliers engaged

(8.7.2.8) Date target was set

01/01/2018

(8.7.2.9) End date of base year

12/31/2018

(8.7.2.10) Base year figure

79

(8.7.2.11) End date of target

12/31/2024

(8.7.2.12) Target year figure

100

(8.7.2.13) Reporting year figure

100

(8.7.2.14) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Achieved and maintained

(8.7.2.16) Global environmental treaties/ initiatives/ frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Select all that apply

Other, please specify :Global Platform for Sustainable Natural Rubber (GPSNR)

(8.7.2.17) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

More than 90 percent of the world's natural rubber is made from latex derived from rubber trees, which Goodyear primarily sources from Southeast Asia, West Africa, and Brazil. The tire industry uses approximately 70 percent of the world's natural rubber, and demand for natural rubber is growing. Social and agricultural practices in natural rubber production can vary greatly and can have significant impacts on local people's livelihoods and rights, as well as local ecosystems through, among other things, potential habitat changes and deforestation. Goodyear does not own any rubber tree plantations, but it has taken actions as a purchaser of natural rubber. Goodyear's Natural Rubber Procurement Policy aligns with the Global Platform for Sustainable Natural Rubber's (GPSNR) Policy Framework, showing its commitment to sustainability in its supply chain. Goodyear's Natural Rubber Procurement Policy applies to the company and its affiliates. Key principles include:

- Supporting and protecting the rights of workers, including contract, temporary, and migrant workers, landowners, and local people;*
- Promoting responsible land acquisition and management that is free from deforestation and land grabbing;*
- Promoting practices that lead to the ability to trace natural rubber through the entire supply chain;*
- Promoting the use of responsible and sustainable production techniques;*
- Promoting the best available growing and harvesting techniques;*
- Supporting the livelihoods of smallholders; and*
- Regularly auditing and working with its supply chain to ensure policy compliance. Goodyear audits all its natural rubber suppliers every two years. In 2024, Goodyear conducted either onsite or virtual audits at 100 percent of its supply.*

(8.7.2.19) List the actions which contributed most to achieving or maintaining this target

More than 90 percent of the world's natural rubber is made from latex derived from rubber trees, which Goodyear primarily sources from Southeast Asia, West Africa, and Brazil. The tire industry uses approximately 70 percent of the world's natural rubber, and demand for natural rubber is growing. Social and agricultural practices in natural rubber production can vary greatly and can have significant impacts on local people's livelihoods and rights, as well as local ecosystems through, among other things, potential habitat changes and deforestation. Goodyear does not own any rubber tree plantations, but it has taken actions as a purchaser of natural rubber. Goodyear's Natural Rubber Procurement Policy aligns with the Global Platform for Sustainable Natural Rubber's (GPSNR) Policy Framework, showing its commitment to sustainability in its supply chain. Goodyear's Natural Rubber Procurement Policy applies to the company and its affiliates. Key principles include:

- Supporting and protecting the rights of workers, including contract, temporary, and migrant workers, landowners, and local people;*
- Promoting responsible land acquisition and management that is free from deforestation and land grabbing;*
- Promoting practices that lead to the ability to trace natural rubber through the entire supply chain;*
- Promoting the use of responsible and sustainable production techniques;*
- Promoting the best available growing and harvesting techniques;*
- Supporting the livelihoods of smallholders; and*
- Regularly auditing and working with its supply chain to ensure policy compliance. Goodyear audits all its natural rubber suppliers every two years. In 2024, Goodyear conducted either onsite or virtual audits at 100 percent of its supply.*

(8.7.2.20) Further details of target

More than 90 percent of the world's natural rubber is made from latex derived from rubber trees, which Goodyear primarily sources from Southeast Asia, West Africa, and Brazil. The tire industry uses approximately 70 percent of the world's natural rubber, and demand for natural rubber is growing. Social and agricultural practices in natural rubber production can vary greatly and can have significant impacts on local people's livelihoods and rights, as well as local ecosystems through, among other things, potential habitat changes and deforestation. Goodyear does not own any rubber tree plantations, but it has taken actions as a purchaser of natural rubber. Goodyear's Natural Rubber Procurement Policy aligns with the Global Platform for Sustainable Natural Rubber's (GPSNR) Policy Framework, showing its commitment to sustainability in its supply chain. Goodyear's Natural Rubber Procurement Policy applies to the company and its affiliates. Key principles include: •Supporting and protecting the rights of workers, including contract, temporary, and migrant workers, landowners, and local people; •Promoting responsible land acquisition and management that is free from deforestation and land grabbing; •Promoting practices that lead to the ability to trace natural rubber through the entire supply chain; •Promoting the use of responsible and sustainable production techniques; •Promoting the best available growing and harvesting techniques; •Supporting the livelihoods of smallholders; and •Regularly auditing and working with its supply chain to ensure policy compliance. Goodyear audits all its natural rubber suppliers every two years. In 2024, Goodyear conducted either onsite or virtual audits at 100 percent of its supply.
[Add row]

(8.8) Indicate if your organization has a traceability system to determine the origins of your sourced volumes and provide details of the methods and tools used.

Rubber

(8.8.1) Traceability system

Select from:

Yes

(8.8.2) Methods/tools used in traceability system

Select all that apply

Internal traceability system

(8.8.3) Description of methods/tools used in traceability system

Goodyear's supply chain is complex, and the company is exploring processes and technologies to enhance supply chain transparency, tracking materials along development paths from agricultural production to storage, distribution, processing, manufacturing, and more. In 2024, Goodyear continued to onboard rubber factories into Rubberway. Currently, 61 percent of Goodyear's natural rubber volume is part of that system. Goodyear will continue to engage new suppliers and technologies; assess further capabilities related to transparency; and continue to look at ways to prevent materials from deforested lands from entering its supply chain. In 2025, Goodyear plans to continue assessing and looking at risks, with long-term sustainability goals guiding its work in this space. Goodyear plans to continue investing in supply chain transparency and establishing goals and targets in 2025. Additionally, the company plans to continue its work with GPSNR and to

refine and enhance its strategies as needed. Goodyear is aware of the EU Deforestation Regulation (EUDR) and continues to prepare for its implementation. It is Goodyear's policy to comply with all applicable laws, including EUDR.

[Fixed row]

(8.8.1) Provide details of the point to which your organization can trace its sourced volumes.

Rubber

(8.8.1.1) % of sourced volume traceable to production unit

100

(8.8.1.2) % of sourced volume traceable to sourcing area and not to production unit

0

(8.8.1.3) % sourced volume traceable to country/area of origin and not to sourcing area or production unit

0

(8.8.1.4) % of sourced volume traceable to other point (i.e., processing facility/first importer) not in the country/area of origin

0

(8.8.1.5) % of sourced volume from unknown origin

0

(8.8.1.6) % of sourced volume reported

100.00

[Fixed row]

(8.9) Provide details of your organization's assessment of the deforestation-free (DF) or deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF) status of its disclosed commodities.

Rubber

(8.9.1) DF/DCF status assessed for this commodity

Select from:

- No, but we plan to do so within the next two years

(8.9.6) Is a proportion of your disclosure volume certified through a scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance?

Select from:

- No

(8.9.7) Primary reason for not assessing DF/DCF status

Select from:

- No standardized procedure

(8.9.8) Explain why you have not assessed DF/DCF status

Goodyear's supply chain is complex, and the company is exploring processes and technologies to enhance supply chain transparency, tracking materials along development paths from agricultural production to storage, distribution, processing, manufacturing, and more. In 2024, Goodyear continued to onboard rubber factories into Rubberway. Currently, 61 percent of Goodyear's natural rubber volume is part of that system. Goodyear will continue to engage new suppliers and technologies; assess further capabilities related to transparency; and continue to look at ways to prevent materials from deforested lands from entering its supply chain. In 2025, Goodyear plans to continue assessing and looking at risks, with long-term sustainability goals guiding its work in this space. Goodyear plans to continue investing in supply chain transparency and establishing goals and targets in 2025. Additionally, the company plans to continue its work with GPSNR and to refine and enhance its strategies as needed. Goodyear is aware of the EU Deforestation Regulation (EUDR) and continues to prepare for its implementation. It is Goodyear's policy to comply with all applicable laws, including EUDR.

[Fixed row]

(8.10) Indicate whether you have monitored or estimated the deforestation and conversion of other natural ecosystems footprint for your disclosed commodities.

	Monitoring or estimating your deforestation and conversion footprint
Rubber	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(8.10.1) Provide details on the monitoring or estimating of your deforestation and conversion footprint.

Rubber

(8.10.1.1) Monitoring and estimating your deforestation and conversion footprint

Select from:

We estimate the deforestation and conversion footprint based on sourcing area

(8.10.1.2) % of disclosure volume monitored or estimated

61

(8.10.1.3) Reporting of deforestation and conversion footprint

Select all that apply

Since a specified cutoff date

(8.10.1.4) Year of cutoff date

2019

(8.10.1.6) Known or estimated deforestation and conversion footprint since the specified cutoff date (hectares)

392905

(8.10.1.9) Describe the methods and data sources used to monitor or estimate your deforestation and conversion footprint

Based on an estimated yield of 1 metric ton of natural rubber per hectare, then Goodyear's 2024 sourced volume of 644,107 metric tons corresponds to 392,905 hectares assessed. Currently, 61% of Goodyear's natural rubber volume is part of RubberWay.

[Add row]

(8.11) For volumes not assessed and determined as deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF), indicate if you have taken actions in the reporting year to increase production or sourcing of DCF volumes.

	Actions taken to increase production or sourcing of DCF volumes
Rubber	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(8.11.1) Provide details of actions taken in the reporting year to assess and increase production/sourcing of deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF) volumes.

Rubber

(8.11.1.1) Action type

Select from:

Increasing traceability

(8.11.1.2) % of disclosure volume that is covered by this action

(8.11.1.3) Indicate whether you had any major barriers or challenges related to this action in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes

(8.11.1.4) Main measures identified to manage or resolve the challenges

Select all that apply

Greater stakeholder engagement and collaboration

(8.11.1.5) Provide further details on the actions taken, their contribution to achieving DCF status, and any related barriers or challenges

Sustainable Sourcing represents Goodyear's approach to responsibly managing the materials it uses for its operations and products. Goodyear proactively seeks to understand its supply chain risks and address them to support a healthy ecosystem. That includes its efforts to source sustainable natural rubber. Goodyear does not own any rubber tree plantations, but it has taken actions as a purchaser of natural rubber. Goodyear is a founding member of the Global Platform for Sustainable Natural Rubber (GPSNR), launched in 2018. In October 2021, Goodyear released an enhanced version of its Natural Rubber Procurement Policy to align with the GPSNR Policy Framework. One of the aims of this policy is to promote practices that lead to the ability to trace natural rubber through the entire supply chain. Goodyear's current policy for natural rubber procurement is a commitment across all aspects of sustainability, including support and protection of rights of workers and supporting the livelihoods of smallholders. Goodyear supports the objectives of EUDR and counts on its effective implementation, including market surveillance by the competent national authorities, for the achievement of the regulations' objectives in a manner that also sustains the competitiveness of the EU tire industry. To maintain transparency and compliance, Goodyear requires its natural rubber suppliers to meet strict sourcing and documentation requirements, including:

- Farm Mapping & Land Traceability: Suppliers must map the specific plots of land where natural rubber is sourced, in line with EUDR regulations and Goodyear's specifications.*
- Deforestation Risk Assessment: The collected geocoordinates are analyzed against satellite imagery to verify that the farms are deforestation-free, with compliance assessed against the EUDR cut-off date of December 31, 2020.*
- Legality Risk Assessment: Suppliers conduct a legality risk questionnaire to ensure the legal sourcing of natural rubber. Farms that fail to meet deforestation-free criteria are automatically excluded from Goodyear's EUDR-compliant supply chain.*
- Shipment Documentation & Reporting: For every shipment of EUDR-compliant natural rubber, suppliers must provide documentation confirming traceability and risk assessment data to ensure compliance verification.*

Rubber

(8.11.1.1) Action type

Select from:

Increasing supplier control systems

(8.11.1.2) % of disclosure volume that is covered by this action

16

(8.11.1.3) Indicate whether you had any major barriers or challenges related to this action in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes

(8.11.1.4) Main measures identified to manage or resolve the challenges

Select all that apply

Greater stakeholder engagement and collaboration

(8.11.1.5) Provide further details on the actions taken, their contribution to achieving DCF status, and any related barriers or challenges

Sustainable Sourcing represents Goodyear's approach to responsibly managing the materials it uses for its operations and products. Goodyear proactively seeks to understand its supply chain risks and address them to support a healthy ecosystem. That includes its efforts to source sustainable natural rubber. Goodyear does not own any rubber tree plantations, but it has taken actions as a purchaser of natural rubber. Goodyear is a founding member of the Global Platform for Sustainable Natural Rubber (GPSNR), launched in 2018. In October 2021, Goodyear released an enhanced version of its Natural Rubber Procurement Policy to align with the GPSNR Policy Framework. One of the aims of this policy is to promote practices that lead to the ability to trace natural rubber through the entire supply chain. Goodyear's current policy for natural rubber procurement is a commitment across all aspects of sustainability, including support and protection of rights of workers and supporting the livelihoods of smallholders. Goodyear supports the objectives of EUDR and counts on its effective implementation, including market surveillance by the competent national authorities, for the achievement of the regulations' objectives in a manner that also sustains the competitiveness of the EU tire industry. To maintain transparency and compliance, Goodyear requires its natural rubber suppliers to meet strict sourcing and documentation requirements, including:

- Farm Mapping & Land Traceability: Suppliers must map the specific plots of land where natural rubber is sourced, in line with EUDR regulations and Goodyear's specifications.*
- Deforestation Risk Assessment: The collected geocoordinates are analyzed against satellite imagery to verify that the farms are deforestation-free, with compliance assessed against the EUDR cut-off date of December 31, 2020.*
- Legality Risk Assessment: Suppliers conduct a legality risk questionnaire to ensure the legal sourcing of natural rubber. Farms that fail to meet deforestation-free criteria are automatically excluded from Goodyear's EUDR-compliant supply chain.*
- Shipment Documentation & Reporting: For every shipment of EUDR-compliant natural rubber, suppliers must provide documentation confirming traceability and risk assessment data to ensure compliance verification.*

Rubber

(8.11.1.1) Action type

Select from:

- Increasing sourcing area level monitoring

(8.11.1.2) % of disclosure volume that is covered by this action

16

(8.11.1.3) Indicate whether you had any major barriers or challenges related to this action in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes

(8.11.1.4) Main measures identified to manage or resolve the challenges

Select all that apply

- Greater stakeholder engagement and collaboration

(8.11.1.5) Provide further details on the actions taken, their contribution to achieving DCF status, and any related barriers or challenges

Sustainable Sourcing represents Goodyear's approach to responsibly managing the materials it uses for its operations and products. Goodyear proactively seeks to understand its supply chain risks and address them to support a healthy ecosystem. That includes its efforts to source sustainable natural rubber. Goodyear does not own any rubber tree plantations, but it has taken actions as a purchaser of natural rubber. Goodyear is a founding member of the Global Platform for Sustainable Natural Rubber (GPSNR), launched in 2018. In October 2021, Goodyear released an enhanced version of its Natural Rubber Procurement Policy to align with the GPSNR Policy Framework. One of the aims of this policy is to promote practices that lead to the ability to trace natural rubber through the entire supply chain. Goodyear's current policy for natural rubber procurement is a commitment across all aspects of sustainability, including support and protection of rights of workers and supporting the livelihoods of smallholders. Goodyear supports the objectives of EUDR and counts on its effective implementation, including market surveillance by the competent national authorities, for the achievement of the regulations' objectives in a manner that also sustains the competitiveness of the EU tire industry. To maintain transparency and compliance, Goodyear requires its natural rubber suppliers to meet strict sourcing and documentation requirements, including:

- Farm Mapping & Land Traceability: Suppliers must map the specific plots of land where natural rubber is sourced, in line with EUDR regulations and Goodyear's specifications.*
- Deforestation Risk Assessment: The collected geocoordinates are analyzed against satellite imagery to verify that the farms are deforestation-free, with compliance assessed against the EUDR cut-off date of December 31, 2020.*
- Legality Risk Assessment: Suppliers conduct a legality risk questionnaire to ensure the legal sourcing of natural rubber. Farms that fail to meet deforestation-free criteria are automatically excluded from Goodyear's EUDR-compliant supply chain.*
- Shipment Documentation & Reporting: For every shipment of EUDR-compliant natural rubber, suppliers must provide documentation confirming traceability and risk assessment data to ensure compliance verification.*

[Add row]

(8.12) Indicate if certification details are available for the commodity volumes sold to requesting CDP Supply Chain members.

Rubber

(8.12.1) Third-party certification scheme adopted

Select from:

No, but we plan to adopt third-party certification within the next two years

(8.12.5) Primary reason that third-party certification has not been adopted

Select from:

Other, please specify :Limited availability of certified processed rubber in market

(8.12.6) Explain why third-party certification has not been adopted

More than 80 percent of the world's natural rubber comes from smallholders around the world. Most certification schemes have struggled to implement a successful certification process that can be scaled to certify 6 million smallholders. Goodyear plans to continue to investigate multiple ways to enable due diligence and verification to minimize and mitigate risks of deforestation or other risks in the natural rubber supply chain.

[Fixed row]

(8.13) Does your organization calculate the GHG emission reductions and/or removals from land use management and land use change that have occurred in your direct operations and/or upstream value chain?

Rubber

(8.13.1) GHG emissions reductions and removals from land use management and land use change calculated

Select from:

No, but plan to do so in the next two years

(8.13.2) Primary reason your organization does not calculate GHG emissions reductions and removals from land use management and land use change

Select from:

- No standardized procedure

(8.13.3) Explain why your organization does not calculate GHG emissions reductions and removals from land use management and land use change

Land based emissions are currently accounted for in Goodyear's Scope 3, Category 1 Purchased Goods and Services, and currently within the boundary of its SBTi validated near-term and net zero science-based targets. However, this accounting is not currently aligned with the GHG Protocol Land Sector and Removals draft guidance. Goodyear plans to account for its land-based emissions, including land management and land use change parameters aligned to the GHG Protocol Land Sector and Removals draft guidance, within the next two years. Goodyear has also reviewed the Science-Based Targets Initiative's guidance for setting Forest, Land, and Agriculture (FLAG) targets. While Goodyear has estimated that its FLAG emissions are below 20 percent of its total GHG emission footprint, a more complete FLAG inventory will inform whether or not Goodyear needs to set a FLAG target to accompany its existing SBTi-validated near-term and net-zero SBT.
[Fixed row]

(8.14) Indicate if you assess your own compliance and/or the compliance of your suppliers with forest regulations and/or mandatory standards, and provide details.

(8.14.1) Assess legal compliance with forest regulations

Select from:

- Yes, from suppliers

(8.14.2) Aspects of legislation considered

Select all that apply

- Labor rights
- Land use rights
- Third parties' rights
- Environmental protection
- Human rights protected under international law

- The principle of free, prior and informed consent (FPIC), including as set out in the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples

(8.14.3) Procedure to ensure legal compliance

Select all that apply

- First party audits
- Supplier self-declaration

(8.14.4) Indicate if you collect data regarding compliance with the Brazilian Forest Code

Select from:

- No, and we do not plan to collect data on this indicator within the next two years

(8.14.5) Please explain

Goodyear maintains a Natural Rubber Procurement Policy, which aligns with the Global Platform for Sustainable Natural Rubber's (GPSNR) Policy Framework. This alignment signals Goodyear's strong natural rubber supply chain commitments across all aspects of sustainability. Through its Natural Rubber Procurement Policy, Goodyear outlines its commitment to completing projects that improve livelihoods or yields, and to encourage even broader efforts to improve living conditions in the communities that support its supply chain. Goodyear's current policy for natural rubber procurement builds on its Supplier Code of Conduct by addressing a broad range of sustainability concerns, including protecting the rights of workers and promoting responsible land acquisition and use.

[Fixed row]

(8.15) Do you engage in landscape (including jurisdictional) initiatives to progress shared sustainable land use goals?

(8.15.1) Engagement in landscape/jurisdictional initiatives

Select from:

- No, we do not engage in landscape/jurisdictional initiatives, but we plan to in the next two years

(8.15.2) Primary reason for not engaging in landscape/jurisdictional initiatives

Select from:

- Other, please specify :See 8.15.3

(8.15.3) Explain why your organization does not engage in landscape/jurisdictional initiatives

Goodyear's supply chain is complex, and the company is exploring processes and technologies to enhance supply chain transparency, tracking materials along development paths from agricultural production to storage, distribution, processing, manufacturing, and more. In 2024, Goodyear continued to onboard rubber factories into Rubberway. Currently, 61 percent of Goodyear's natural rubber volume is part of that system. Goodyear will continue to engage new suppliers and technologies; assess further capabilities related to transparency; and continue to look at ways to prevent materials from deforested lands from entering its supply chain. In 2025, Goodyear plans to continue assessing and looking at risks, with long-term sustainability goals guiding its work in this space. Goodyear plans to continue investing in supply chain transparency and establishing goals and targets in 2025. Additionally, the company plans to continue its work with GPSNR and to refine and enhance its strategies as needed. Goodyear is aware of the EU Deforestation Regulation (EUDR) and continues to prepare for its implementation. It is Goodyear's policy to comply with all applicable laws, including EUDR.

[Fixed row]

(8.16) Do you participate in any other external activities to support the implementation of policies and commitments related to deforestation, ecosystem conversion, or human rights issues in commodity value chains?

Select from:

Yes

(8.16.1) Provide details of the external activities to support the implementation of your policies and commitments related to deforestation, ecosystem conversion, or human rights issues in commodity value chains

Row 1

(8.16.1.1) Commodity

Select all that apply

Rubber

(8.16.1.2) Activities

Select all that apply

Involved in industry platforms

(8.16.1.3) Country/area

Select from:

Worldwide

(8.16.1.4) Subnational area

Select from:

Not applicable

(8.16.1.5) Provide further details of the activity

Through the Global Platform for Sustainable Natural Rubber (GPSNR), Goodyear works with others, including automakers, rubber producers and other end users, to move the natural rubber supply chain toward being more sustainable. In addition to being a GPSNR founding member, Goodyear continues to be an active member. Goodyear continues to prioritize direct engagement within GPSNR working groups, or indirect engagement as appropriate. Goodyear maintains a role on the Executive Committee representing the tiremaker category. In 2024, Goodyear collaborated with General Motors to fund a GPSNR capacity building project led by Koltiva, aimed at reducing deforestation risks and promoting Good Agricultural Practices among smallholder farmers. With this funding, Goodyear maintained its status as a GPSNR Gold Donor for 2024.

[Add row]

(8.17) Is your organization supporting or implementing project(s) focused on ecosystem restoration and long-term protection?

Select from:

No, but we plan to implement a project(s) within the next two years

C9. Environmental performance - Water security

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:

Yes

(9.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions.

Row 1

(9.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

Facilities

(9.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

Non-manufacturing, retail stores and Goodyear Commercial Tire and Service Network retread operations. At this time, data are not readily available from non-manufacturing sources, such as warehouses and retail operations.

(9.1.1.3) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

Data is not available

(9.1.1.4) Primary reason why data is not available

Select from:

Challenges associated with data collection and/or quality

(9.1.1.7) Percentage of water volume the exclusion represents

Select from:

1-5%

(9.1.1.8) Please explain

Non-manufacturing water volumes are estimated to account for less than 5 percent of Goodyear's overall water volume.

[Add row]

(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Facilities report on water withdrawals based on invoices or onsite metering.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Goodyear monitors total water withdrawals on a per month basis at each manufacturing facility. The data are collected by the Global Sustainability team and used as a part of the Global Water Conservation plan. In 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance Goodyear's existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy.

Water withdrawals – volumes by source

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Facilities report on water withdrawals based on invoices or onsite metering.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Goodyear monitors water withdrawals by source for surface and well water, and city/municipal water at each manufacturing facility. The data are collected by the Global Sustainability team and used as a part of the Global Water Conservation plan. In 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance Goodyear's existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy.

Water withdrawals quality

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Continuously

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Each facility has water quality requirements for process water. Testing is conducted by each facility to meet production specifications.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Individual manufacturing facilities monitor water withdrawal quality when needed. Each facility can use this information for local permitting and regulatory compliance. The 2024 water withdraw data was consolidated at the global level using metered and estimated data from the manufacturing facilities. In 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance Goodyear's existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy.

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Facilities report on water discharges using onsite metering or estimated data.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Individual manufacturing facilities monitor water discharges by volume. Each facility can use this information for local permitting and regulatory compliance. The 2024 water discharge data was consolidated at the global level using estimated and metered data from the manufacturing facilities. In 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance Goodyear's existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy.

Water discharges – volumes by destination

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Facilities report on water discharges using onsite metering or estimated data.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Individual manufacturing facilities monitor water discharges by volume. Each facility can use this information for local permitting and regulatory compliance. The 2024 water discharge data was consolidated at the global level using estimated and metered data from the manufacturing facilities. In 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance Goodyear's existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy.

Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Facilities report on water discharges using onsite metering or estimated data.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Individual manufacturing facilities monitor water discharges by volume. Each facility can use this information for local permitting and regulatory compliance. The 2024 water discharge data was consolidated at the global level using estimated and metered data from the manufacturing facilities. In 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance Goodyear's existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy.

Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water quality requirements for discharge water vary by location. Each facility monitors water quality, and testing is conducted by each plant to meet local specifications.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Individual manufacturing facilities may monitor water discharge quality data where it is relevant to do so. Each facility can use this information for local permitting and regulatory compliance. Currently, Goodyear does not compile this information at the global level. In 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance Goodyear's existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy.

Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water quality requirements for discharge water vary by location. Each facility monitors water quality, and testing is conducted by each plant to meet local specifications.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Individual manufacturing facilities may monitor water discharge quality data where it is relevant to do so. Each facility can use this information for local permitting and regulatory compliance. Currently, Goodyear does not compile this information at the global level. In 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance Goodyear's existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy.

Water discharge quality – temperature

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water quality requirements for discharge water vary by location. Each facility monitors water quality, and testing is conducted by each plant to meet local specifications.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Individual manufacturing facilities may monitor water discharge quality data where it is relevant to do so. Each facility can use this information for local permitting and regulatory compliance. Currently, Goodyear does not compile this information at the global level. In 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance Goodyear's existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water is not used in the final product, and any consumption would be related to evaporation from processes and use in cafeterias, etc. Consumption is calculated based on water withdrawal and discharge balance.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Individual manufacturing facilities can calculate the water consumed from process water, cooling, etc., based off withdrawals and discharges. However, water used in the manufacturing process is not consumed in the manufactured products, so any water not discharged from a facility is lost to evaporation from steam and cooling. The 2024 water discharge data was consolidated at the global level using metered and estimated data from the manufacturing facilities. In 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance Goodyear's existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy.

Water recycled/reused

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Facilities report on water recycled/reused using onsite metering or estimated data.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Individual manufacturing facilities may monitor water recycled/reused data where it is relevant to do so. Each facility can use this information for local permitting and regulatory compliance. Currently, Goodyear does not compile this information at the global level. In 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance Goodyear's existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy.

The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Goodyear provides water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) services at all manufacturing facilities worldwide. The monitoring of WASH services is included in the total water withdrawal volumes at each facility. WASH services are not monitored individually because the total withdrawals are not considered significant.

(9.2.4) Please explain

*Goodyear provides water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) services at all manufacturing facilities worldwide. The monitoring of WASH services is included in the total water withdrawal volumes at each facility. WASH services are not monitored individually because the total withdrawals are not considered significant.
[Fixed row]*

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

Total withdrawals

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

25081

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

- Facility closure

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

To compare with the previous reporting year, results are categorized as much higher or lower ($\pm 16\%$ or more), higher or lower ($\pm 6-15\%$), and about the same (within $\pm 5\%$). Goodyear is working to optimize its manufacturing footprint and anticipates the sale or closure of one or more manufacturing facilities over the next five years. This will consolidate Goodyear's manufacturing footprint into a smaller global footprint which will lower Goodyear's total water consumption. Additionally, Goodyear manufacturing facilities continue to identify and complete water projects aimed at improving water efficiency.

Total discharges

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

17074

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

- Lower

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

- Change in accounting methodology

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

- Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

- Facility closure

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

To compare with the previous reporting year, results are categorized as much higher or lower ($\pm 16\%$ or more), higher or lower ($\pm 6\text{--}15\%$), and about the same (within $\pm 5\%$). Goodyear is working to optimize its manufacturing footprint and anticipates the sale or closure of one or more manufacturing facilities over the next five years. This will consolidate Goodyear's manufacturing footprint into a smaller global footprint which will lower Goodyear's total water consumption. Additionally, Goodyear manufacturing facilities continue to identify and complete water projects aimed at improving water efficiency. Improvements in data collection methodology, due to an internal global water survey, impacted the comparison to the previous year value, strengthening discharge and consumption data.

Total consumption

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

8007

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

- Much higher

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

- Change in accounting methodology

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

- Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

- Facility closure

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

To compare with the previous reporting year, results are categorized as much higher or lower ($\pm 16\%$ or more), higher or lower ($\pm 6-15\%$), and about the same (within $\pm 5\%$). Goodyear is working to optimize its manufacturing footprint and anticipates the sale or closure of one or more manufacturing facilities over the next five years. This will consolidate Goodyear's manufacturing footprint into a smaller global footprint which will lower Goodyear's total water consumption. Improvements in data collection methodology, due to an internal global water survey, impacted the comparison to the previous year value, strengthening discharge and consumption data. [Fixed row]

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.

(9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress

Select from:

- Yes

(9.2.4.2) Volume withdrawn from areas with water stress (megaliters)

2137

(9.2.4.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

- Much lower

(9.2.4.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

- Change in accounting methodology

(9.2.4.5) Five-year forecast

Select from:

Lower

(9.2.4.6) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

Facility closure

(9.2.4.7) % of total withdrawals that are withdrawn from areas with water stress

8.52

(9.2.4.8) Identification tool

Select all that apply

WRI Aqueduct

(9.2.4.9) Please explain

To compare with the previous reporting year, results are categorized as much higher or lower ($\pm 16\%$ or more), higher or lower ($\pm 6\text{--}15\%$), and about the same (within $\pm 5\%$). Goodyear uses water primarily for steam generation, cooling, and sanitary purposes. Goodyear operates in areas where water stress can be high, so it strives to continuously reduce water use. To understand the full breadth of its water footprint, Goodyear tracks water withdrawal, water discharged and water consumption data at 51 facilities and uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to assess water stress annually, down to the basin level at every location. In 2025, Goodyear revised its internal water stress threshold to align with the WRI standard scores of 3–5 (Medium-High to Extremely High), enhancing the clarity and comparability of its water risk management strategy. Goodyear company includes the WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies. Goodyear has 11 facilities in areas exposed to water risks, and those facilities are some of Goodyear's most efficient in terms of total water use. Goodyear aims to maintain strong performance at its most efficient facilities while improving water efficiency at its highest-intensity and water-stressed locations. Facilities in high-stress locations and the largest water users in each region evaluate their reduction opportunities and implement best practices for continued water savings. Goodyear's goal is to reduce water consumption by 30 percent by 2030 from a 2020 baseline. By the end of 2024, the company had already achieved a 15.3 percent reduction since 2020. By assessing water stress by location, Goodyear can prioritize water-saving initiatives. These methods were selected because they provide specific information about river basin stresses and scarcity, along with risks associated with specific manufacturing processes. Internal company knowledge consists of subject matter experts in the areas of environmental compliance, energy and water conservation, government affairs, and business continuity. The operational scope of the risk assessment includes all manufacturing facilities at Goodyear.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

9305

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

To compare with the previous reporting year, results are categorized as much higher or lower ($\pm 16\%$ or more), higher or lower ($\pm 6\text{--}15\%$), and about the same (within $\pm 5\%$). Goodyear reports on fresh surface water and groundwater at the global level. The total has been divided between the two entries. Individual facilities can separate between fresh surface water and groundwater. Water withdrawals were about the same in 2024. In 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance Goodyear's existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy. Goodyear's goal is to reduce water consumption by 30 percent by 2030 from a 2020 baseline. By the end of 2024, the company had already achieved a 15.3 percent reduction since 2020.

Brackish surface water/Seawater

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Goodyear does not expect any future, anticipated trends associated with brackish surface water/seawater because the company does not expect to withdraw water from this source.

Groundwater – renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

9305

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

To compare with the previous reporting year, results are categorized as much higher or lower ($\pm 16\%$ or more), higher or lower ($\pm 6-15\%$), and about the same (within $\pm 5\%$). Goodyear reports on fresh surface water and groundwater at the global level. The total has been divided between the two entries. Individual facilities can

separate between fresh surface water and groundwater. Water withdrawals were about the same in 2024. In 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance Goodyear's existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water strategy. Goodyear's goal is to reduce water consumption by 30 percent by 2030 from a 2020 baseline. By the end of 2024, the company had already achieved a 15.3 percent reduction since 2020.

Groundwater – non-renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Goodyear does not expect any future, anticipated trends associated with produced water because the company does not expect to withdraw water from this source.

Produced/Entrained water

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Goodyear does not expect any future anticipated trends associated with produced water because the company does not expect to withdraw water from this source.

Third party sources

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

 About the same**(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year**

Select from:

 Increase/decrease in efficiency**(9.2.7.5) Please explain**

To compare with the previous reporting year, results are categorized as much higher or lower ($\pm 16\%$ or more), higher or lower ($\pm 6\text{--}15\%$), and about the same (within $\pm 5\%$). Water withdrawals were lower in 2024. In 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance Goodyear's existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy. Goodyear's goal is to reduce water consumption by 30 percent by 2030 from a 2020 baseline. By the end of 2024, the company had already achieved a 15.3 percent reduction since 2020.

*[Fixed row]***(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.****Fresh surface water****(9.2.8.1) Relevance**

Select from:

 Relevant**(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)**

12687

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Change in accounting methodology

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

To compare with the previous reporting year, results are categorized as much higher or lower ($\pm 16\%$ or more), higher or lower ($\pm 6-15\%$), and about the same (within $\pm 5\%$). Goodyear discharges water to fresh surface water where it is permitted to do so. Water discharges remained about the same in 2024. In 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance Goodyear's existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy. Goodyear's goal is to reduce water consumption by 30 percent by 2030 from a 2020 baseline. By the end of 2024, the company had already achieved a 15.3 percent reduction since 2020.

Brackish surface water/seawater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

Goodyear does not discharge to brackish surface water or seawater. Goodyear does not expect any future, anticipated trends associated with brackish surface water/seawater because it does not expect to discharge water to this source.

Groundwater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

900

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much lower

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Change in accounting methodology

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

To compare with the previous reporting year, results are categorized as much higher or lower ($\pm 16\%$ or more), higher or lower ($\pm 6\text{--}15\%$), and about the same (within $\pm 5\%$). Goodyear discharges water to groundwater where it is permitted to do so. Due to an accounting methodology change, water discharges to groundwater were much lower in 2024. In 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance Goodyear's existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy. Goodyear's goal is to reduce water consumption by 30 percent by 2030 from a 2020 baseline. By the end of 2024, the company had already achieved a 15.3 percent reduction since 2020.

Third-party destinations

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

3487

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much lower

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Change in accounting methodology

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

To compare with the previous reporting year, results are categorized as much higher or lower ($\pm 16\%$ or more), higher or lower ($\pm 6\text{--}15\%$), and about the same (within $\pm 5\%$). Goodyear discharges water to third-party sources where it is permitted to do so. Due to an accounting methodology change, water discharges to third party destinations were much lower in 2024. In 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance Goodyear's existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy. Goodyear's goal is to reduce water consumption by 30 percent by 2030 from a 2020 baseline. By the end of 2024, the company had consumption by 30 percent by 2030 from a 2020 baseline. By the end of 2024, the company had already achieved a 15.3 percent reduction since 2020.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.9) Within your direct operations, indicate the highest level(s) to which you treat your discharge.

Tertiary treatment

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

Relevant but volume unknown

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

To compare with the previous reporting year, results are categorized as much higher or lower ($\pm 16\%$ or more), higher or lower ($\pm 6\text{--}15\%$), and about the same (within $\pm 5\%$). Goodyear treats water discharges at its facilities according to permits to operate. Each facility has its own requirements for discharge treatment, but primary treatment is the baseline level of treatment occurring at all facilities where treatment is required. Depending on location-specific requirements, additional treatment may be conducted. In 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance Goodyear's existing

global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water strategy.

Secondary treatment

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

Relevant but volume unknown

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

To compare with the previous reporting year, results are categorized as much higher or lower ($\pm 16\%$ or more), higher or lower ($\pm 6-15\%$), and about the same (within $\pm 5\%$). Goodyear treats water discharges at its facilities according to permits to operate. Each facility has its own requirements for discharge treatment, but primary treatment is the baseline level of treatment occurring at all facilities where treatment is required. Depending on location-specific requirements, additional treatment may be conducted. In 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance Goodyear's existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy.

Primary treatment only

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

Relevant

(9.2.9.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

9454

(9.2.9.3) Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much higher

(9.2.9.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.9.5) % of your sites/facilities/operations this volume applies to

Select from:

100%

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

To compare with the previous reporting year, results are categorized as much higher or lower ($\pm 16\%$ or more), higher or lower ($\pm 6-15\%$), and about the same (within $\pm 5\%$). Goodyear treats water discharges at its facilities according to permits to operate. Each facility has its own requirements for discharge treatment, but primary treatment is the baseline level of treatment occurring at all facilities where treatment is required. Depending on location-specific requirements, additional treatment may be conducted. In 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance Goodyear's existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy.

Discharge to the natural environment without treatment

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

Relevant but volume unknown

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

To compare with the previous reporting year, results are categorized as much higher or lower ($\pm 16\%$ or more), higher or lower ($\pm 6-15\%$), and about the same (within $\pm 5\%$). Goodyear treats water discharges at its facilities according to permits to operate. Each facility has its own requirements for discharge treatment. Depending on location-specific requirements, additional treatment may be conducted. In 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance Goodyear's existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy.

Discharge to a third party without treatment

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

Relevant but volume unknown

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

To compare with the previous reporting year, results are categorized as much higher or lower ($\pm 16\%$ or more), higher or lower ($\pm 6\text{--}15\%$), and about the same (within $\pm 5\%$). Goodyear treats water discharges at its facilities according to permits to operate. Each facility has its own requirements for discharge treatment. Depending on location-specific requirements, additional treatment may be conducted. In 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance Goodyear's existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy.

Other

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

n/a

[Fixed row]

(9.2.10) Provide details of your organization's emissions of nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and other priority substances to water in the reporting year.

(9.2.10.1) Emissions to water in the reporting year (metric tons)

0

(9.2.10.2) Categories of substances included

Select all that apply

Priority substances listed under the EU Water Framework Directive

(9.2.10.3) List the specific substances included

Examples of substances tested are: AOX, Arsenic, Zinc, Chrome, Cadmium, Copper, Lead, Nickel, Vanadium, Hydrazine, and Free chlorine

(9.2.10.4) Please explain

Goodyear monitors its water use, sets company-wide and facility-specific goals to reduce its operational impacts and continues to comply with all applicable laws and regulations. All Goodyear facilities in its Europe, Middle East and Africa (EMEA) region are required to conduct regular water analysis (e.g., zinc, lead etc.). The frequency of the measurements and which parameters must be sampled depends on the authorization and specifications of the authority and in reference to the EU Regulation. In 2024, there were no compliance issues related to this regulation. Goodyear does not currently aggregate the global data collection of this information. Goodyear is committed to understanding the potential impacts, dependencies, risks and opportunities its value chain may have on nature and its natural resources, including forests, land, air and water. Goodyear's Global Sustainability team created a Nature and Biodiversity working group, reporting through the Better Future Steering Committee, that will leverage this information to inform the company's full nature impact assessment that uses the Taskforce on Nature-related Financial Disclosures' (TNFD) LEAP approach. This impact assessment will identify Goodyear's nature-related impacts and dependencies as well as areas of risks and opportunities within its operations and supply chain. Goodyear plans to use the assessment results to ensure it has the proper ongoing management structure for these topics.

[Fixed row]

(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Direct operations

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

Yes, we have assessed this value chain stage and identified facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.2) Total number of facilities identified

11

(9.3.3) % of facilities in direct operations that this represents

Select from:

1-25

(9.3.4) Please explain

Goodyear uses water primarily for steam generation, cooling, and sanitary purposes. Goodyear does operate in areas where water stress can be high, so it strives to continuously reduce its water use. To understand the full breadth of its water footprint, Goodyear tracks water withdrawal, water discharged and water consumption data at 51 of its facilities and uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to annually assess water stress, down to the basin level at every location. Goodyear has 11 facilities in areas exposed to water risks, but those facilities are some of Goodyear's most efficient in terms of water use. Goodyear includes the WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies.

Upstream value chain

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

No, we have not assessed this value chain stage for facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities, and are not planning to do so in the next 2 years

(9.3.4) Please explain

In 2024, Goodyear formed an internal Nature and Biodiversity working group and began assessing its impact on nature using the Taskforce on Nature-related Financial Disclosures' (TNFD) LEAP approach. Using tools including ENCORE, Science Based Targets for Nature's (SBTN) materiality assessment, and World Wildlife Fund's (WWF) Biodiversity Risk Filter tool, the company determined that its initial scope would include the upstream (natural rubber processors only) and direct operations value chain stages. By the end of 2024, Goodyear completed the first two phases of LEAP (Locate and Evaluate) to identify priority locations based on location sensitivity and environmental performance. Location sensitivity was assessed using indicators such as proximity to ecologically sensitive areas, species sensitivity, ecosystem integrity, and WRI water risk scores. The Integrated Biodiversity Assessment Tool (IBAT) played a key role in this evaluation. By mid-2025, Goodyear completed the Assess phase by integrating the results from Locate and Evaluate into its existing climate risks and opportunities process, expanding it into a broader Environmental Impact, Risk, and Opportunity (IRO) Assessment. This integrated approach captured additional impacts and dependencies identified during the nature and biodiversity assessment. The IRO process identified priority risks and opportunities (disclosed in sections 3.1.1 and 3.6.1) and their interconnections with other topics such as Climate and Water. These insights will inform goal-setting and establish the appropriate ongoing management structure for these topics. Further details on this process are available in row 3 of section 2.2.2. In late-2025, the Nature and Biodiversity working group will use the IRO results to inform the Prepare phase of LEAP and further refine Goodyear's nature & biodiversity impact assessment process.

[Fixed row]

(9.3.1) For each facility referenced in 9.3, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year.

Row 1

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 1

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

GRT

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

Risks

Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

Other, please specify :Dagu

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

36.69347

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

119.6504

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

37

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

19

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

10

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

6

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much lower

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

6

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

31

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much higher

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

To understand the full breadth of Goodyear's water footprint, Goodyear uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to annually assess water stress, down to the basin level at every location. As a result of this assessment, Goodyear's GRT facility is located near a water basin that was rated "high" or "extremely high". Goodyear includes these WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies. While water is not consumed in Goodyear's products, water shortages in the communities where Goodyear operates could lead to operational issues if enough water is not available for steam generation and cooling. To compare with the previous reporting year, results are categorized as much higher or lower ($\pm 16\%$ or more), higher or lower ($\pm 6-15\%$), and about the same (within $\pm 5\%$).

Row 2

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 2

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

El Salto

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

- Impacts
- Risks
- Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

- Other, please specify :Rio Lerma

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

20.4887

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-103.233628

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

- Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

128

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

64

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

64

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

46

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much higher

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

46

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

82

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much lower

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

To understand the full breadth of Goodyear's water footprint, Goodyear uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to annually assess water stress, down to the basin level at every location. As a result of this assessment, Goodyear's El Salto facility is located near a water basin that was rated "high" or "extremely high". Goodyear includes these WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies. While water is not consumed in Goodyear's products, water shortages in the communities where Goodyear operates could lead to operational issues if enough water is not available for steam generation and cooling. To compare with the previous reporting year, results are categorized as much higher or lower ($\pm 16\%$ or more), higher or lower ($\pm 6-15\%$), and about the same (within $\pm 5\%$).

Row 3

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 3

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Aurangabad

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

Risks

Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

India

Godavari

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

19.876165

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

75.343314

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

128

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

128

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

96

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much higher

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

96

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

 Much lower**(9.3.1.29) Please explain**

To understand the full breadth of Goodyear's water footprint, Goodyear uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to annually assess water stress, down to the basin level at every location. As a result of this assessment, Goodyear's Aurangabad facility is located near a water basin that was rated "high" or "extremely high". Goodyear includes these WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies. While water is not consumed in Goodyear's products, water shortages in the communities where Goodyear operates could lead to operational issues if enough water is not available for steam generation and cooling. To compare with the previous reporting year, results are categorized as much higher or lower ($\pm 16\%$ or more), higher or lower ($\pm 6-15\%$), and about the same (within $\pm 5\%$).

Row 5**(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number**

Select from:

 Facility 5**(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)**

Peru

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

 Direct operations**(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility**

Select all that apply

 Impacts

- Risks
- Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Peru

- Other, please specify :Pacific Ocean

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

-12.04637

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-77.04279

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

- Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

36

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

- About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

18

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

18

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

6

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much lower

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

6

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

31

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much higher

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

To understand the full breadth of Goodyear's water footprint, Goodyear uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to annually assess water stress, down to the basin level at every location. As a result of this assessment, Goodyear's Peru facility is located near a water basin that was rated "high" or "extremely high". Goodyear includes these WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies. While water is not consumed in Goodyear's products, water shortages in the communities where Goodyear operates could lead to operational issues if enough water is not available for steam generation and cooling. To compare with the previous reporting year, results are categorized as much higher or lower ($\pm 16\%$ or more), higher or lower ($\pm 6-15\%$), and about the same (within $\pm 5\%$).

Row 6

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 6

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

CKT

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

Risks

Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

Yangtze River (Chang Jiang)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

11.130306

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

106.345866

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

267

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

54

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year*Select from:* Much lower**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

54

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

213

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year*Select from:* Much higher

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

To understand the full breadth of Goodyear's water footprint, Goodyear uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to annually assess water stress, down to the basin level at every location. As a result of this assessment, Goodyear's CKT facility is located near a water basin that was rated "high" or "extremely high". Goodyear includes these WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies. While water is not consumed in Goodyear's products, water shortages in the communities where Goodyear operates could lead to operational issues if enough water is not available for steam generation and cooling. To compare with the previous reporting year, results are categorized as much higher or lower ($\pm 16\%$ or more), higher or lower ($\pm 6\text{--}15\%$), and about the same (within $\pm 5\%$).

Row 8

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 8

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Pulandian

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

Risks

Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

Other, please specify :Bo Hai Bay

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

39.394349

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

121.963259

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

288

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

288

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

288

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

Higher

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

To understand the full breadth of Goodyear's water footprint, Goodyear uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to annually assess water stress, down to the basin level at every location. As a result of this assessment, Goodyear's Pulandian facility is located near a water basin that was rated "high" or "extremely high". Goodyear includes these WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies. While water is not consumed in Goodyear's products, water shortages in the communities where Goodyear operates could lead to operational issues if enough water is not available for steam generation and cooling. To compare with the previous reporting year, results are categorized as much higher or lower ($\pm 16\%$ or more), higher or lower ($\pm 6-15\%$), and about the same (within $\pm 5\%$).

Row 10

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 10

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Indonesia

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

- Impacts
- Risks
- Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Indonesia

- Other, please specify :Indian River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

-6.597147

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

106.806039

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

- Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

135

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

135

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

11

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

282

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much higher

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

270

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

11

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0.18

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much lower

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

To understand the full breadth of Goodyear's water footprint, Goodyear uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to annually assess water stress, down to the basin level at every location. As a result of this assessment, Goodyear's Indonesia facility is located near a water basin that was rated "high" or "extremely high". Goodyear includes these WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies. While water is not consumed in Goodyear's products, water shortages in the communities where Goodyear operates could lead to operational issues if enough water is not available for steam generation and cooling. To compare with the previous reporting year, results are categorized as much higher or lower ($\pm 16\%$ or more), higher or lower ($\pm 6-15\%$), and about the same (within $\pm 5\%$).

Row 12

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

- Facility 12

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Ballabgarh

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

- Impacts
- Risks
- Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Bangladesh

- Ganges - Brahmaputra

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

28.34216

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

77.325596

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

236

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

107

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

107

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

22

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

177

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much higher

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

177

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year*Select from:* Much lower**(9.3.1.29) Please explain**

To understand the full breadth of Goodyear's water footprint, Goodyear uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to annually assess water stress, down to the basin level at every location. As a result of this assessment, Goodyear's Ballabgarh facility is located near a water basin that was rated "high" or "extremely high". Goodyear includes these WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies. While water is not consumed in Goodyear's products, water shortages in the communities where Goodyear operates could lead to operational issues if enough water is not available for steam generation and cooling. To compare with the previous reporting year, results are categorized as much higher or lower ($\pm 16\%$ or more), higher or lower ($\pm 6-15\%$), and about the same (within $\pm 5\%$).

Row 13**(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number***Select from:* Facility 13**(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)**

SLP

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage*Select from:* Direct operations**(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility***Select all that apply* Impacts

- Risks
- Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

- Other, please specify :Gulf of Mexico

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

22.843

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-100.87794

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

- Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

121

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

- About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

61

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

61

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

121

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

To understand the full breadth of Goodyear's water footprint, Goodyear uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to annually assess water stress, down to the basin level at every location. As a result of this assessment, Goodyear's SLP facility is located near a water basin that was rated "high" or "extremely high". Goodyear includes these WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies. While water is not consumed in Goodyear's products, water shortages in the communities where Goodyear operates could lead to operational issues if enough water is not available for steam generation and cooling. To compare with the previous reporting year, results are categorized as much higher or lower ($\pm 16\%$ or more), higher or lower ($\pm 6-15\%$), and about the same (within $\pm 5\%$).

Row 14

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 14

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Chile

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

Risks

Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Chile

Other, please specify :North Coast

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

-33.53126

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-70.75833

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

416

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

195

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

195

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

416

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year*Select from:* Higher**(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water**

124

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

292

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0.03

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year*Select from:* About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

To understand the full breadth of Goodyear's water footprint, Goodyear uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to annually assess water stress, down to the basin level at every location. As a result of this assessment, Goodyear's Chile facility is located near a water basin that was rated "high" or "extremely high". Goodyear includes these WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies. While water is not consumed in Goodyear's products, water shortages in the communities where Goodyear operates could lead to operational issues if enough water is not available for steam generation and cooling. To compare with the previous reporting year, results are categorized as much higher or lower ($\pm 16\%$ or more), higher or lower ($\pm 6-15\%$), and about the same (within $\pm 5\%$).

Row 15

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

- Facility 15

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

South Africa

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

- Impacts
- Risks
- Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

South Africa

Other, please specify :South Coast

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

-33.78222

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

25.41302

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

199

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

199

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

149

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much higher

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

149

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

50

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much lower

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

To understand the full breadth of Goodyear's water footprint, Goodyear uses the WRI Aqueduct Tool to annually assess water stress, down to the basin level at every location. As a result of this assessment, Goodyear's South Africa facility is located near a water basin that was rated "high" or "extremely high". Goodyear includes these WRI assessment results, where relevant, in new project scopes to understand potential project impacts on local water supplies. While water is not consumed in Goodyear's products, water shortages in the communities where Goodyear operates could lead to operational issues if enough water is not available for steam generation and cooling. To compare with the previous reporting year, results are categorized as much higher or lower ($\pm 16\%$ or more), higher or lower ($\pm 6-15\%$), and about the same (within $\pm 5\%$).

[Add row]

(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Goodyear uses water primarily for steam generation, cooling, and sanitary purposes. By the end of 2024, Goodyear completed the first two phases of LEAP (Locate and Evaluate) to identify priority locations based on location sensitivity and environmental performance. Location sensitivity was assessed using indicators such as proximity to ecologically sensitive areas, species sensitivity, ecosystem integrity, and WRI water risk scores. To determine a location's environmental performance, Goodyear followed TNFD's guidance and developed a tool to aggregate external data from sources like IBAT and WWF and facility-level Goodyear data (e.g., production, waste, emissions, water). Following the evaluation phase, Goodyear identified dependencies on water and habitats for flood and storm protection, and potential impacts related to water use, GHG emissions, solid waste, and pollutants. By mid-2025, Goodyear completed the Assess phase by integrating the results from Locate and Evaluate into its existing climate risks and opportunities process, expanding it into a broader Environmental Impact, Risk, and Opportunity (IRO) Assessment. This integrated approach captured additional impacts and dependencies identified during the nature and biodiversity assessment. The IRO process identified priority risks and opportunities (disclosed in sections 3.1.1 and 3.6.1) and their interconnections with other topics such as Climate and Water. These insights will inform goal-setting and establish the appropriate ongoing management structure for these topics. Further details on this process are available in row 3 of section 2.2.2. Additionally, in 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance our existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy.

Water withdrawals – volume by source

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Goodyear uses water primarily for steam generation, cooling, and sanitary purposes. By the end of 2024, Goodyear completed the first two phases of LEAP (Locate and Evaluate) to identify priority locations based on location sensitivity and environmental performance. Location sensitivity was assessed using indicators such as proximity to ecologically sensitive areas, species sensitivity, ecosystem integrity, and WRI water risk scores. To determine a location's environmental performance, Goodyear followed TNFD's guidance and developed a tool to aggregate external data from sources like IBAT and WWF and facility-level Goodyear data (e.g., production, waste, emissions, water). Following the evaluation phase, Goodyear identified dependencies on water and habitats for flood and storm protection, and potential impacts related to water use, GHG emissions, solid waste, and pollutants. By mid-2025, Goodyear completed the Assess phase by integrating the results from Locate and Evaluate into its existing climate risks and opportunities process, expanding it into a broader Environmental Impact, Risk, and Opportunity (IRO) Assessment. This integrated approach captured additional impacts and dependencies identified during the nature and biodiversity assessment. The IRO process identified priority risks and opportunities (disclosed in sections 3.1.1 and 3.6.1) and their interconnections with other topics such as Climate and Water. These insights will inform goal-setting and establish the appropriate ongoing management structure for these topics. Further details on this process are available in row 3 of section 2.2.2. Additionally, in 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance our existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy.

Water withdrawals – quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Goodyear uses water primarily for steam generation, cooling, and sanitary purposes. By the end of 2024, Goodyear completed the first two phases of LEAP (Locate and Evaluate) to identify priority locations based on location sensitivity and environmental performance. Location sensitivity was assessed using indicators such as proximity to ecologically sensitive areas, species sensitivity, ecosystem integrity, and WRI water risk scores. To determine a location's environmental performance, Goodyear followed TNFD's guidance and developed a tool to aggregate external data from sources like IBAT and WWF and facility-level Goodyear data (e.g., production, waste, emissions, water). Following the evaluation phase, Goodyear identified dependencies on water and habitats for flood and storm protection, and potential impacts related to water use, GHG emissions, solid waste, and pollutants. By mid-2025, Goodyear completed the Assess phase by integrating the results from Locate and Evaluate into its existing climate risks and opportunities process, expanding it into a broader Environmental Impact, Risk, and Opportunity (IRO) Assessment. This integrated approach captured additional impacts and dependencies identified during the nature and biodiversity assessment. The IRO process identified priority risks and opportunities (disclosed in sections 3.1.1 and 3.6.1) and their interconnections with other topics such as Climate and Water. These insights will inform goal-setting and establish the appropriate ongoing management structure for these topics. Further details on this process are available in row 3 of section 2.2.2. Additionally, in 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance our existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy.

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Goodyear uses water primarily for steam generation, cooling, and sanitary purposes. By the end of 2024, Goodyear completed the first two phases of LEAP (Locate and Evaluate) to identify priority locations based on location sensitivity and environmental performance. Location sensitivity was assessed using indicators such as proximity to ecologically sensitive areas, species sensitivity, ecosystem integrity, and WRI water risk scores. To determine a location's environmental performance, Goodyear followed TNFD's guidance and developed a tool to aggregate external data from sources like IBAT and WWF and facility-level Goodyear data (e.g., production, waste, emissions, water). Following the evaluation phase, Goodyear identified dependencies on water and habitats for flood and storm protection, and

potential impacts related to water use, GHG emissions, solid waste, and pollutants. By mid-2025, Goodyear completed the Assess phase by integrating the results from Locate and Evaluate into its existing climate risks and opportunities process, expanding it into a broader Environmental Impact, Risk, and Opportunity (IRO) Assessment. This integrated approach captured additional impacts and dependencies identified during the nature and biodiversity assessment. The IRO process identified priority risks and opportunities (disclosed in sections 3.1.1 and 3.6.1) and their interconnections with other topics such as Climate and Water. These insights will inform goal-setting and establish the appropriate ongoing management structure for these topics. Further details on this process are available in row 3 of section 2.2.2. Additionally, in 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance our existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy.

Water discharges – volume by destination

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Goodyear uses water primarily for steam generation, cooling, and sanitary purposes. By the end of 2024, Goodyear completed the first two phases of LEAP (Locate and Evaluate) to identify priority locations based on location sensitivity and environmental performance. Location sensitivity was assessed using indicators such as proximity to ecologically sensitive areas, species sensitivity, ecosystem integrity, and WRI water risk scores. To determine a location's environmental performance, Goodyear followed TNFD's guidance and developed a tool to aggregate external data from sources like IBAT and WWF and facility-level Goodyear data (e.g., production, waste, emissions, water). Following the evaluation phase, Goodyear identified dependencies on water and habitats for flood and storm protection, and potential impacts related to water use, GHG emissions, solid waste, and pollutants. By mid-2025, Goodyear completed the Assess phase by integrating the results from Locate and Evaluate into its existing climate risks and opportunities process, expanding it into a broader Environmental Impact, Risk, and Opportunity (IRO) Assessment. This integrated approach captured additional impacts and dependencies identified during the nature and biodiversity assessment. The IRO process identified priority risks and opportunities (disclosed in sections 3.1.1 and 3.6.1) and their interconnections with other topics such as Climate and Water. These insights will inform goal-setting and establish the appropriate ongoing management structure for these topics. Further details on this process are available in row 3 of section 2.2.2. Additionally, in 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance our existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy.

Water discharges – volume by final treatment level

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Goodyear uses water primarily for steam generation, cooling, and sanitary purposes. By the end of 2024, Goodyear completed the first two phases of LEAP (Locate and Evaluate) to identify priority locations based on location sensitivity and environmental performance. Location sensitivity was assessed using indicators such as proximity to ecologically sensitive areas, species sensitivity, ecosystem integrity, and WRI water risk scores. To determine a location's environmental performance, Goodyear followed TNFD's guidance and developed a tool to aggregate external data from sources like IBAT and WWF and facility-level Goodyear data (e.g., production, waste, emissions, water). Following the evaluation phase, Goodyear identified dependencies on water and habitats for flood and storm protection, and potential impacts related to water use, GHG emissions, solid waste, and pollutants. By mid-2025, Goodyear completed the Assess phase by integrating the results from Locate and Evaluate into its existing climate risks and opportunities process, expanding it into a broader Environmental Impact, Risk, and Opportunity (IRO) Assessment. This integrated approach captured additional impacts and dependencies identified during the nature and biodiversity assessment. The IRO process identified priority risks and opportunities (disclosed in sections 3.1.1 and 3.6.1) and their interconnections with other topics such as Climate and Water. These insights will inform goal-setting and establish the appropriate ongoing management structure for these topics. Further details on this process are available in row 3 of section 2.2.2. Additionally, in 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance our existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy.

Water discharges – quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Goodyear uses water primarily for steam generation, cooling, and sanitary purposes. By the end of 2024, Goodyear completed the first two phases of LEAP (Locate and Evaluate) to identify priority locations based on location sensitivity and environmental performance. Location sensitivity was assessed using indicators such as proximity to ecologically sensitive areas, species sensitivity, ecosystem integrity, and WRI water risk scores. To determine a location's environmental performance, Goodyear followed TNFD's guidance and developed a tool to aggregate external data from sources like IBAT and WWF and facility-level Goodyear data (e.g., production, waste, emissions, water). Following the evaluation phase, Goodyear identified dependencies on water and habitats for flood and storm protection, and potential impacts related to water use, GHG emissions, solid waste, and pollutants. By mid-2025, Goodyear completed the Assess phase by integrating the results from Locate and Evaluate into its existing climate risks and opportunities process, expanding it into a broader Environmental Impact, Risk, and Opportunity (IRO) Assessment. This integrated approach captured additional impacts and dependencies identified during the nature and biodiversity assessment. The IRO process identified priority risks and opportunities (disclosed in sections 3.1.1 and 3.6.1) and their interconnections with other topics such as Climate and Water. These insights will inform goal-setting and establish the appropriate ongoing management structure for these topics. Further details on this process are available in row 3 of section

2.2.2. Additionally, in 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance our existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Goodyear uses water primarily for steam generation, cooling, and sanitary purposes. By the end of 2024, Goodyear completed the first two phases of LEAP (Locate and Evaluate) to identify priority locations based on location sensitivity and environmental performance. Location sensitivity was assessed using indicators such as proximity to ecologically sensitive areas, species sensitivity, ecosystem integrity, and WRI water risk scores. To determine a location's environmental performance, Goodyear followed TNFD's guidance and developed a tool to aggregate external data from sources like IBAT and WWF and facility-level Goodyear data (e.g., production, waste, emissions, water). Following the evaluation phase, Goodyear identified dependencies on water and habitats for flood and storm protection, and potential impacts related to water use, GHG emissions, solid waste, and pollutants. By mid-2025, Goodyear completed the Assess phase by integrating the results from Locate and Evaluate into its existing climate risks and opportunities process, expanding it into a broader Environmental Impact, Risk, and Opportunity (IRO) Assessment. This integrated approach captured additional impacts and dependencies identified during the nature and biodiversity assessment. The IRO process identified priority risks and opportunities (disclosed in sections 3.1.1 and 3.6.1) and their interconnections with other topics such as Climate and Water. These insights will inform goal-setting and establish the appropriate ongoing management structure for these topics. Further details on this process are available in row 3 of section 2.2.2. Additionally, in 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance our existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy.

[Fixed row]

(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

Select from:

Yes, CDP supply chain members buy goods or services from facilities listed in 9.3.1

(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.

(9.5.1) Revenue (currency)

18878000000

(9.5.2) Total water withdrawal efficiency

752681.31

(9.5.3) Anticipated forward trend

Goodyear is working to optimize its manufacturing footprint and anticipates the sale or closure of one or more manufacturing facilities over the next five years This will consolidate Goodyear's manufacturing footprint into a smaller global footprint which will lower Goodyear's total water consumption.

[Fixed row]

(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

	Products contain hazardous substances
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(9.13.1) What percentage of your company's revenue is associated with products containing substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

Row 1

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

Other, please specify :Regulations in the NA, EMEA & AP regions

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

Less than 10%

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

Product quality and safety is the foundation of the Goodyear brand. Goodyear's customers and consumers demand high-quality tires, and Goodyear continuously works with them to meet those demands. Goodyear strives to be #1 in Tires and Services and the global tire supplier of choice by providing industry-leading quality, performance and service. Prior to sourcing materials, Goodyear's Product Stewardship team, which is part of Goodyear's Global Sustainability organization, completes supplier reviews that include gathering safety data sheets (SDS) and ensuring the materials comply with all applicable global chemical inventory regulatory standards. Goodyear tires meet the requirements set forth by applicable regulatory bodies in the regions of manufacture and use, including but not limited to: Regional governmental inventories, such as Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) in the USA; Domestic Substances List (DSL) and Non-Domestic Substances List (NDSL) in Canada, Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH) in Europe; Existing and New Chemical Substances (ENCS) and Industrial Safety and Health Law (ISHL) in Japan; and Inventory of Existing Chemical Substance (IECSC) in China. Goodyear's Global Material Science team approves material specifications. In addition, through its management of change processes, Goodyear's manufacturing facilities conduct environmental, health and safety (EHS) checks to help ensure safe use and compliance.

[Add row]

(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

(9.14.1) Products and/or services classified as low water impact

Select from:

No, but we plan to address this within the next two years

(9.14.3) Primary reason for not classifying any of your current products and/or services as low water impact

Select from:

Important but not an immediate business priority

(9.14.4) Please explain

In 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance our existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy. Goodyear also intends to assess whether any of its current products and/or services could be classified as low water impact. While this is an area of growing interest, Goodyear recognizes the importance of thoroughly evaluating what such a classification entails. Before making any external disclosures, the company plans to analyze the results of its internal assessments, understand the implications across its value chain, and align with emerging industry standards and best practices. This careful approach will help ensure that any future claims are credible, consistent, and reflective of Goodyear's broader water stewardship strategy.

[Fixed row]

(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?

Select from:

Yes

(9.15.1) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.

Water pollution

(9.15.1.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(9.15.1.2) Please explain

In 2024, Goodyear formed an internal Nature and Biodiversity working group and began assessing its impact on nature using the Taskforce on Nature-related Financial Disclosures' (TNFD) LEAP approach. By the end of 2024, Goodyear completed the first two phases of LEAP (Locate and Evaluate) to identify priority locations based on location sensitivity and environmental performance. By mid-2025, Goodyear completed the Assess phase by integrating the results from Locate and Evaluate into its existing climate risks and opportunities process, expanding it into a broader Environmental Impact, Risk, and Opportunity (IRO) Assessment. Additionally, in 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance Goodyear's existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water strategy.

Water withdrawals

(9.15.1.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

Yes

Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services

(9.15.1.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(9.15.1.2) Please explain

In 2024, Goodyear formed an internal Nature and Biodiversity working group and began assessing its impact on nature using the Taskforce on Nature-related Financial Disclosures' (TNFD) LEAP approach. By the end of 2024, Goodyear completed the first two phases of LEAP (Locate and Evaluate) to identify priority locations based on location sensitivity and environmental performance. By mid-2025, Goodyear completed the Assess phase by integrating the results from Locate and Evaluate into its existing climate risks and opportunities process, expanding it into a broader Environmental Impact, Risk, and Opportunity (IRO) Assessment. Additionally, in 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance Goodyear's existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water strategy.

Other

(9.15.1.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(9.15.1.2) Please explain

In 2024, Goodyear formed an internal Nature and Biodiversity working group and began assessing its impact on nature using the Taskforce on Nature-related Financial Disclosures' (TNFD) LEAP approach. By the end of 2024, Goodyear completed the first two phases of LEAP (Locate and Evaluate) to identify priority locations based on location sensitivity and environmental performance. By mid-2025, Goodyear completed the Assess phase by integrating the results from Locate

and Evaluate into its existing climate risks and opportunities process, expanding it into a broader Environmental Impact, Risk, and Opportunity (IRO) Assessment. Additionally, in 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance Goodyear's existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water strategy.

[Fixed row]

(9.15.2) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.

Row 1

(9.15.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Target 1

(9.15.2.2) Target coverage

Select from:

Organization-wide (direct operations only)

(9.15.2.3) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Water withdrawals

Reduction in total water withdrawals

(9.15.2.4) Date target was set

01/01/2021

(9.15.2.5) End date of base year

12/31/2020

(9.15.2.6) Base year figure

7819771

(9.15.2.7) End date of target year

12/31/2030

(9.15.2.8) Target year figure

5473840

(9.15.2.9) Reporting year figure

6625681

(9.15.2.10) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

(9.15.2.11) % of target achieved relative to base year

51

(9.15.2.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/ frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Select all that apply

Sustainable Development Goal 6

(9.15.2.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Goodyear aims to maintain strong performance at its most efficient facilities while improving water efficiency at its highest-intensity and water-stressed locations. Facilities in high-stressed locations and the largest water users in each region evaluate their reduction opportunities and implement best practices for continued water savings. Goodyear's goal is to reduce water consumption by 30 percent by 2030 from a 2020 baseline. By the end of 2024, the company had already achieved a 15.3 percent reduction since 2020. Exclusions include non-manufacturing, retail stores and Goodyear Commercial Tire and Service Network retread operations. At this time, data are not readily available from non-manufacturing sources, such as warehouses and retail operations.

(9.15.2.14) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

To reach its goal, Goodyear's manufacturing facilities assess best practices to inform potential water reduction projects and rating priorities. Goodyear plans to continue to leverage closed-loop cooling systems, onsite water treatment and evaporative cooling to capture process water and steam condensate to reuse and reduce the use of water. Goodyear will continue to report on its progress in future reports.

(9.15.2.16) Further details of target

Goodyear aims to maintain strong performance at its most efficient facilities while improving water efficiency at its highest-intensity and water-stressed locations. Facilities in high-stressed locations and the largest water users in each region evaluate their reduction opportunities and implement best practices for continued water savings. Goodyear's goal is to reduce water consumption by 30 percent by 2030 from a 2020 baseline. By the end of 2024, the company had already achieved a 15.3 percent reduction since 2020. Exclusions include non-manufacturing, retail stores and Goodyear Commercial Tire and Service Network retread operations. At this time, data are not readily available from non-manufacturing sources, such as warehouses and retail operations. Goodyear has a global Water Conservation Standard and encourages these facilities to participate as appropriate. Additionally, in 2025, Goodyear conducted an internal global water survey at all manufacturing facilities. This survey aimed to enhance our existing global water monitoring practices by consolidating various water-related information for 2024 data year. Moving forward, Goodyear will leverage insights from this survey to advance its global water monitoring and strategy.

[Add row]

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

(11.2.1) Actions taken in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments

Select from:

- Yes, we are taking actions to progress our biodiversity-related commitments

(11.2.2) Type of action taken to progress biodiversity- related commitments

Select all that apply

- Education & awareness
- Other, please specify :Conducting a nature & biodiversity impact assessment following TNFD's LEAP guidance

[Fixed row]

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

	Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we do not use indicators, but plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?

Legally protected areas

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

Data not available

(11.4.2) Comment

Goodyear is committed to understanding how its value chain may affect nature and natural resources, including forests, land, air, and water. In 2024, Goodyear formed an internal Nature and Biodiversity working group and began assessing its impact on nature using the Taskforce on Nature-related Financial Disclosures' (TNFD) LEAP approach. Using tools including ENCORE, Science Based Targets for Nature's (SBTN) materiality assessment, and World Wildlife Fund's (WWF) Biodiversity Risk Filter tool, the company determined that its initial scope would include the upstream (natural rubber processors only) and direct operations value chain stages. By the end of 2024, Goodyear completed the first two phases of LEAP (Locate and Evaluate) to identify priority locations based on location sensitivity and environmental performance. Location sensitivity was assessed using indicators such as proximity to ecologically sensitive areas, species sensitivity, ecosystem integrity, and WRI water risk scores. The Integrated Biodiversity Assessment Tool (IBAT) played a key role in this evaluation, providing data on legally protected areas among others. While Goodyear has identified which facilities are located in or near sensitive areas, including legally protected areas, this information will not be disclosed externally until a full nature impact assessment is completed and the results have been thoroughly analyzed. This deliberate approach ensures that future disclosures are accurate, meaningful, and aligned with Goodyear's broader environmental strategy. By mid-2025, Goodyear completed the Assess phase by integrating the results from Locate and Evaluate into its existing climate risks and opportunities process, expanding it into a broader Environmental Impact, Risk, and Opportunity (IRO) Assessment. This integrated approach captured additional impacts and dependencies identified during the nature and biodiversity assessment. The IRO process identified priority risks and opportunities (disclosed in sections 3.1.1 and 3.6.1) and their interconnections with other topics such as Climate and Water. These insights will inform goal-setting and establish the appropriate ongoing management structure for these topics. Further details on this process are available in row 3 of section 2.2.2. In late-2025, the Nature and Biodiversity working group will use the IRO results to inform the Prepare phase of LEAP and further refine Goodyear's nature & biodiversity impact assessment process.

UNESCO World Heritage sites

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

Data not available

(11.4.2) Comment

Goodyear is committed to understanding how its value chain may affect nature and natural resources, including forests, land, air, and water. In 2024, Goodyear formed an internal Nature and Biodiversity working group and began assessing its impact on nature using the Taskforce on Nature-related Financial Disclosures' (TNFD) LEAP approach. Using tools including ENCORE, Science Based Targets for Nature's (SBTN) materiality assessment, and World Wildlife Fund's (WWF) Biodiversity Risk Filter tool, the company determined that its initial scope would include the upstream (natural rubber processors only) and direct operations value chain stages. By the end of 2024, Goodyear completed the first two phases of LEAP (Locate and Evaluate) to identify priority locations based on location sensitivity and environmental performance. Location sensitivity was assessed using indicators such as proximity to ecologically sensitive areas, species sensitivity, ecosystem integrity, and WRI water risk scores. The Integrated Biodiversity Assessment Tool (IBAT) played a key role in this evaluation, providing data on legally protected areas among others. While Goodyear has identified which facilities are located in or near sensitive areas, including legally protected areas, this information will not be disclosed externally until a full nature impact assessment is completed and the results have been thoroughly analyzed. This deliberate approach ensures that future disclosures are accurate, meaningful, and aligned with Goodyear's broader environmental strategy. By mid-2025, Goodyear completed the Assess phase by integrating the results from Locate and Evaluate into its existing climate risks and opportunities process, expanding it into a broader Environmental Impact, Risk, and Opportunity (IRO) Assessment. This integrated approach captured additional impacts and dependencies identified during the nature and biodiversity assessment. The IRO process identified priority risks and opportunities (disclosed in sections 3.1.1 and 3.6.1) and their interconnections with other topics such as Climate and Water. These insights will inform goal-setting and establish the appropriate ongoing management structure for these topics. Further details on this process are available in row 3 of section 2.2.2. In late-2025, the Nature and Biodiversity working group will use the IRO results to inform the Prepare phase of LEAP and further refine Goodyear's nature & biodiversity impact assessment process.

UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

Data not available

(11.4.2) Comment

Goodyear is committed to understanding how its value chain may affect nature and natural resources, including forests, land, air, and water. In 2024, Goodyear formed an internal Nature and Biodiversity working group and began assessing its impact on nature using the Taskforce on Nature-related Financial Disclosures' (TNFD) LEAP approach. Using tools including ENCORE, Science Based Targets for Nature's (SBTN) materiality assessment, and World Wildlife Fund's (WWF) Biodiversity Risk Filter tool, the company determined that its initial scope would include the upstream (natural rubber processors only) and direct operations value chain stages. By the end of 2024, Goodyear completed the first two phases of LEAP (Locate and Evaluate) to identify priority locations based on location sensitivity and environmental performance. Location sensitivity was assessed using indicators such as proximity to ecologically sensitive areas, species sensitivity, ecosystem integrity, and WRI water risk scores. The Integrated Biodiversity Assessment Tool (IBAT) played a key role in this evaluation, providing data on legally protected areas among others. While Goodyear has identified which facilities are located in or near sensitive areas, including legally protected areas, this information will not be disclosed externally until a full nature impact assessment is completed and the results have been thoroughly analyzed. This deliberate approach ensures that future disclosures are accurate, meaningful, and aligned with Goodyear's broader environmental strategy. By mid-2025, Goodyear completed the Assess phase by integrating the results from Locate and Evaluate into its existing climate risks and opportunities process, expanding it into a broader Environmental Impact, Risk, and

Opportunity (IRO) Assessment. This integrated approach captured additional impacts and dependencies identified during the nature and biodiversity assessment. The IRO process identified priority risks and opportunities (disclosed in sections 3.1.1 and 3.6.1) and their interconnections with other topics such as Climate and Water. These insights will inform goal-setting and establish the appropriate ongoing management structure for these topics. Further details on this process are available in row 3 of section 2.2.2. In late-2025, the Nature and Biodiversity working group will use the IRO results to inform the Prepare phase of LEAP and further refine Goodyear's nature & biodiversity impact assessment process.

Ramsar sites

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

Data not available

(11.4.2) Comment

Goodyear is committed to understanding how its value chain may affect nature and natural resources, including forests, land, air, and water. In 2024, Goodyear formed an internal Nature and Biodiversity working group and began assessing its impact on nature using the Taskforce on Nature-related Financial Disclosures' (TNFD) LEAP approach. Using tools including ENCORE, Science Based Targets for Nature's (SBTN) materiality assessment, and World Wildlife Fund's (WWF) Biodiversity Risk Filter tool, the company determined that its initial scope would include the upstream (natural rubber processors only) and direct operations value chain stages. By the end of 2024, Goodyear completed the first two phases of LEAP (Locate and Evaluate) to identify priority locations based on location sensitivity and environmental performance. Location sensitivity was assessed using indicators such as proximity to ecologically sensitive areas, species sensitivity, ecosystem integrity, and WRI water risk scores. The Integrated Biodiversity Assessment Tool (IBAT) played a key role in this evaluation, providing data on legally protected areas among others. While Goodyear has identified which facilities are located in or near sensitive areas, including legally protected areas, this information will not be disclosed externally until a full nature impact assessment is completed and the results have been thoroughly analyzed. This deliberate approach ensures that future disclosures are accurate, meaningful, and aligned with Goodyear's broader environmental strategy. By mid-2025, Goodyear completed the Assess phase by integrating the results from Locate and Evaluate into its existing climate risks and opportunities process, expanding it into a broader Environmental Impact, Risk, and Opportunity (IRO) Assessment. This integrated approach captured additional impacts and dependencies identified during the nature and biodiversity assessment. The IRO process identified priority risks and opportunities (disclosed in sections 3.1.1 and 3.6.1) and their interconnections with other topics such as Climate and Water. These insights will inform goal-setting and establish the appropriate ongoing management structure for these topics. Further details on this process are available in row 3 of section 2.2.2. In late-2025, the Nature and Biodiversity working group will use the IRO results to inform the Prepare phase of LEAP and further refine Goodyear's nature & biodiversity impact assessment process.

Key Biodiversity Areas

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

Data not available

(11.4.2) Comment

Goodyear is committed to understanding how its value chain may affect nature and natural resources, including forests, land, air, and water. In 2024, Goodyear formed an internal Nature and Biodiversity working group and began assessing its impact on nature using the Taskforce on Nature-related Financial Disclosures' (TNFD) LEAP approach. Using tools including ENCORE, Science Based Targets for Nature's (SBTN) materiality assessment, and World Wildlife Fund's (WWF) Biodiversity Risk Filter tool, the company determined that its initial scope would include the upstream (natural rubber processors only) and direct operations value chain stages. By the end of 2024, Goodyear completed the first two phases of LEAP (Locate and Evaluate) to identify priority locations based on location sensitivity and environmental performance. Location sensitivity was assessed using indicators such as proximity to ecologically sensitive areas, species sensitivity, ecosystem integrity, and WRI water risk scores. The Integrated Biodiversity Assessment Tool (IBAT) played a key role in this evaluation, providing data on legally protected areas among others. While Goodyear has identified which facilities are located in or near sensitive areas, including legally protected areas, this information will not be disclosed externally until a full nature impact assessment is completed and the results have been thoroughly analyzed. This deliberate approach ensures that future disclosures are accurate, meaningful, and aligned with Goodyear's broader environmental strategy. By mid-2025, Goodyear completed the Assess phase by integrating the results from Locate and Evaluate into its existing climate risks and opportunities process, expanding it into a broader Environmental Impact, Risk, and Opportunity (IRO) Assessment. This integrated approach captured additional impacts and dependencies identified during the nature and biodiversity assessment. The IRO process identified priority risks and opportunities (disclosed in sections 3.1.1 and 3.6.1) and their interconnections with other topics such as Climate and Water. These insights will inform goal-setting and establish the appropriate ongoing management structure for these topics. Further details on this process are available in row 3 of section 2.2.2. In late-2025, the Nature and Biodiversity working group will use the IRO results to inform the Prepare phase of LEAP and further refine Goodyear's nature & biodiversity impact assessment process.

Other areas important for biodiversity

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

Data not available

(11.4.2) Comment

Goodyear is committed to understanding how its value chain may affect nature and natural resources, including forests, land, air, and water. In 2024, Goodyear formed an internal Nature and Biodiversity working group and began assessing its impact on nature using the Taskforce on Nature-related Financial Disclosures' (TNFD) LEAP approach. Using tools including ENCORE, Science Based Targets for Nature's (SBTN) materiality assessment, and World Wildlife Fund's (WWF) Biodiversity Risk Filter tool, the company determined that its initial scope would include the upstream (natural rubber processors only) and direct operations value chain stages. By the end of 2024, Goodyear completed the first two phases of LEAP (Locate and Evaluate) to identify priority locations based on location sensitivity and environmental performance. Location sensitivity was assessed using indicators such as proximity to ecologically sensitive areas, species sensitivity, ecosystem integrity, and WRI water risk scores. The Integrated Biodiversity Assessment Tool (IBAT) played a key role in this evaluation, providing data on legally protected areas among others. While Goodyear has identified which facilities are located in or near sensitive areas, including legally protected areas, this information will not be disclosed externally until a full nature impact assessment is completed and the results have been thoroughly analyzed. This deliberate approach ensures that future disclosures are accurate, meaningful, and aligned with Goodyear's broader environmental strategy. By mid-2025, Goodyear completed the Assess phase by integrating the results from Locate and Evaluate into its existing climate risks and opportunities process, expanding it into a broader Environmental Impact, Risk, and Opportunity (IRO) Assessment. This integrated approach captured additional impacts and dependencies identified during the nature and biodiversity assessment. The IRO process identified priority risks and opportunities (disclosed in sections 3.1.1 and 3.6.1) and their interconnections with other topics such as Climate and Water. These insights will inform goal-setting and establish the appropriate ongoing management structure for these topics. Further details on this process are available in row 3 of section 2.2.2. In late-2025, the Nature and Biodiversity working group will use the IRO results to inform the Prepare phase of LEAP and further refine Goodyear's nature & biodiversity impact assessment process.

[Fixed row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

	Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party	Primary reason why other environmental information included in your CDP response is not verified and/or assured by a third party	Explain why other environmental information included in your CDP response is not verified and/or assured by a third party
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we plan to obtain third-party verification/assurance of other environmental information in our CDP response within the next two years	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Phased approach	<i>Goodyear will perform third-party assurance for additional environmental metrics to align with upcoming reporting requirements such as CSRD</i>

[Fixed row]

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title

Vice President & Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

(13.3.2) Corresponding job category

Select from:

Chief Sustainability Officer (CSO)

[Fixed row]

(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.

Select from:

No

